

BEDFORD 2000 AND BEDFORD 2005

Cities planning bond elections could take lessons from Bedford officials, administrators and citizen volunteers who conducted a classic campaign to develop a \$31.45 million bond package in 1995.

As was the case in many Texas cities, Bedford experienced dramatic economic growth between 1980 and 1990. The population doubled and new neighborhoods sprang up almost overnight. But this growth helped to accelerate the deterioration of streets, strained the city's ability to control drainage, and created additional demands for public safety and recreational services and facilities. The last election to fund capital improvements was held in 1984, and funds from that bond package were quickly spent on designated improvements. Although the city was able to manage most of the problems that come with growth and to maintain a low tax rate, major capital improvements could not be funded.

The stage for a new approach was set when, in January of 1995, Bedford voters approved the adoption of an additional one-half cent sales tax to be used to reduce the property tax rate. Proponents of this sales and use tax proposition argued that by reducing the property tax rate, major improvements could be funded without substantial increases in property taxes. According to supporters, voters would be able to approve specific improvements, and a corresponding increase in the property tax rate to pay for them, without exceeding the property tax rate in effect at the time of the January election. The majority of Bedford voters agreed with this position.

Bedford takes pride in its participatory style of government. Following the January sales tax election, officials began developing a program to enlist citizen participation in the process of defining and addressing capital improvement requirements for the next decade. They established the structure for a citizen committee, a declaration of assumptions and understanding, parameters, a specific charge, and an overview of the process.

Then the City Council appointed the 120-member Bedford 2000 Committee. Members represented a broad spectrum of the city – community and civic groups, homeowners associations, churches, major businesses and property owners, and at-large members. Chairpersons from city boards and appointees by each council member rounded out the committee.

The Bedford 2000 Committee was charged with studying three categories of need: those essential to maintain current operations and expectations; those necessary for expected population or program growth; and those important for enhancing excellence in programs and expectations of quality and/or aesthetics. In addition, the committee developed and approved ground rules and target dates for their activities. Management staff worked closely with committee leadership, and staff were trained as facilitators to work with action teams.

The committee was divided into five action teams to address streets, drainage, parks, public safety, and water/sewer issues, which were supported by facilitators, staff experts, and financial advisors. In addition to meeting weekly, action team members gathered information, received expert testimony and recommendations, toured sites of potential projects, researched and analyzed identified needs, and developed recommendations for presentation to the full committee.

Concurrent with Bedford 2000 Committee activities, a community attitude survey was conducted by an independent consultant. The results of the survey provided additional information for consideration by the committee and, later, by the City Council.

Spokespersons presented action team recommendations to the full committee on August 17, 1995 after which members voted on each recommendation. Only those projects receiving a 75% approval rating were included in the resulting recommendations from the full committee which was presented in a community forum on August 31, 1995. Input from this public forum was addressed in the final committee recommendation presented to the City Council in a work session on September 7, 1995.

The annual budget and reduced property tax levy proposal for the fiscal year beginning October 1 reflected an anticipated increase in sales and use tax as a result of the election of January, 1995. And, the resulting tax rate required to retire debt on the entire bond package proposal would not exceed the rate in effect at the time of the January election.

The City Council formally considered recommendations from the Bedford 2000 Committee during its regular meeting on September 12, 1995. Following a public hearing, the council adopted an ordinance ordering an election on November 7, 1995. Based on information contained in the community survey and citizen comments made during the public hearing, one proposition was added and amendments were made to two recommendations from the Bedford 2000 Committee, bringing the total bond proposal to \$31.45 million.

Participants recognized that communication with citizens was important. Throughout the process the public had been informed about the Bedford 2000 Committee, their responsibility, and their activities. Newsletter and media coverage, community access cable and water bill bulletins were used during the development period. An extensive community education campaign began immediately after the City Council approved the propositions for the ballot.


In addition to continued use of media releases, posters and bulletins, a video was produced for cable access; a Bond Hotline and the city's ATIP system (Automated Telephone Information Please) provided detailed bond and election information; knowledgeable speakers were coordinated through a speakers bureau for homeowners associations, civic groups and organizations, and at community events; an informational brochure was mailed to each utility customer; another brochure was mailed to a target market, residents who had voted in recent elections; a town hall meeting provided an

opportunity for a question-and-answer session with the city council and members of the Bedford 2000 Committee; and special events were coordinated to acquaint the public with city facilities and services.

Bedford officials respect the public mandate to keep tax rates low while providing for basic needs. They also recognize the importance of citizen participation in the process of governing. With their encouragement, citizen members of the Bedford 2000 Committee were actively involved throughout the process, including the public information campaign. Their commitment and enthusiasm presented new opportunities for strengthening communication and unifying the community in a common cause.

Beginning in May of 2001, Bedford officials continued the tradition of enlisting citizen participation in planning for the future of the community. The Bedford 2005 Committee began their work on May 15, 2001. The Committee was organized into six separate action teams to study areas such as drainage, community development, facilities and technology, public safety, transportation and water and sewer. The Committee recommended to the City Council an election regarding the issuance of bonds to meet identified needs.

The Bedford 2005 Committee made final recommendations to the City Council on August 2001. These projects were submitted to the voters of Bedford on November 6, 2001. Nine of eleven propositions that were included in the bond election were approved. These nine projects constitute a large portion of the formal City of Bedford Capital Improvement Program.



“4B” FOR BEDFORD

In Bedford, the third time was the charm for a half-cent sales tax. Twice rejected as an economic development sales tax, the half-cent levy was approved more than 2-to-1 in November 1997. An extensive community education campaign conveyed the City Council's commitment to use of the half-cent sales tax for long-overdue road maintenance and improvement needs and the savings that could be realized if funds were made available in 1997.

More than one-half of the 455 lane-miles of streets in Bedford were built between 1977 and 1986 when the city experienced significant growth. In 1995, Bedford voters authorized bonds for the successful Bedford 2000 Capital Improvement Program that included major improvements to a limited number of roadways. To identify the full extent of roadway deterioration, city engineers completed a yearlong study of every street in the city. Pavement conditions of each street were inspected and evaluated. The resulting data was calculated to establish a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) value for each street. The engineering study clearly showed that 83 percent of streets in Bedford needed repair, and financing the repairs immediately was both necessary in the short-term and financially beneficial in the long-term. If the necessary funds were approved and allocated in 1997, the cost to finance their backlog of street repairs would be \$16 million dollars. In five years, the cost would increase to an amount in excess of \$34 million dollars because of greater street deterioration.

It was estimated that the increased sales tax would cost Bedford residents \$30 annually and about one-third of the sales tax revenue would be paid by non-residents shopping in the city. A portion of this revenue could be used to guarantee bonds in the amount of \$16 million dollars. The remaining funds would be combined with the current street maintenance budget to provide annual maintenance to streets before they deteriorated. A City Council appointed corporation, composed of citizens and elected officials, would direct and authorize all expenditures, with the City Council having final approval.

Leaving the roads in their existing condition would negatively affect the quality of life and economic stability of Bedford. If the one-half cent sales tax was defeated, the City Council would have to re-evaluate the matter and determine the next best method for funding. One option would be to increase property taxes to finance improvements, but the increase would be dramatic - as much as six cents. A second option would be to hold a bond election, but that would only address the immediate need and not future maintenance costs.

The city conducted a Tax Attitudinal Survey and coordinated a campaign to inform the public. The public campaign included community presentations, an educational video for viewing in city facilities and on cable television, a website, brochures, pamphlets, newsletters, automated telephone information, and frequent media releases. A citizens support group met with residents throughout the city to provide information.

An unexpectedly high number of voters, about 10 percent of the city's registered voters, turned out to vote and approve the increase in the sales tax rate to 8.25 percent. The additional half-cent tax revenue initially generated about \$1.8 million annually, enough to secure a \$16.3 million loan to pay for repairing designated Bedford streets and establish a maintenance fund.

In January 1998, the Bedford Street Improvement Economic Development Corporation began to receive public input and develop a plan. Following a review of funding options, Certificates of Obligation were issued. The half-cent sales tax went into effect April 1, 1998.

Three hundred eighty-six (386) of the city's four hundred fifty-five (455) miles of streets were improved under the "4B For Bedford" program by the end of FY 99/00. A program of annual maintenance began in the fall of 2000, with the first preventive maintenance contract being awarded. The program of annual preventive maintenance is proposed to continue with funding included for a crack sealing and overlay program, as well as funding for materials and equipment to be utilized by city labor forces.

**City of Bedford
Street Improvement Economic Development Corporation**

**Proposed Revenue and Expenditures
Fiscal Year Ending September 30, 2011**

| | | |
|---|--|--------------|
| Estimated Fund balance October 1, 2010 | | \$ 1,520,821 |
| Revenue: | | |
| Sales Tax | | \$ 2,400,000 |
| Interest | | 17,500 |
| Other | | \$ - |
| | | - |
| Total Revenue | | \$ 2,417,500 |
| Required Reserve | | \$ 1,234,994 |
| Available Funds | | \$ 2,703,327 |
| Expenditures | | |
| Debt Service | | \$ 1,378,369 |
| Supplies | | 20,310 |
| Maintenance Materials | | 60,000 |
| Equipment Maintenance | | 15,000 |
| Vehicle Maintenance | | 10,380 |
| Equipment Rental | | 2,500 |
| Contract Maintenance | | 963,500 |
| GIS Development | | 35,000 |
| Capital Vehicles / Equipment | | 80,600 |
| | | 80,600 |
| Total Expenditures | | \$ 2,565,659 |
| Available funds less expenditures | | \$ 137,668 |
| | | 137,668 |
| Projected Fund Balance, September 30, 2011 | | \$ 1,372,662 |

CITY OF BEDFORD
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM - 5 YEAR
FY 2010-2011

Funding Sources:

- | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| (1) General Obligation Bonds | (4) Street Improvement E.D.C. | (7) Park Donations | (10) To Be Determined |
| (2) Certificates of Obligation | (5) Water & Sewer Utility Repair Fund | (8) General Fund Reserves | |
| (3) Revenue Bonds | (6) Equipment Replacement Fund | (9) Grants | |

| PROJECT | ESTIMATED COST | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 | FY 14/15 | FUNDING SOURCE |
|---|-------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-------------------|
| <u>FACILITIES/EQUIPMENT</u> | | | | | | | |
| 508 Animal Control Center Expansion | 239,450 | | | | | | 1 |
| 512 Fire/Police Combined Training Center | 561,558 | | | | | | 1 |
| 516 Joint Regional Dispatch Facility | 613,000 | | | | | | 1 |
| 506 New Library Building | 10,841,854 | 10,841,854 | | | | | 1,8,9,10 |
| Custom Pumper | 560,000 | | | | | | 10 |
| Motorola Trunk Radio Replacement | 490,448 | | | | | | 10 |
| Lifepak Monitor/Defibrillators | 100,658 | | | | | | 10 |
| Wood Chipper | 40,000 | | | | | | 10 |
| Mini-dump Truck | 36,674 | | | | | | 10 |
| <u>WATER/WASTEWATER</u> | | | | | | | |
| Simpson Terrace Elevated Tank Site Well | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | | | | | 2 |
| Northwest Pressure Plane Improvements | 2,190,000 | 2,190,000 | | | | | 2 |
| Water Main Replacements | | | | | | | |
| 529 Gettysburg Pl. & Nottingham Addn. | 500,000 | | 500,000 | | | | 2 |
| 529 Red Oak Ln./Scenic Hills & Stonegate N. | 400,000 | | | 400,000 | | | 2 |
| 529 Russell/Ravenswood Dr. | 400,000 | | | | 400,000 | | 2 |
| Replace Water Mains in Shady Brook Addn. | 200,000 | | 200,000 | | | | 2 |
| Infiltration & Inflow Projects | 800,000 | | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 2 |
| Sanitary Sewer Creek Crossings-Variou Locations | 268,000 | 218,000 | 50,000 | | | | 2 |
| Wastewater System Evaluation Projects | 1,000,000 | | | 1,000,000 | | | 2 |
| Rehab Hurricane Creek Trunk Sewers | 500,000 | | | | 500,000 | | 2 |
| Rehab Bear Creek Trunk Sewers | 730,000 | | | | 365,000 | 365,000 | 2 |
| 528 Rehab Sewer Mains in Sulphur Branch Drainage Area | 1,620,000 | | 450,000 | 300,000 | 435,000 | 435,000 | 2 |
| 528 Rehab Segment II Sulphur Branch Trunk Sewer Line | 650,000 | | 650,000 | | | | 2 |
| Miscellaneous Water Main Replacement | 1,200,000 | | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 | 5 |
| Hurst-Bedford Connection Improvements | 150,000 | | 150,000 | | | | 10 |
| Airport Freeway Wastewater Line Adjustments | 782,000 | 782,000 | | | | | 10 |
| Airport Freeway Water Line Adjustments | 1,330,000 | 1,330,000 | | | | | 10 |
| Automated Meter Reading Program | 6,925,000 | 6,925,000 | | | | | 10 |
| <u>DRAINAGE</u> | | | | | | | |
| Sulphur Branch | | | | | | | |
| 505 Channel Improvements | 1,200,000 | | | 1,200,000 | | | 1 |
| Structure Purchases | 1,100,000 | | 1,100,000 | | | | 10 |
| Sulphur Branch Tributary | | | | | | | |
| 505 Schumac Ln. Culvert / Bridge | 285,000 | | | | 285,000 | | 1 |
| 505 Donna Ln. Culvert / Bridge | 325,000 | | | | 325,000 | | 1 |
| 505 Briar Dr. Culvert / Bridge | 280,000 | | | | | 280,000 | 1 |
| 505 Shirley Way Culvert / Bridge | 355,000 | | | | | 355,000 | 1 |
| 505 Circle Ln. Culvert / Bridge | 500,000 | | | | | 500,000 | 1 |
| Structure Purchases | 1,200,000 | | 1,200,000 | | | | 10 |
| Erosion Control Sulphur Branch & Sulphur Branch Tributary | | | | | | | |
| 505 Erosion Control - Brookhollow Park | 500,000 | | | 500,000 | | | 1 |
| Erosion Control - Other | 400,000 | | | 400,000 | | | 10 |
| Erosion Control Various Other Channels | | | | | | | |
| Hurricane Creek South of Commerce Pl. | 125,000 | | | 125,000 | | | 10 |
| Hurricane Creek East/West of Hospital Pkwy. | 125,000 | | | 125,000 | | | 10 |
| Murphy/Rolling Meadows/Cheek-Sparger Channel | 125,000 | | | | 125,000 | | 10 |
| Little Bear Creek South of Cheek Sparger | 125,000 | | | | 125,000 | | 10 |
| Ashford/Berkshire Channel | 125,000 | | | | 125,000 | | 10 |
| Other Projects | | | | | | | |
| Schumac/Monterrey Storm Drain Improvements | 1,400,000 | | 1,400,000 | | | | 10 |

CITY OF BEDFORD
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM - 5 YEAR
FY 2010-2011

Funding Sources:

- | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| (1) General Obligation Bonds | (4) Street Improvement E.D.C. | (7) Park Donations | (10) To Be Determined |
| (2) Certificates of Obligation | (5) Water & Sewer Utility Repair Fund | (8) General Fund Reserves | |
| (3) Revenue Bonds | (6) Equipment Replacement Fund | (9) Grants | |

| PROJECT | ESTIMATED COST | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 | FY 14/15 | FUNDING SOURCE |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| <u>PARKS/RECREATION</u> | | | | | | | |
| 503 Expansion of Linear Trails | 295,000 | | | | | | 1 |
| 504 Park Maintenance/Replacement Items | 660,000 | 660,000 | | | | | 1 |
| Boys Ranch Lake | 2,000,000 | | | | | | 10 |
| Meadow Park Light Replacement | 300,000 | | | | | | 10 |
| <u>ROADS</u> | | | | | | | |
| 523 Dora Street | 1,772,000 | | | | | | 1 |
| <u>SIEDC 4B Corporation</u> | | | | | | | |
| Cracksealing | 312,000 | 60,000 | 63,000 | 63,000 | 63,000 | 63,000 | 4 |
| Concrete Street Repairs / Panel Replacements | 3,172,000 | 610,000 | 640,500 | 640,500 | 640,500 | 640,500 | 4 |
| Asphalt Street Rebuild / Repairs | 884,000 | 170,000 | 178,500 | 178,500 | 178,500 | 178,500 | 4 |
| Microsurfacing | 648,072 | 60,000 | 147,018 | 147,018 | 147,018 | 147,018 | 4 |
| Lane Striping | 312,000 | 60,000 | 63,000 | 63,000 | 63,000 | 63,000 | 4 |
| <u>Traffic</u> | | | | | | | |
| 518 Traffic Signal Synchronization Grant Project | 2,465,000 | 2,465,000 | | | | | 1,9 |
| Upgrade School Zone Flashers | 150,000 | | 150,000 | | | | 10 |
| School Route Assessment Study | 1,300,000 | | 100,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | 10 |
| TOTALS | \$56,668,714 | \$27,471,854 | \$7,542,018 | \$6,042,018 | \$4,677,018 | \$3,927,018 | |

**CITY OF BEDFORD
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
BEDFORD 2005 BOND PROJECTS**

The City of Bedford Capital Improvement Program, (CIP), is a process by which the City develops a multi-year plan for major capital expenditures. Currently, the City bases its planning on a five (5) year time frame. The CIP lists each proposed project, the time frame in which the project needs to be undertaken, the financial requirements of the project, and proposed methods of financing. Generally, the CIP includes improvements that are relatively expensive, non-recurring, have a multi-year useful life, and result in fixed assets. It includes such items as the construction and acquisition of new buildings; additions or renovations to existing buildings; construction and reconstruction of streets; improvements to water, sanitary sewer, and drainage; and purchases of land and major equipment. Due to the nature and total cost of most of the City's CIP, General Obligation and Revenue Bonds are major sources of funding. The CIP is divided into the project areas of: facilities/equipment, drainage, parks/recreation, roads, and water/wastewater.

FACILITIES/EQUIPMENT

Project 508: Animal Control Center Expansion

Budget: \$239,450

Description:

The existing Animal Control Center building will be increased by 1,200 square feet. This addition will include lab expansion, additional canine and feline adoption rooms, an adoption viewing room, and increased storage space. An outdoor covered dog kennel will also be added.

Operating Budget Effect: Minimal increase in utilities

Project 516: Joint Regional Dispatch Facility

Budget: \$613,000

Description:

The joint regional dispatch facility involves the construction and equipping of a facility that coordinates regional Fire/EMS dispatch resources. This is required to assure accurate and rapid Fire/EMS dispatch. It will allow the closest units to respond to emergencies immediately and ensure that Bedford is never without Fire/EMS resources during multiple emergency situations.

Operating Budget Effect: Unknown at this time

DRAINAGE

Project 505: Sulphur Branch Drainage Project

Budget: \$5,894,128

Description:

The Sulphur Branch Drainage Project encompasses various improvements to the Sulphur Branch watershed that extends from the southern boundary of Bedford at Pipeline Road northward to Airport Freeway and includes a tributary to Sulphur Branch identified as SB-1. These improvements were derived from a list of known drainage problems in Bedford and were determined to have the most pressing need for corrective action in order to prevent inundation of numerous homes in the event of a 100-year storm, as well as eliminating flooding of the Forest Ridge/SH 183 intersection.

Sulphur Branch: Pipeline to Rankin Road – A proposal to provide channel improvements along the existing stream bed with minimum intrusion into Brookhollow Park to adequately transport storm waters of a 100-year storm.

Sulphur Branch at Circle Lane to Rankin Drive – A proposal for culvert improvements to assure adequacy to handle the storm waters of a 100-year storm.

Sulphur Branch at Bedford Road – A proposal for modification of the culverts of Bedford Road and the installation of various sized storm drains from Somerset Terrance to the Sulphur Branch channel sufficient to handle a 100-year storm.

Sulphur Branch at Airport Freeway – Construction of an additional double box culvert under Airport Freeway and provision of 200 feet of upstream channel improvements to handle a 100-year storm.

Tributary SB-1: Overhill Drive and Edgecliff Drive – Modifications to box culverts under these two streets to handle a 100-year storm.

Tributary SB-1: Overhill Drive to Circle Lane – Channel improvements and culvert modifications to handle a 100-year storm.

Tributary SB-1 at Airport Freeway – Construction of an additional double box culvert under Airport Freeway and providing matching upstream and downstream channel improvements to handle a 100-year storm.

Operating Budget Effect: None

PARKS/RECREATION

Project 504: Park Maintenance/Replacement Items

Budget: \$660,000

Description:

The improvements to current parks include construction of park concession and restrooms at Boys Ranch and Stormie Jones Park; upgrades to play structures at Boys Ranch to provide ADA accessibility; and adding lighting to soccer fields 2 and 3 at Boys Ranch Park.

Operating Budget Effect: Minimal utility and maintenance costs

Project XXX: Miscellaneous Park Improvements

Budget: \$200,000

Description:

Funds collected from the \$.50 donation in the water bill are set aside to make improvements to park facilities. The proposed budget will be used to address some small capital needs identified by the Park Board.

Operating Budget Effect: None

Project 503: Expansion of Linear Trails

Budget: \$295,000

Description:

The expansion of linear trails connects the easement of trailhead at the Meadowpark Complex through to Forest Ridge Drive and south to Boys Ranch Park. Trails will also be added to Carousel and Monterrey Park.

Operating Budget Effect: None

ROADS

Project 517: Signal Light Upgrades and Synchronization

Budget: \$2,210,000

Description:

This project includes the synchronization of all 33 traffic signals throughout the City. Synchronization will allow traffic traveling at the posted speed limits to move through Bedford more efficiently.

This project includes the maintenance and upgrade of traffic signals throughout the City. The traffic signals affected are well beyond their original life cycles.

Operating Budget Effect: Minimal additional utility costs

Project 523: Dora Street Reconstruction

Budget: \$1,772,000

Description:

The reconstruction of Dora Street from Belle Street to Pipeline Road was included in the Bedford 2005 Bond Program. This project is described as "Reconstruction of Dora Street due to failed sections that are unable to withstand loads distributed during average traffic flows. In addition the street has an unusually high crown that presents a hazardous driving condition.

Operating Budget Effect: None

Project XXX: School Route Assessment Study Sidewalks

Budget: \$500,000

Description:

The "School Route Assessment Study" identified five Hurst-Euless-Bedford ISD schools that are in need of additional sidewalks to ensure the safety of children walking to and from schools. The schools were Bell Manor Elementary School, Meadow Creek Elementary School, Shady Brook Elementary School, Bedford Junior High School and Harwood Junior High School.

Operating Budget Effect: None

WATER/WASTEWATER

Project XXX: Miscellaneous Water/Sewer Repairs

Budget: \$100,000

Description:

Projects undertaken in this category will be prioritized by the Public Services Department. Funding will come from transfer from the Water/Sewer Fund. Each year funding will be set aside for transfer to the Water/Sewer Utility Repair and Maintenance Fund.

Operating Budget Effect: None

Project 529: Water Main- Woodson Lane- Harwood to Bedford

Budget: \$1,300,000

Description:

This project is the replacement of the water mains in the following areas of Bedford: Shadybrook, Gettysburg/Nottingham, Ravenswood/Russell, and Red Oak.

Operating Budget Effect: None

Project XXX: Terrace Elevated Tank Site Well

Budget: \$1,300,000

Description:

Additional potable water well to offset some demand for potable water purchased from Trinity River Authority (TRA). The Cost Benefit Analysis showed that the well will pay for itself in approximately four years. The well water will be treated on-site and pumped into the system at the existing Stonegate well elevated storage tank.

Operating Budget Effect: Utility and maintenance costs

Project XXX: Northwest Pressure Plane Improvements

Budget: \$2,210,000

Description:

The "Pressure Analysis and Recommendations for the City of Bedford Northwest Study Area" prepared by Kimley-Horn & Associates concluded a new higher pressure plane would be need to be created in the northwest part of the City. The study recommended the addition of a new booster pump station located at the Simpson Terrace Elevated Tank site and the establishment of a new pressure plane to serve northwest Bedford.

Operating Budget Effect: Minimal utility and maintenance costs

Project XXX: Infiltration & Inflow Studies – Hurricane Creek

Budget: \$220,000

Description:

This project will continue Infiltration & Inflow Studies recommended by the "Comprehensive Wastewater Collection System Evaluation".

Operating Budget Effect: None

Project XXX: Sanitary Sewer Creek Crossings

Budget: \$289,000

Description:

This project is to improve/replace numerous creek and drainage channel crossings that need to be improved in order to prevent the possibility of being damaged or destroyed during heavy rains.

Operating Budget Effect: None