

# **AMENDED AGENDA**

Regular Meeting of the Bedford City Council  
Tuesday, April 26, 2016  
Bedford City Hall Building A  
2000 Forest Ridge Drive  
Bedford, Texas 76021

Council Chamber Work Session 5:00 p.m.  
Council Chamber Regular Session 6:30 p.m.

COMPLETE COUNCIL AGENDAS AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW  
ONLINE AT <http://www.bedfordtx.gov>

## **COUNCIL CHAMBER WORK SESSION**

- Review and discuss items on the regular agenda and consider placing items for approval by consent.
- Presentation of Cultural Commission Annual Report and request funding for additional FY 15/16 programming.
- Presentation on recent Police Department activities.

## **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

To convene in the conference room, if time permits, in compliance with Section 551.001 et. Seq. Texas Government Code, to discuss the following:

- a) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to Block 1, Lot 1D, Shops at Central Park.
- b) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to Bedford Commons.
- c) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to "Project Orchard."
- d) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to Block 1, Lot 1 Mobil Addition-Bedford.
- e) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to Block 1, Lot 1R1 Harwood Hills Village Addition. \*\*This item requested by Councilmember Fisher.

## **REGULAR SESSION**

### **CALL TO ORDER/GENERAL COMMENTS**

**INVOCATION** (Reverend Ryan Reed, St. Vincent's Episcopal Cathedral Church)

### **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

### **ANNOUNCEMENTS/UPCOMING EVENTS**

### **OPEN FORUM**

*(The public is invited to address the Council on any topic that is posted on this agenda. Citizens desiring to speak on Public Hearing(s) must do so at the time the Public Hearing(s) are opened. In order to speak during Open Forum a person must first sign in with the City Secretary prior to the Regular Session being called to order. Speakers will be called upon in the order in which they sign in. Any person not signing in prior to the commencement of the Regular Session shall not be allowed to speak under Open Forum. Further, Open Forum is limited to a maximum of 30 minutes. Should speakers not use the entire 30 minutes Council will proceed with the agenda. At the majority vote of the Council the Mayor may extend the time allotted for Open Forum.)*

### **CONSIDER APPROVAL OF ITEMS BY CONSENT**

## **COUNCIL RECOGNITION**

1. Proclamation declaring the month of May 2016 as Motorcycle Safety Awareness Month in the City of Bedford.
2. Proclamation declaring May, June, July and August as Summer Reading Club Season. (Amended Item)
3. Employee Service Recognition
4. Recognition of Customer Service Assistant Janet O'Dell for the employee Exemplary Service Award.

## **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES**

5. Consider approval of the following City Council minutes:
  - a) April 12, 2016 regular session

## **NEW BUSINESS**

6. Consider a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a contract with Lantana Communications, Inc. to upgrade the existing Avaya IP Office Telephone System in the amount of \$81,070.94.
7. Consider a resolution directing Oncor Electric Delivery Company, LLC to file certain information with the City of Bedford; setting a procedural schedule for the gathering and review of necessary information in connection therewith; and setting dates for the filing of the City's analysis of the company's filing and the company's rebuttal to such analysis.
8. Consider a resolution adopting the 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan.
9. Consider a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a lease agreement for one year with the DFW Tejanos Organization for the purpose of conducting practices and games at the Stormie Jones soccer fields.
10. Consider a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a lease agreement for one year with the Tri-Cities Baseball Association for the purposes of having league games and practice at Boys Ranch Park.
11. Consider a resolution in support of the application of Hurst Partners One, a Colorado General Partnership, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for a Municipal Setting Designation (MSD) for the property at 711 West Hurst Boulevard, Hurst, Texas.
12. Consider a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a professional services agreement with Contracting Services, Inc. in the amount of \$22,700 for the installation of two catch basins and piping at 1000 Simpson Terrace.
13. Report on most recent meeting of the following Boards and Commissions:
  - ✓ Animal Shelter Advisory Board - Councilmember Fisher
  - ✓ Beautification Commission - Councilmember Turner
  - ✓ Community Affairs Commission - Councilmember Farco
  - ✓ Cultural Commission - Councilmember Champney
  - ✓ Library Advisory Board - Councilmember Farco
  - ✓ Parks and Recreation Board - Councilmember Sartor
  - ✓ Teen Court Advisory Board - Councilmember Gebhart
  - ✓ Senior Citizen Liaison - Councilmember Turner
14. Council member Reports

## 15. City Manager/Staff Reports

### **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

To convene in the conference room in compliance with Section 551.001 et. Seq. Texas Government Code, to discuss the following:

- a) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to Block 1, Lot 1D, Shops at Central Park.
- b) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to Bedford Commons.
- c) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to "Project Orchard."
- d) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to Block 1, Lot 1 Mobil Addition-Bedford.
- e) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to Block 1, Lot 1R1 Harwood Hills Village Addition. \*\*This item requested by Councilmember Fisher.

### **16. Take any action necessary as a result of the Executive Session.**

*(Any item on this posted agenda may be discussed in executive session provided it is within one of the permitted categories under Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code.)*

### **ADJOURNMENT**

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned authority, do hereby certify that this Notice of Meeting was posted on the outside window in a display cabinet at the City Hall of the City of Bedford, Texas, a place convenient and readily accessible to the general public at all times, and said Notice was posted by the following date and time: Friday, April 22, 2016 at 5:00 p.m., and remained so posted at least 72 hours before said meeting convened.

---

**Michael Wells, City Secretary**

---

**Date Notice Removed**

(Auxiliary aids and services are available to a person when necessary to afford an equal opportunity to participate in City functions and activities. Auxiliary aids and services or accommodations must be requested in writing to the City Secretary's Office a minimum of seventy-two hours (72) hours prior to the scheduled starting time of the posted meeting. Requests can be delivered in person or mailed to the City Secretary's Office at 2000 Forest Ridge Drive, Bedford, TX 76021, or emailed to [mwells@bedfordtx.gov](mailto:mwells@bedfordtx.gov). Some requests may take longer due to the nature, extent and/or availability of such auxiliary aids, services or accommodations.)



# Council Agenda Background

---

**PRESENTER:** Thomas Jacobsen and Roger Gallenstein  
Cultural Commission Members

**DATE:** 04/26/16

Work Session

**ITEM:**

Presentation of Cultural Commission Annual Report and funding request for additional FY 15/16 programming.

City Manager Review: \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCUSSION:**

Mr. Jacobsen will present an overview of the Cultural Commission's progress and plans for the upcoming year. Mr. Gallenstein will present an overview of potential additional programming in FY 15/16.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

N/A



# Council Agenda Background

---

**PRESENTER:** Jeff Gibson, Police Chief

**DATE:** 04/26/16

**Work Session**

**ITEM:**

**Presentation on recent Police Department activities.**

**City Manager Review:** \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCUSSION:**

**The presentation will encompass an up-to-date overview of recent activities occurring in the Police Department.**

**ATTACHMENTS:**

**PowerPoint Presentation**



# Bedford Police Department

City Council Work Session

April 26, 2016

Jeff Gibson, Chief of Police

# Mobile Pet Adoption Trailer



- First event was on May 28, 2015
- Used in a total of 28 events
- Bedford, Hurst and Southlake


- Provides comfort of dogs and cats through controlled temperature and noise reduction allowing them to be less fearful and more social
- Provides a secure enclosure in which potential adopters can visit with the animals
- Allows ACO staff to take animals to off-site events without concern for negative effects of weather
- Customized wrapping provides increased awareness of the Bedford Animal Shelter

# Code Compliance



- Transitioned to the police department in Summer, 2013
- Staffed by:
  - Corporal
  - Code Compliance Supervisor (Civilian)
  - Code Compliance Officers (4) (Civilian)
  - Code Compliance Secretary (Civilian)

# Code Compliance



# Moving Forward in 2016

## **VISION STATEMENT:**

Policing Through Progressive Professionalism

- Mission Statement
- Organization Restructure
- Strategic Plan

# Mission Statement

**The Bedford  
Police Department  
and its members  
believe in the  
dignity and worth  
of all people.  
We are committed  
to:**

- Providing high quality, community-oriented policing services with sensitivity
- Protecting constitutional rights
- Problem solving
- Teamwork
- Openness
- Planning for the future; and
- Providing leadership to the police profession

# POLICE ADMINISTRATION

*Chief of Police*


```
graph TD; A[Chief of Police] --> B[Deputy Police Chief]; A --> C[Technical Services Manager]; A --> D[Deputy Police Chief];
```

*Deputy Police  
Chief*

*Technical Services  
Manager*

*Deputy Police  
Chief*


# ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL



# SUPPORT PERSONNEL



# UNIFORMED PERSONNEL



# Strategic Plan 2016-2021

---

## Priorities and Future Endeavors

Multi-Component Approach Consisting of  
Eight (8) Goals to include a Specific Objective  
and Four (4) Focus Areas

Reflective of City Council Mission Statement

---

---

# Goals and Objectives

---

## Reduction of Crime: Deterring Criminal Behavior

- Increased visibility of officers
  - Continued utilization of technology
  - Crime Analysis and Information Sharing
  - Flexibility of strategies and approach due to changing crime trends
- 
-

# Goals and Objectives

## Customer Satisfaction: Customer Service Initiatives

- Enhanced networking and communication with the community
- Strengthen transparency philosophy
- Support city-wide customer service through P.R.I.D.E values
- Develop relationship with media and establish point of contact

# Goals and Objectives

## **Career Expectation: Employee Success**

- Create ownership with employees to offer ideas/suggestions
- Routine review of job descriptions and expectations with employees
- Explore employee responsibilities to ensure maximized effectiveness
- Implementation of technology to serve as platform for employee success

# Goals and Objectives

## **Employee Retention: Employee Service Plan**

- Develop/implement mentoring program
- Continued updating of Policies and Procedures to maximize employee capabilities
- Implement rotational guide for PII officers and supervisors
- Encourage participation to enhance morale and self-worth

# Goals and Objectives

## Innovative and Progressive Equipment: Researching for the Future

- Explore equipment needs
- Create and implement vehicle replacement and equipment schedule
- Inventory of equipment
- Research operating systems for high-quality, high-performance recording system to include both in-car and body cameras

# Goals and Objectives

---

## **Advanced Training: Enhance Employee Knowledge**

- Sharing of information between divisions
  - Identify training needs
  - Implement mandatory training standards
  - Train all personnel on MHPO and require all sworn personnel to obtain ALERRT Certification
- 
-

# Goals and Objectives

---

## Alternative Funding by Exploring Options

- Alternative funding resources
  - Partnership with other city departments
  - Identify employee resources for enhanced productivity and efficiency
  - Use of additional funding for community services
- 
-

# Goals and Objectives

## Accreditation Standard through Recognition Program

- Strengthen standards in accordance with Texas Police Chief's Association Best Practices Recognition Program
- Apply for certification through T CPA
- Explore National Accreditation Standards through CALEA
- Implement strategies to become a nationally accredited law enforcement agency



*LOYALTY. HONOR. COURAGE.*



# Council Agenda Background

**PRESENTER:** Jim Griffin, Mayor

**DATE:** 04/26/16

Council Recognition

**ITEM:**

Proclamation declaring the month of May 2016 as Motorcycle Safety Awareness Month in the City of Bedford.

City Manager Review: \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCUSSION:**

Members of the Patriots Motorcycle Club will be present to accept the proclamation.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

Proclamation



CITY OF  
**BEDFORD**

# Proclamation

*WHEREAS, today's society is finding more citizens involved in motorcycling on the roads of our country; and*

*WHEREAS, motorcyclists are relatively unprotected and therefore more prone to injury or death in a crash than other vehicle drivers; and*

*WHEREAS, campaigns have helped inform riders and motorists alike on motorcycle safety issues to reduce motorcycle related risks, injuries, and most of all, fatalities through a comprehensive approach to motorcycle safety; and*

*WHEREAS, it is the responsibility of all who put themselves behind the wheel to become aware of motorcyclists, regarding them with the same respect as any other vehicle traveling the highways of this country; and it is the responsibility of riders and motorists alike to obey all traffic laws and safety rules; and*

*WHEREAS, the citizens of our community are aware of the inherent danger involved in operating a motorcycle and for riders and motorists to give each other the mutual respect they deserve.*

*NOW, THEREFORE, let it be known that I, Jim Griffin, Mayor of the City of Bedford, and the City Council do hereby proclaim the month of May, 2016, as:*

## ***Motorcycle Safety and Awareness Month***

*in the City of Bedford and urge all residents to do their part to increase safety and awareness in our community.*

*In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the City of Bedford to be affixed this  
26th day of April, 2016.*

---

JIM GRIFFIN, MAYOR





# Council Agenda Background

---

**PRESENTER:** Mayor Jim Griffin

**DATE:** 04/26/16

Council Recognition

**ITEM:**

Proclamation declaring May, June, July and August as Summer Reading Club Season.

City Manager Review: \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCUSSION:**

Kiera Elledge, School Libraries and STEM Coordinator, will accept the proclamation on behalf of HEB Reads. HEB Reads is a joint collaboration between the cities of Hurst, Euless, Bedford and the HEB ISD to have a joint Summer Reading Club initiative. The four entities are having a joint kickoff on Saturday, April 30 from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. at Pennington Field. The goal of the HEB Reads initiative is to encourage children in HEB to read all summer long and reduce the summer slide.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

Proclamation



CITY OF  
**BEDFORD**

# Proclamation

***WHEREAS**, the Hurst-Euless-Bedford Independent School District and the cities of Hurst, Euless and Bedford recognize that our communities all benefit when children read and succeed. **HEB READS!** is committed to helping children read all summer long; and*

***WHEREAS**, research shows that students can lose up to 25 percent of their reading level over the summer. Studies show that children who read in the summer maintain and increase their reading levels; and*

***WHEREAS**, reading 20 minutes a day increases knowledge, vocabulary, analytical thinking and writing skills; and*

***WHEREAS**, the summer season allows children to read for pleasure. Children can follow their favorite superhero in a graphic novel or explore future worlds or times gone by; and*

***WHEREAS**, adults lead best by example. Pick up a book and join the Library's Summer Reading Club. Have your child participate in the program for praise, compliments, accomplishments, and prizes;*

***NOW, THEREFORE**, let it be known that I, Jim Griffin, Mayor of the City of Bedford do hereby proclaim June, July and August, 2016 as:*

## **HEB READS! Summer Season**

*in the City of Bedford we invite all residents to register for the summer reading club, **HEB READS!***

*In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the City of Bedford to be affixed this 26th day of April, 2016.*

---

JIM GRIFFIN, MAYOR



# Council Agenda Background

**PRESENTER:** Jeff Gibson, Police Chief  
Gary Clopton, Information Services Manager      **DATE:** 04/26/16

Council Recognition

**ITEM:**

Employee Service Recognition

City Manager Review: \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCUSSION:**

The following employees have completed a service period and are eligible for recognition:

Amanda Freeman	Police Department	5 years
Curtis Crawford	Support Services	5 years
Tonya Smith	Police Departments	15 years
Kirk Roberts	Police Departments	30 years

**ATTACHMENTS:**

N/A



# Council Agenda Background

**PRESENTER:** Jill McAdams, *SPHR*, Human Resources Director

**DATE:** 04/26/16

Council Recognition

**ITEM:**

Recognition of Customer Service Assistant Janet O'Dell for the employee Exemplary Service Award.

City Manager Review: \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCUSSION:**

The Employee Recognition Award Program was redeveloped in 2014 by the Emerging Leaders Program Class I participants as their capstone project. The Program includes a focus on the PRIDE (Passion, Respect, Innovation, Dependability, Ethics) core values. For the Exemplary Service Award nominees, actions must project a positive image of the City and must demonstrate at least four of the PRIDE values. Nominations are voted on by the most recent graduating Emerging Leaders Class participants.

Janet O'Dell is being recognized and honored for her actions/contributions to the City. She will receive a special art glass award and a \$200 VISA gift card. In addition, Janet and a guest will attend an annual luncheon in honor of all recipients.

Janet was nominated by the Human Resources Department (HR) for her continued service to the City of Bedford employees for a six month period of time. Janet previously worked in HR for 16 years. Last year, she resigned from her full-time HR position (for medical reasons) and transferred to the Senior Center in a part-time capacity. In August 2015, during the middle of a Human Resources Information Services (HRIS) conversion and open enrollment, the employee in HR who spearheaded both major projects went out for four months on Family Medical Leave. This was a significant disruption to the HR staff because the department had only two other employees, which included the Director and another employee who had been in their position less than six months. When the HR Director contacted Janet to see if she would be willing to work in HR to help fill the gap, Janet willingly accepted the assignment. She logged over 600 additional hours in HR during a very critical time period and continued to make herself available to assist in training the replacement staff after the former employee did not return to work. The time that Janet logged in HR included evenings and weekends.

In the nomination for Janet, it was noted the following pertaining to the PRIDE values:

- **Passion:** She demonstrated passion for the City, HR and every employee in her actions. She worked hard and always presented a positive demeanor. She not only did the job-she did the job well! When the HR staff was stressed out, she provided a calming and supportive attitude that helped us get through the days, nights and weekends of work.
- **Respect:** During the time that she worked in HR, she showed respect to all employees. This was a very busy and stressful time for HR and employees. Many employees came in with questions and concerns relating to their benefits and their paychecks, and this employee treated each one as if they were the most important person who came in that day.
- **Innovation:** Not having any exposure to the new payroll and HR system, and with no instructions or manual, this employee learned how to use the software and even came up with short cuts that continue to help the HR staff today.

- **Dependability:** This employee came in every day that we asked her to help. She stayed late every day requested and she worked every weekend that we asked her to work. Even on days that we did not ask her to help, she volunteered to come in and help us. She worked each day with a positive attitude, even on days when she did not feel well.
- **Ethics:** This employee checked and double checked her work for accuracy. She researched individual employee situations to ensure that every possible solution or outcome was thought of for each employee encounter she had in HR. It would have been easy for her to provide only one solution but she worked diligently to provide the best and most correct solution to every problem or situation.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

N/A



# Council Agenda Background

**PRESENTER:** Michael Wells, City Secretary

**DATE:** 04/26/16

**Minutes**

**ITEM:**

Consider approval of the following City Council minutes:

- a) April 12, 2016 regular meeting

City Manager Review: \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCUSSION:**

N/A

**ATTACHMENTS:**

April 12, 2016 regular meeting

STATE OF TEXAS §

COUNTY OF TARRANT §

CITY OF BEDFORD §

**The City Council of the City of Bedford, Texas, met in Work Session at 5:30 p.m. and Regular Session at 6:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers of City Hall, 2000 Forest Ridge Drive, on the 12th day of April, 2016 with the following members present:**

Jim Griffin	Mayor
Ray Champney	Council Members
Steve Farco	
Roger Fisher	
Dave Gebhart	
Rusty Sartor	
Roy W. Turner	

constituting a quorum.

Staff present included:

Roger Gibson	City Manager
Kelli Agan	Assistant City Manager
Cathy Cunningham	City Attorney
Michael Wells	City Secretary
Natalie Foster	Public Information Officer
Jeff Gibson	Police Chief
Meg Jakubik	Strategic Services Manager
Jerry Laverty	Environmental Supervisor
Jill McAdams	Human Resources Director
Kenny Overstreet	Interim Public Works Director
Emilio Sanchez	Planning Manager
Bill Syblon	Development Director

### **COUNCIL CHAMBER WORK SESSION**

Mayor Griffin called the Work Session to order at 5:30 p.m.

- **Review and discuss items on the regular agenda and consider placing items for approval by consent.**

Council discussed placing the following items on consent: 4, 6, 8, 9 and 10.

Environmental Supervisor Jerry Laverty presented information regarding Item #6, which is for changes to the backflow/cross connection ordinance. The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) inspected the City's backflow prevention program and found the City to be out of compliance on some items. TCEQ and staff discussed issues the City was having, including with local businesses. Staff performed inspections and made amendments to the ordinance as requested by TCEQ, including to definitions such as health hazards versus high hazards within the public water distribution system; director of public works instead of director of public utilities; and an explanation of the registration of the backflow prevention assembly testers. Changes were made to the section on fire protection systems, where there were issues with installation of detector check guidelines and identification of the proper locations, which is now written to the riser instead of the building to alleviate issues with dead water. A section was added that backflow prevention devices are to be inspected and tested annually, which staff already does; however, once the new ordinance goes into effect, it gives staff more strength to enforce issues. Other amendments include language that testers may test and repair backflow prevention assemblies only if they are employed by an approved fire line contractor, which is a requirement of the State; and language that customer service inspections must certify that no pipe or pipe fittings have

certain levels of lead, with devices currently in existence being allowed to stay in place until they are replaced. The amendments to the program were sent to and approved by TCEQ. The backflow prevention test reports were also updated per TCEQ recommendations, as it was found that several customers having their devices tested were given a receipt by the tester but not a copy of the test report, which must be retained for three years. Backflow testers who do not follow these guidelines can be reported to TCEQ. In answer to questions from Council, Mr. Lavery confirmed that these updates are to increase the quality standards of the system and stated that they are also for the safety and health of customers in restaurants; that staff is working on high health sites such as veterinary clinics, dental offices, hospitals, automotive centers, and any other location where contaminated water can be sucked back into the system; that there is a staff of five people that test these systems to prevent people from getting sick and having any cross contamination in the system; that previously, staff was performing inspections for new businesses but not performing them annually; that staff performs five to seven inspections a week; that staff has to make sure that drains are properly air gapped and backflows properly tested; that previously, some testers were allowed to send in incomplete test sheets, and now the testers can get their licenses revoked by TCEQ; and that there were no monetary fines associated with this issue.

- **Presentation regarding Community Affairs Commission proposed recognition program.**

Sal Caruso with the Community Affairs Commission stated that the Commission is seeking guidance and approval from the Council on an annual award for a business and resident of the year. They envision the awards to be presented at Council meetings and respective outreach and roundtable meetings. Pictures and a write-up in the Bedford Connection would also be welcomed. The Commission believes the awards would be appropriate recognition from the Commission to residents and businesses that have supported the community, and they would not duplicate those handed out by the Chamber of Commerce or other boards and commissions. The Business Award would go to a small or medium-sized business that has limited resources yet extends themselves to be part of the community. It would be an annual award and the business must show an outstanding involvement in the community, such as participating in Commission events, and sponsoring block parties and other City events. The Residential Award would also be annual and would be for a resident who does volunteer work within the City, and has involvement in their neighborhood or homeowner association. Nominations can be made by the Council, staff or members of the City's boards and commissions. A prerequisite for businesses is that they must be established in the City for two years and be in compliance with City codes and ordinances. Three Commission members will review the nominations and narrow them down to a maximum of three finalists. The Commission then will vote for the winners, which are then presented to the Council for approval. The plaques to be presented would have a value of no more than \$50 to \$100. He asked the Council liaisons to the boards and commissions to communicate to the members that they can make nominations via email, along with the reasons for the nomination. What is left to be determined is a deadline for submittal. Nominations can be made via email to the Commission's staff liaison, Strategic Services Manager Meg Jakubik. They want to start the process slowly in order to get the criteria in order and it may be expanded in the future to allow businesses and residents to make nominations. If approved, the Commission will continue to work on the details and the timeline, with the objective of presenting the first awards in the fall. In answer to questions from Council, Mr. Caruso confirmed that there will be one annual award for the finalist in each category based on a year's worth of activity and prior activity; and stated that current board and commission members will not be eligible, but past members are eligible. There was discussion on the Commission doing a great job of bringing the community together through block parties, reaching out to businesses, and bringing Council and staff to the community; how much businesses prize the Beautification Commission awards; and the block party scheduled for Friday, which will have 13 vendors. Council was of the consensus for the Commission to move forward with the recognition program.

Mayor Griffin adjourned the Work Session at 5:55 p.m.

### **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

**To convene in the conference room, if time permits, in compliance with Section 551.001 et. Seq. Texas Government Code, to discuss the following:**

- a) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to Block 1, Lot 1D, Shops at Central Park.**

- b) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to Bedford Commons.
- c) Pursuant to Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to “Project Orchard.”
- d) Pursuant to Section 551.074, personnel matters – appointment of the Municipal Court Judge.
- e) Pursuant to Section 551.071(2), consultation with the City Attorney on matters in which the duty of the City Attorney under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code – Municipal Setting Designations Law.

Council convened into Executive Session pursuant to Texas Government Code Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to Block 1, Lot 1D, Shops at Central Park; Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to Bedford Commons; Section 551.087, deliberation regarding economic development negotiations relative to “Project Orchard;” Section 551.074, personnel matters – appointment of the Municipal Court Judge; and Section 551.071(2), consultation with the City Attorney on matters in which the duty of the City Attorney under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code – Municipal Setting Designations Law, at 5:59 p.m.

Council reconvened from Executive Session at 6:27 p.m.

Any necessary action to be taken as a result of the Executive Session will occur during the Regular Session of the Bedford City Council Meeting.

### **REGULAR SESSION**

The Regular Session began at 6:36 p.m.

### **CALL TO ORDER/GENERAL COMMENTS**

Mayor Griffin called the meeting to order.

### **INVOCATION (Minister Tim Ayers, Bedford Church of Christ)**

Minister Tim Ayers of Bedford Church of Christ gave the invocation.

### **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The Pledge of Allegiance to the flags of the United States and Texas were given.

### **ANNOUNCEMENTS/UPCOMING EVENTS**

Public Information Officer Natalie Foster reported that the free ArtsFront event will be held on Saturday from 3:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m., with the newest art installation in unused storefronts at the Bedford Central Plaza shopping center. The event will feature live music, artisan shopping, and food from Crazy Cowboy. The City’s free outdoor concert series, Twilight Thursdays, are back beginning April 14 at 7:00 p.m. on the lawn at the Old Bedford School. Leashed pets, blankets, lawn chairs and non-alcoholic beverages are welcome. Beer and wine will be available for purchase and the first band featured will be the Zack King Band.

Ms. Foster presented an update on the Boys Ranch Park construction. Hydromulching will begin this week and there is one additional light pole left to install. The following week the monuments signs will be start being installed. There are three ramps in the lake, including one turtle ramp and two rock ledge ramps for turtles and ducks. The lake is currently 99 percent full.

Mayor Griffin presented additional clarification on the Boys Ranch Park. He stated the Park was originally designed for the purpose of offering a variety of uses, including sports and recreation, for residents and visitors. As the constructions comes to a close, the original purpose of the Park remains the same with

those variety of uses, and it is not a wildlife sanctuary. Through the entire process, staff has been working with the Texas Department of Parks and Wildlife and has installed three ramps in and around the lake for the ducklings and turtles, which is the extent of what the City is going to do as far as helping the wildlife. There are existing ordinances in the City's code under Parks and Recreation, including the building of structures and sanitation. Staff is continuing to review opportunities for ordinances regarding the public feeding, care and treatment of wildlife in order to maintain their overall health and well-being as well as to maintain the original design and purpose of the Park. There have been tremendous efforts on the part of staff including that of Parks and Recreation, the Police and Fire Departments, Animal Control and Public Works, to protect and preserve the wildlife, including working late nights, weekends, and being on-call on a 24/7 basis. He wanted to publicly thank staff for their efforts. He is aware of passionate residents that are concerned about the wildlife that choose to be at the Park, but wanted to clarify that it is a park, not a wildlife sanctuary.

### **OPEN FORUM**

Helen Martin, 809 Ridge Court, Bedford, Texas – Ms. Martin signed up to speak on Item #11. She stated that she has lived in Bedford since 1985 and used to take her grandchildren to the Boys Ranch Park, which was a great place to watch nature and wildlife. She is opposed to a public ban on feeding the animals and stated that since the start of the lake dredging, she has been part of a group that feeds the animals several times a day, which has been their only source of food. She stated the lake has become a dead zone since the start of dredging and there is no source of food for the wildlife. The lake can use more ramps for animals to get out. She thought the island that was formerly in the lake was great as it gave the animals a refuge and asked Council to consider a floating island. The wildlife is important to the park and she does not want the animals to go away. She stated that even if Council puts a ban on public feeding, she will not stop feeding the wildlife.

### **CONSIDER APPROVAL OF ITEMS BY CONSENT**

Motioned by Councilmember Champney, seconded by Councilmember Farco, to approve the following items by consent: 4, 6, 8, 9 and 10.

Motion approved 7-0-0. Mayor Griffin declared the motion carried.

### **COUNCIL RECOGNITION**

#### **1. Proclamation declaring the month of April 2016 as Child Abuse Prevention Month in the City of Bedford.**

Mayor Griffin read a proclamation declaring the month of April 2016 as Child Abuse Prevention Month in the City of Bedford. Shellie McMillon with Alliance for Children was present to accept the proclamation.

#### **2. Proclamation recognizing the week of April 10-16, 2016 as National Crime Victims' Rights Week.**

Mayor Griffin read a proclamation recognizing the week of April 10-16, 2016 as National Crime Victims' Rights Week. Police Chief Jeff Gibson and Crime Victims/Domestic Violence Coordinator Courtney Janes, were present to accept the proclamation.

#### **3. Proclamation recognizing the week of April 10-16, 2016 as National Public Safety Telecommunicators Week.**

Mayor Griffin read a proclamation recognizing the week of April 10-16, 2016 as National Public Safety Telecommunicators Week. Chief Gibson and Communications Supervisor over the Dispatch Division Amy James, were present to accept the proclamation.

### **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES**

#### **4. Consider approval of the following City Council minutes: a) March 22, 2016 regular session**

This item was approved by consent.

## **PERSONS TO BE HEARD**

### **5. The following individual has requested to speak to the Council tonight under Persons to be Heard:**

- a) Joyce Johnson, 1701 Brookhaven Circle, Bedford, Texas 76022 – Request to speak to Council regarding social injustices, Bedford’s Municipal Codes and Ordinances pertaining to drilling.**

Joyce Johnson, 1701 Brookhaven Circle, Bedford, Texas – Ms. Johnson requested to speak about things related to the fracking industry, social injustices regarding hydraulic fracking, the City’s municipal codes and ordinances, and harm done. She stated that at the February 12 City Council meeting Interim Public Works Director Kenny Overstreet interrupted her presentation regarding two drilling rigs in the median of the highway that runs between parts of Bedford. Mr. Overstreet stated that the Brown Trail well was a water well to irrigate the practice fields north of the highway. She took this an admission that there was a drilling rig in the middle of the highway on public land. Council stated that the well was for water and has nothing to do with fracking. Ms. Johnson stated that hydraulic fracking needs to have a place to put used fracking fluids, which is at times dispensed on land surfaces, and that it contains up to 650 toxic fracking fluids. She discussed a large flock of birds behind the highway just south of Trinity High School going away because they do not stay in an area where the air quality is bad, and that toxic vapors rise from used fracking fluids. Students have been seen on the practice fields and she stated it is a fair assumption that toxins have been put there and it is no longer safe for students. The same thing has happened to her back yard, where two large groups of birds immediately left and went to the west, never to return, meaning that toxic fluids have been applied to her backyard. She has suffered effects of poisoning and requested that the Mayor investigate this in order to disrupt this type of event. She discussed opposition to her providing information about the hazards of the chemicals being used. It was stated by Council that the well mentioned by Ms. Johnson was a water well, and there was no drilling for gas or fracking. Ms. Johnson stated that the birds went away and do not stay where the air is toxic. It was stated by Council that L.D. Bell High School sits in the City of Hurst and there is nothing Bedford could do about her issue. Ms. Johnson stated that several odd things have happened since she spoke to Council on March 4 including an odd fog, ozone or smog that appeared in the street in front of her house, something sweet tasting being added to a jug of water in her kitchen, illegal entries from some source, and a chemical odor being found on her pillow case and blanket. She discussed City codes prohibiting discharge from gas operations onto public rights-of-way and private property. It was stated by Council that Ms. Johnson’s comments on the effects of fracking are not being discounted but that again, there is no drilling or fracking within the City. Ms. Johnson stated that there have been attempts by the hacking industry to intimidate and demoralize her and she need help to disrupt them and protect her. Hackers have deleted the paragraphs in the City codes dealing with well drilling and fracking, and only Bedford code paragraphs were subject of the hackers. The State of Vermont is the first state to ban all fracking and has the best overview of the harm the industry does to people and animals. It was stated by Council that the State of Texas has dictated what has to be done regarding drilling and fracking, not the City, and asked her if she had communicated with her State representatives. Ms. Johnson stated the City is a self-rule and has regulating authority. It was stated by Council that the City cannot supersede the State, and the City has no latitude regarding drilling issues. Ms. Johnson stated that there is evidence regarding the potential for ground water contamination and degradation of air quality from fracking. Water is going to become the most costly product people need and it cannot be allowed effected. France’s highest court has upheld a government ban on hydraulic fracking, and nine countries have banned fracking, somewhat due to it not being economically viable. She believes there is an injection well by Trinity High School, which can cause manmade earthquakes. She stated a white City pickup was seen milling around her house dragging a yellow earth mover and she believes that some of the problems she has been having have been caused by somebody in the City. She discussed Bedford’s gas drilling ordinance under a previous Council before the legislature took away the citizens’ rights to think for themselves regarding fracking. The ordinance did not prevent fracking but limited where it could be done in an effort to protect citizens. She discussed the City’s codes defining rights-of-way as being below the surface. She believes the drilling rig at Brown Trail was a directional hydraulic fracking well, which is going under Bedford land, and there can be 20 additional well heads from one well. The Mayor offered

to meet with Ms. Johnson during his office hours on Wednesday and it was stated by Council that there is no drilling happening in the City, and the City cannot address or control what is done in another city. Ms. Johnson stated that directional fracking can take gas from 640 acres around the well head and under the City, which can cause a lot of damage. The Mayor again offered to meet with Ms. Johnson the following day to address her concerns. She stated that gas wells require a specific use permit, and when she requested a search for the required permits, no such permits were found meaning there are violations.

Councilmember Fisher moved to call a point of order, seconded by Councilmember Farco, that the Council move forward with its agenda.

Motion approved 6-1-0. Mayor Griffin declared the motion carried.

Voting in favor of the motion: Mayor Griffin, Councilmember Sartor, Councilmember Champney, Councilmember Farco, Councilmember Turner and Councilmember Fisher.

Voting in opposition to the motion: Councilmember Gebhart

Ms. Johnson requested a list of City codes for dealing with this situation.

### **NEW BUSINESS**

- 6. Consider an ordinance amending Chapter 118 “Utilities” of the City of Bedford Code of Ordinances by repealing Article VI “Cross Connection Control Program” and replacing it with a new Article VI “Cross Connection Control Program;” providing a penalty clause; providing a severability clause; providing a repealing clause; and providing an effective date.**

This item was approved by consent.

- 7. Consider an ordinance of the City Council of the City of Bedford, Texas, appointing Tim Murphy as Municipal Court Judge for the Bedford Municipal Court.**

City Secretary Michael Wells presented information regarding this item. Council had voted out of Executive Session at the previous meeting to appoint Tim Murphy as Municipal Judge for a term of three years, and this item is to formally make the appointment. The salary portion was left blank in case Council chose to make any changes. Councilmember Fisher stated that the Judge’s salary has not changed since 2002 and in taking all of the merit and pay increases that ordinary City employees have received since 2002, Council came up with a new salary for the Judge.

Motioned by Councilmember Fisher, seconded by Councilmember Farco, to approve an ordinance of the City Council of the City of Bedford, Texas, appointing Tim Murphy as Municipal Court Judge for the Bedford Municipal Court with a salary of \$85,000 a year.

Councilmember Gebhart stated the he felt the existing ordinance that had a term limit for the Judge and not that the Council is doing anything incorrect, he is afraid there could be perception that the rules are being bent to keep the Judge in place by not having him sit out a term. He greatly respects Judge Murphy and is not opposed to him receiving commiserate pay and asked that his vote not to be interpreted as a vote against him. He feels that the Council should honor the existing ordinance and it is not a reflection on Judge Murphy or how he runs his court

Motion approved 6-1-0. Mayor Griffin declared the motion carried.

Voting in favor of the motion: Mayor Griffin, Councilmember Sartor, Councilmember Champney, Councilmember Farco, Councilmember Turner and Councilmember Fisher.

Voting in opposition to the motion: Councilmember Gebhart

- 8. Consider an ordinance to rename Shoalmont Road to Texas Harley Way; describing such street; repealing all ordinances in conflict herewith; providing a severability clause and**

**declaring an effective date.**

This item was approved by consent.

- 9. Consider a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a contractual agreement with Strategic Government Resources (SGR) to conduct an executive search for the position of Fire Chief in an amount not to exceed \$25,000.**

This item was approved by consent.

- 10. Consider a resolution authorizing the City Manager to cancel the July 12, July 26, November 22 and December 20 regular Council meetings.**

This item was approved by consent.

- 11. Discussion on the Boys Ranch Park Lake. \*\*Item requested by Councilmember Fisher.**

Councilmember Fisher requested this item be placed on the agenda for discussion. He stated that the first phase of the Boys Ranch Park is nearly complete and he is proud of the work that has been done. The Park was showcased the previous week at ArtsFest and it was good to see that many people enjoying the Park. It is a great achievement and the citizens should be proud of it. He stated that multiple parents have requested more seating around the playground area and there is a need for more trash receptacles that meet the design criteria of the Park. Further, there is five to six feet of dirt and soil under the pedestrian bridges and he suggested pouring concrete or somehow making it solid to prevent grass, weeds and debris from building up there. He stated that if there are extra bond funds available, the lighting should be expanded to the older parts of the Park. In regard to the wildlife ramps, he suggested they be installed under the piers to make them inaccessible to humans due to liability issues. He stated the current ordinances concerning people building their own ramps or putting things in the lake should be strictly enforced, and requested the Wildfire camera network be installed for surveillance of the Park. Council was of the consensus to discuss these items at a future work session.

- 12. Report on most recent meeting of the following Boards and Commissions:**

✓ **Animal Shelter Advisory Board - Councilmember Fisher**

Councilmember Fisher reported that at the Pet Fair on Saturday, there were \$476.00 in donations, and one cat and three dogs were adopted. He thanked the Animal Shelter staff and volunteers, and stated that as a Council, they should be supported more and given all the tools necessary for them to be successful at their jobs.

✓ **Beautification Commission - Councilmember Turner**

Councilmember Turner reported that Clean Up Bedford Day and Chunk Your Junk Day held on April 9 were very well attended. In response to Councilmember Fisher's request regarding seating at the Boys Ranch Park, he stated the Commission voted to purchase four benches for that purpose and that they would primarily be placed along the trails and around the lake.

✓ **Community Affairs Commission - Councilmember Farco**

Councilmember Farco reported that the Commission will be hosting a block party on April 15 in the Brookwood Hills neighborhood. He thanked Margaret Hall, one of the newest members of the Commission, who was in attendance.

✓ **Cultural Commission - Councilmember Champney**

Councilmember Champney reported that City staff did an outstanding job with the well-attended ArtsFest event, which is part and parcel of the emerging Cultural District. The Arts Storefront event will be held on Saturday from 3:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. and will feature live entertainment, food, and a storefront decorated with art from the local community. These are the types of events that will continue to be developed because of the leadership in the City, commitment from the staff, and the ability of Council to work together.

✓ **Library Advisory Board - Councilmember Farco**

Councilmember Farco reported that the next meeting of the Board will be on Wednesday, April 27.

✓ **Parks and Recreation Board - Councilmember Sartor**

Councilmember Sartor recognized Randy Newby, one of the newest members of the Board, who was in attendance. He reported that the Boys Ranch construction is about 99 percent complete, which allows the Board to look further down to other parks and improvements to the existing park system throughout the entire City. The Parks Master Plan will be presented at the next Council meeting, and improvements to the parks and other ideas were presented, including handicap areas.

✓ **Teen Court Advisory Board - Councilmember Gebhart**

Councilmember Gebhart reported that the Board met on April 7 and the primary discussion was on future efforts for fundraising, including a sign denoting support for Teen Court to be sold to different establishments in the HEB area. There was also discussion on last minute preparations for the Teen Court Gala that will be held on April 28 at the Hurst Conference Center. He encouraged everybody to consider participating or sponsoring a table for \$500.00 to help teens who put a lot in the community by helping in Teen Court.

✓ **Senior Citizen Liaison - Councilmember Turner**

No report was given.

### **13. Council member Reports**

Councilmember Fisher stated that 14 months previously, the City was in need on an interim city manager, and it needed to be somebody not only willing to serve on an interim basis, but that was also trustworthy and had the support of employees. There was one clear choice and that person was Roger Gibson. As the search for a city manager began, Mr. Gibson made moves and decisions that made some people upset; however, throughout the process, Mr. Gibson informed Council of each of his decisions and his rational behind them. His logic was sound and within his rights to make such decisions. It was clear that Council had their choice and decided to remove the "interim" tag from Mr. Gibson' title. Councilmember Fisher stated that he has not once been disappointed with a decision Mr. Gibson has made, and he always lays out his rational and thought processes, and it is clear he is leading his team. The entire staff is amazing and he wants residents to know how he feels about Mr. Gibson and his team. He agrees with Mr. Gibson's decisions and believes that he has the best interest of the City in his heart. The Council, Mr. Gibson and his team have had many accomplishments and he is 100 percent behind Mr. Gibson.

Councilmember Turner stated that he echoed Councilmember Fisher's statement on Mr. Gibson and his staff.

Councilmember Farco stated that he echoed Councilmember Fisher's comments, and staff has in Mr. Gibson a leader that leads. He always keeps Council informed and he loves his City and staff.

Councilmember Sartor echoed Councilmember Fisher's comments and stated it is a pleasure to work with a team where the entire team cares. An organization only rises to level that their leader sets, and Mr. Gibson sets the bar very high. He always keeps the Council informed and there are never any surprises.

Councilmember Champney commended Mr. Gibson on the job he has done, which is reflected by the activity in the City and on the Council. He has done an outstanding job as a leader, which can be seen in Council presentations being made, the concern and care from department heads, and the way Council is able to work in tandem with the City Manager and his staff. There has been a sea change for the good in the City.

Councilmember Gebhart stated that as a newcomer to Council, he has had a totally different experience than that of previous new members. The environment has been very open and collaborative with

everybody working together. It had not been like that previously and he can attest that Mr. Gibson has made a sea change in the culture at City Hall through his leadership.

**14. City Manager/Staff Reports**

City Manager Roger Gibson stated that in regards to the animal control issue, the Pet Fair is held twice a year and is an opportunity to help promote responsible pet ownership and adoptions. A little over a year ago, Council and some citizens jointly decided to purchase an adoption trailer, which allows staff to take the Pet Fair all over the City, with the adoption results being significant. Staff is able to get pets into homes in greater opportunities than before thanks to the trailer.

**15. Take any action necessary as a result of the Executive Session.**

Item #6 was voted on during the Regular Session.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Mayor Griffin adjourned the meeting at 7:46 p.m.

---

Jim Griffin, Mayor

ATTEST:

---

Michael Wells, City Secretary



# Council Agenda Background

**PRESENTER:** Gary Clopton, IT Manager

**DATE:** 04/26/16

**Council Mission Area:** Be responsive to the needs of the community.

**ITEM:**

Consider a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a contract with Lantana Communications, Inc. to upgrade the existing Avaya IP Office Telephone System in the amount of \$81,070.94.

**City Attorney Review:** N/A

**City Manager Review:** \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCUSSION:**

The City of Bedford Avaya IP Office Telephone System has been in service since 2006. This system has reached end of life and is no longer supported by Avaya Solutions. Additionally, it is becoming increasingly difficult for the City’s telephone systems integrator, Lantana Communications, to obtain aftermarket parts.

The scope of this upgrade project includes replacing the private branch exchange equipment that is located on all City of Bedford campuses that have telephone service as well as replacing the voice mail system. Because handset instrumentation and expansion modules are still fully supported and available, staff will be able to continue using that equipment. The cost of this upgrade project is approximately \$177 less than the amount approved in the budget.

Funding for this project will help to ensure that the City of Bedford telephone system is stable and major service disruptions are avoided.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends the following motion:

Approval of a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a contract with Lantana Communications, Inc. to upgrade the existing Avaya IP Office Telephone System in the amount of \$81,070.94.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

FY 2015-16 Budgeted amount	\$81,248.00
Computer Replacement Fund	\$56,418.86
Water Fund	\$12,085.96
<u>Tourism Fund</u>	<u>\$12,566.12</u>
Remaining	\$177.06

**ATTACHMENTS:**

Resolution  
Quote

**RESOLUTION NO. 16-**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH LANTANA COMMUNICATIONS, INC. TO UPGRADE THE EXISTING AVAYA IP OFFICE TELEPHONE SYSTEM IN THE AMOUNT OF \$81,070.94**

**WHEREAS, the telephone system is obsolete and no longer supported by the manufacture; and,**

**WHEREAS, a major hardware failure will result in a significant telephone service disruption.**

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BEDFORD, TEXAS:**

**SECTION 1. That the findings above are found to be true and correct, and are incorporated herein.**

**SECTION 2. That the City Manager is authorized to purchase a new Avaya IP Office Telephone System.**

**SECTION 3. That funding in the amount of \$56,418.86 will come from the Computer Replacement Fund, \$12,566.12 from the Tourism Fund, and \$12,085.96 from the Water Fund, for a total project cost of \$81,070.94.**

**PRESENTED AND PASSED this 26th day of April 2016, by a vote of \_\_\_ ayes, \_\_\_ nays and \_\_\_ abstentions, at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Bedford, Texas.**

---

**Jim Griffin, Mayor**

**ATTEST:**

---

**Michael Wells, City Secretary**

**APPROVED AS TO FORM:**

---

**Stan Lowry, City Attorney**



3.21.2016

Mr. Billy Mason  
 City of Bedford  
 2000 Forest Ridge Dr  
 Bedford, TX 76201

Prepared by:  
 Corey Winn  
 Account Manager  
 817.606.3423  
[cwinn@lantanacom.com](mailto:cwinn@lantanacom.com)

**Boys Ranch AVAYA IP OFFICE UPGRADE PREPARED FOR CITY OF BEDFORD**  
**IP Office 406v2 Migration - 500 V2**

Qty.	Item	Unit Cost	Ext Cost
1	AVAYA COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTION	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO SMB MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO R9 ESSNTL ED ADI LIC	\$336.60	\$336.60
2	IPO R9+ IP500 T1 ADD 8CH ADI LIC	\$499.80	\$999.60
32	IPO R9+ IP500 VCE NTWK 4 ADI LIC	\$430.80	\$13,785.60
1	IPO R9 PREFRD VM PRO ADI LIC	\$1,246.31	\$1,246.31
5	IPO ISDN RJ45/RJ45 3M RED	\$3.22	\$16.10
1	PWR CORD NA 18AWG 10 Amp AC	\$12.11	\$12.11
1	IPO IP500 TRNK PRI UNVRSL SNGL	\$636.86	\$636.86
4	IPO IP500 RACK MNTG KIT	\$40.16	\$160.65
1	IPO IP500 V2 CNTRL UNIT	\$435.41	\$435.41
1	IPO IP500 V2 SYS SD CARD MUL	\$33.79	\$33.79
1	IPO IP500 TRNK ANLG 4U V2	\$267.75	\$267.75
2	IPO MC VCM 64 V2	\$234.60	\$469.20
1	IPO R9 USER/ADMIN SET DVD	\$12.75	\$12.75
1	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	SAL POLICY SRVR LIC R1.5 DWNLD	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO C/D RTS 8X5 - 500 V2 1YPP	\$288.92	\$288.92
Subtotal			\$18,701.65
Installation - Lantana Professional Services			\$1,750.00
<b>Total Investment - Boys Ranch</b>			<b>\$20,451.65</b>

**City Hall A**  
**IP Office 412 Migration - 500 V2**

Qty.	Item	Unit Cost	Ext Cost
1	AVAYA COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTION	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO SMB MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO R9+ AV IP ENDPT 1 ADI LIC	\$49.09	\$49.09
2	IPO R9+ AV IP ENDPT 5 ADI LIC	\$245.44	\$490.88
1	IPO R9 ESSNTL ED ADI LIC	\$336.60	\$336.60
3	IPO R9+ IP500 VCE NTWK 4 ADI LIC	\$457.73	\$1,373.18
4	IPO ISDN RJ45/RJ45 3M RED	\$3.22	\$12.88
1	PWR CORD NA 18AWG 10 Amp AC	\$12.11	\$12.11
1	IPO IP500 EXTN CARD DGTL STA 8	\$368.48	\$368.48
1	IPO IP500 RACK MNTG KIT	\$40.16	\$40.16
1	IPO IP500 V2 CNTRL UNIT	\$435.41	\$435.41
1	IPO IP500 V2 SYS SD CARD MUL	\$33.79	\$33.79
1	IPO MC VCM 32 V2	\$117.30	\$117.30
1	IPO IP500v2 COMBO CARD ATM V2	\$402.26	\$402.26
1	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO C/D RTS 8X5 - 500 V2 1YPP	\$288.92	\$288.92
Subtotal			\$3,961.04
Installation - Lantana Professional Services			\$1,250.00
<b>Total Investment - City Hall A</b>			<b>\$5,211.04</b>

**City Hall B**  
**IP Office 406v2 Migration - 500 V2**

Qty.	Item	Unit Cost	Ext Cost
1	AVAYA COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTION	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO SMB MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO R9+ AV IP ENDPT 1 ADI LIC	\$49.09	\$49.09
1	IPO R9 ESSNTL ED ADI LIC	\$336.60	\$336.60
8	IPO R9+ IP500 VCE NTWK 4 ADI LIC	\$457.73	\$3,661.80
4	IPO ISDN RJ45/RJ45 3M RED	\$3.22	\$12.88
1	PWR CORD NA 18AWG 10 Amp AC	\$12.11	\$12.11
1	IPO IP500 EXTN CARD DGTL STA 8	\$368.48	\$368.48
4	IPO IP500 RACK MNTG KIT	\$40.16	\$160.65
1	IPO IP500 V2 CNTRL UNIT	\$435.41	\$435.41
1	IPO IP500 V2 SYS SD CARD MUL	\$33.79	\$33.79
1	IPO MC VCM 32 V2	\$117.30	\$117.30
1	IPO IP500v2 COMBO CARD ATM V2	\$402.26	\$402.26
1	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO C/D RTS 8X5 - 500 V2 1YPP	\$288.92	\$288.92
Subtotal			\$5,879.28
Installation - Lantana Professional Services			\$1,250.00
<b>Total Investment - City Hall B</b>			<b>\$7,129.28</b>

**Fire Station 1**  
**IP Office 406v2 Migration - 500 V2**

Qty.	Item	Unit Cost	Ext Cost
1	AVAYA COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTION	\$0.00	\$0.00

1	IPO SMB MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO R9 ESSNTL ED ADI LIC	\$336.60	\$336.60
4	IPO R9+ IP500 VCE NTWK 4 ADI LIC	\$457.73	\$1,830.90
4	IPO ISDN RJ45/RJ45 3M RED	\$3.22	\$12.88
1	PWR CORD NA 18AWG 10 Amp AC	\$12.11	\$12.11
1	IPO IP500 EXTN CARD DGTL STA 8	\$368.48	\$368.48
2	IPO IP500 RACK MNTG KIT	\$40.16	\$80.33
1	IPO IP500 V2 CNTRL UNIT	\$435.41	\$435.41
1	IPO IP500 V2 SYS SD CARD MUL	\$33.79	\$33.79
1	IPO MC VCM 32 V2	\$117.30	\$117.30
1	IPO IP500v2 COMBO CARD ATM V2	\$402.26	\$402.26
1	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO C/D RTS 8X5 - 500 V2 1YPP	\$288.92	\$288.92
Subtotal			\$3,918.97
Installation - Lantana Professional Services			\$1,250.00
<b>Total Investment - Fire Station 1</b>			<b>\$5,168.97</b>

**Fire Station 2**

**IP Office 406v2 Migration - 500V2**

Qty.	Item	Unit Cost	Ext Cost
1	AVAYA COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTION	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO SMB MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO R9 ESSNTL ED ADI LIC	\$336.60	\$336.60
2	IPO R9+ IP500 VCE NTWK 4 ADI LIC	\$457.73	\$915.45
4	IPO ISDN RJ45/RJ45 3M RED	\$3.22	\$12.88
1	PWR CORD NA 18AWG 10 Amp AC	\$12.11	\$12.11
1	IPO IP500 EXTN CARD DGTL STA 8	\$368.48	\$368.48
1	IPO IP500 RACK MNTG KIT	\$40.16	\$40.16
1	IPO IP500 V2 CNTRL UNIT	\$435.41	\$435.41
1	IPO IP500 V2 SYS SD CARD MUL	\$33.79	\$33.79
1	IPO MC VCM 32 V2	\$117.30	\$117.30
1	IPO IP500v2 COMBO CARD ATM V2	\$402.26	\$402.26
1	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO C/D RTS 8X5 - 500 V2 1YPP	\$288.92	\$288.92
Subtotal			\$2,963.36
Installation - Lantana Professional Services			\$1,250.00
<b>Total Investment - Fire Station 2</b>			<b>\$4,213.36</b>

**Fire Station 3**

**IP Office 406v2 Migration - 500 V2**

Qty.	Item	Unit Cost	Ext Cost
1	AVAYA COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTION	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO SMB MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO R9 ESSNTL ED ADI LIC	\$336.60	\$336.60
2	IPO R9+ IP500 VCE NTWK 4 ADI LIC	\$457.73	\$915.45
4	IPO ISDN RJ45/RJ45 3M RED	\$3.22	\$12.88
1	PWR CORD NA 18AWG 10 Amp AC	\$12.11	\$12.11
1	IPO IP500 EXTN CARD DGTL STA 8	\$368.48	\$368.48
1	IPO IP500 RACK MNTG KIT	\$40.16	\$40.16
1	IPO IP500 V2 CNTRL UNIT	\$435.41	\$435.41
1	IPO IP500 V2 SYS SD CARD MUL	\$33.79	\$33.79
1	IPO MC VCM 32 V2	\$117.30	\$117.30
1	IPO IP500v2 COMBO CARD ATM V2	\$402.26	\$402.26
1	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO C/D RTS 8X5 - 500 V2 1YPP	\$288.92	\$288.92
Subtotal			\$2,963.36
Installation - Lantana Professional Services			\$1,250.00
<b>Total Investment - Fire Station 3</b>			<b>\$4,213.36</b>

**Law Enforcement**

**IP Office 412 Migration - 500 V2**

Qty.	Item	Unit Cost	Ext Cost
1	AVAYA COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTION	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO SMB MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
2	IPO R9+ AV IP ENDPT 1 ADI LIC	\$49.09	\$98.18
1	IPO R9 ESSNTL ED ADI LIC	\$336.60	\$336.60
2	IPO R9+ IP500 T1 ADD 8CH ADI LIC	\$499.80	\$999.60
15	IPO R9+ IP500 VCE NTWK 4 ADI LIC	\$457.73	\$6,865.88
5	IPO ISDN RJ45/RJ45 3M RED	\$3.22	\$16.10
1	PWR CORD NA 18AWG 10 Amp AC	\$12.11	\$12.11
1	IPO IP500 TRNK PRI UNVRSL SNGL	\$636.86	\$636.86
6	IPO IP500 RACK MNTG KIT	\$40.16	\$240.98
1	IPO IP500 V2 CNTRL UNIT	\$435.41	\$435.41
1	IPO IP500 V2 SYS SD CARD MUL	\$33.79	\$33.79
1	IPO IP500 TRNK ANLG 4U V2	\$267.75	\$267.75
2	IPO MC VCM 64 V2	\$234.60	\$469.20
1	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO C/D RTS 8X5 - 500 V2 1YPP	\$288.92	\$288.92
Subtotal			\$10,701.36
Installation - Lantana Professional Services			\$1,250.00
<b>Total Investment - Law Enforcement</b>			<b>\$11,951.36</b>

**Library**

IP Office 406v2 Migration - 500 V2

Qty.	Item	Unit Cost	Ext Cost
1	AVAYA COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTION	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO SMB MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO R9 ESSNTL ED ADI LIC	\$336.60	\$336.60
4	IPO R9+ IP500 VCE NTWK 4 ADI LIC	\$457.73	\$1,830.90
4	IPO ISDN RJ45/RJ45 3M RED	\$3.22	\$12.88
1	PWR CORD NA 18AWG 10 Amp AC	\$12.11	\$12.11
1	IPO IP500 EXTN CARD DGTL STA 8	\$368.48	\$368.48
3	IPO IP500 RACK MNTG KIT	\$40.16	\$120.49
1	IPO IP500 V2 CNTRL UNIT	\$435.41	\$435.41
1	IPO IP500 V2 SYS SD CARD MUL	\$33.79	\$33.79
1	IPO MC VCM 32 V2	\$117.30	\$117.30
1	IPO IP500v2 COMBO CARD ATM V2	\$402.26	\$402.26
1	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO C/D RTS 8X5 - 500 V2 1YPP	\$288.92	\$288.92
Subtotal			\$3,959.13
Installation - Lantana Professional Services			\$1,250.00
<b>Total Investment - Library</b>			<b>\$5,209.13</b>

Old Bedford School

IP Office 406v2 Migration - 500 V2

Qty.	Item	Unit Cost	Ext Cost
1	AVAYA COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTION	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO SMB MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
2	IPO R9+ AV IP ENDPT 1 ADI LIC	\$49.09	\$98.18
1	IPO R9+ AV IP ENDPT 5 ADI LIC	\$245.44	\$245.44
1	IPO R9 ESSNTL ED ADI LIC	\$336.60	\$336.60
2	IPO R9+ IP500 VCE NTWK 4 ADI LIC	\$457.73	\$915.45
4	IPO ISDN RJ45/RJ45 3M RED	\$3.22	\$12.88
1	PWR CORD NA 18AWG 10 Amp AC	\$12.11	\$12.11
1	IPO IP500 EXTN CARD DGTL STA 8	\$368.48	\$368.48
1	IPO IP500 RACK MNTG KIT	\$40.16	\$40.16
1	IPO IP500 V2 CNTRL UNIT	\$435.41	\$435.41
1	IPO IP500 V2 SYS SD CARD MUL	\$33.79	\$33.79
1	IPO MC VCM 32 V2	\$117.30	\$117.30
1	IPO IP500v2 COMBO CARD ATM V2	\$402.26	\$402.26
1	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO C/D RTS 8X5 - 500 V2 1YPP	\$288.92	\$288.92
Subtotal			\$3,306.97
Installation - Lantana Professional Services			\$1,250.00
<b>Total Investment - Old Bedford School</b>			<b>\$4,556.97</b>

Parks Admin

IP Office 406v2 Migration - 500 V2

Qty.	Item	Unit Cost	Ext Cost
1	AVAYA COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTION	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO SMB MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO R9 ESSNTL ED ADI LIC	\$336.60	\$336.60
2	IPO R9+ IP500 VCE NTWK 4 ADI LIC	\$457.73	\$915.45
4	IPO ISDN RJ45/RJ45 3M RED	\$3.22	\$12.88
1	PWR CORD NA 18AWG 10 Amp AC	\$12.11	\$12.11
1	IPO IP500 EXTN CARD DGTL STA 8	\$368.48	\$368.48
2	IPO IP500 RACK MNTG KIT	\$40.16	\$80.33
1	IPO IP500 V2 CNTRL UNIT	\$435.41	\$435.41
1	IPO IP500 V2 SYS SD CARD MUL	\$33.79	\$33.79
1	IPO MC VCM 32 V2	\$117.30	\$117.30
1	IPO IP500v2 COMBO CARD ATM V2	\$402.26	\$402.26
1	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO C/D RTS 8X5 - 500 V2 1YPP	\$288.92	\$288.92
Subtotal			\$3,003.52
Installation - Lantana Professional Services			\$1,250.00
<b>Total Investment - Parks Admin</b>			<b>\$4,253.52</b>

Service Center

IP Office 406v2 Migration - 500V2

Qty.	Item	Unit Cost	Ext Cost
1	AVAYA COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTION	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO SMB MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO R9+ AV IP ENDPT 5 ADI LIC	\$245.44	\$245.44
1	IPO R9 ESSNTL ED ADI LIC	\$336.60	\$336.60
3	IPO R9+ IP500 VCE NTWK 4 ADI LIC	\$457.73	\$1,373.18
4	IPO ISDN RJ45/RJ45 3M RED	\$3.22	\$12.88
1	PWR CORD NA 18AWG 10 Amp AC	\$12.11	\$12.11
1	IPO IP500 EXTN CARD DGTL STA 8	\$368.48	\$368.48
2	IPO IP500 RACK MNTG KIT	\$40.16	\$80.33
1	IPO IP500 V2 CNTRL UNIT	\$435.41	\$435.41
1	IPO IP500 V2 SYS SD CARD MUL	\$33.79	\$33.79
1	IPO MC VCM 32 V2	\$117.30	\$117.30
1	IPO IP500v2 COMBO CARD ATM V2	\$402.26	\$402.26
1	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO C/D RTS 8X5 - 500 V2 1YPP	\$288.92	\$288.92
Subtotal			\$3,706.68
Installation - Lantana Professional Services			\$1,250.00
<b>Total Investment - Service Center</b>			<b>\$4,956.68</b>

**TXI Solutions**  
**IP Office 406v2 Migration - 500 V2**

Qty.	Item	Unit Cost	Ext Cost
1	AVAYA COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTION	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO SMB MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO R9 ESSNTL ED ADI LIC	\$336.60	\$336.60
1	IPO R9+ IP500 VCE NTWK 4 ADI LIC	\$457.73	\$457.73
4	IPO ISDN RJ45/RJ45 3M RED	\$3.22	\$12.88
1	PWR CORD NA 18AWG 10 Amp AC	\$12.11	\$12.11
1	IPO IP500 EXTN CARD DGTL STA 8	\$368.48	\$368.48
1	IPO IP500 RACK MNTG KIT	\$40.16	\$40.16
1	IPO IP500 V2 CNTRL UNIT	\$435.41	\$435.41
1	IPO IP500 V2 SYS SD CARD MUL	\$33.79	\$33.79
1	IPO MC VCM 32 V2	\$117.30	\$117.30
1	IPO IP500v2 COMBO CARD ATM V2	\$402.26	\$402.26
1	COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT MODEL	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	IPO C/D RTS 8X5 - 500 V2 1YPP	\$288.92	\$288.92
	Subtotal		\$2,505.63
	Installation - Lantana Professional Services		\$1,250.00
	<b>Total Investment - TXI</b>		<b>\$3,755.63</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL - CITY OF BEDFORD</b>			<b>\$81,070.94</b>



# Council Agenda Background

**PRESENTER:** Meg Jakubik, Strategic Services Manager

**DATE:** 04/26/16

**Council Mission Area:** Be responsive to the needs of the community.

**ITEM:**

Consider a resolution directing Oncor Electric Delivery Company, LLC to file certain information with the City of Bedford; setting a procedural schedule for the gathering and review of necessary information in connection therewith; and setting dates for the filing of the City's analysis of the company's filing and the company's rebuttal to such analysis.

**City Attorney Review:** Yes

**City Manager Review:** \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCUSSION:**

Recent Public Utility Commission of Texas ("Commission") proceedings related to approval of conversion of Oncor into a Real Estate Investment Trust ("REIT") resulted in the Commissioners' desire to share \$200 million to \$250 million in annual federal income tax ("FIT") savings with Oncor ratepayers. Since the proceeding involving a change of ownership and control of Oncor was not a rate case, the Commission had no jurisdiction to order a reduction in rates. The new owners of Oncor indicated an intent to file a rate case in mid-2017 to become effective in 2018. Believing that a two year wait to factor FIT savings into rates was too long to wait, the Steering Committee of Cities Served by Oncor decided to initiate a rate case against Oncor.

The City of Bedford participates in the Steering Committee of Cities Served By Oncor, which recommended member cities pass this show cause resolution. The City pays annual dues to the Committee, which will process all charges for attorney fees and consultants. Since this is a rate case, the Committee will seek reimbursement from Oncor as allowed by law.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends the following motion:

Approval of a resolution directing Oncor Electric Delivery Company, LLC to file certain information with the City of Bedford; setting a procedural schedule for the gathering and review of necessary information in connection therewith; and setting dates for the filing of the City's analysis of the company's filing and the company's rebuttal to such analysis.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

The City pays annual fees to the Steering Committee of Cities Served By Oncor, which will process all charges for the case and will seek reimbursement from Oncor.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

Resolution

RESOLUTION NO. 16-

A RESOLUTION DIRECTING ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY, LLC TO FILE CERTAIN INFORMATION WITH THE CITY OF BEDFORD; SETTING A PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE FOR THE GATHERING AND REVIEW OF NECESSARY INFORMATION IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; SETTING DATES FOR THE FILING OF THE CITY'S ANALYSIS OF THE COMPANY'S FILING AND THE COMPANY'S REBUTTAL TO SUCH ANALYSIS; RATIFYING THE HIRING OF LEGAL COUNSEL AND CONSULTANTS; RESERVING THE RIGHT TO REQUIRE THE REIMBURSEMENT OF THE CITY OF BEDFORD'S RATE CASE EXPENSES; SETTING A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE PURPOSES OF DETERMINING IF THE EXISTING RATES OF ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY ARE UNREASONABLE OR IN ANY WAY IN VIOLATION OF ANY PROVISION OF LAW AND THE DETERMINATION BY THE CITY OF BEDFORD OF JUST AND REASONABLE RATES TO BE CHARGED BY ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY, LLC.; NOTING COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETINGS LAW; AND PROVIDING NOTICE OF PASSAGE.

WHEREAS, the City of Bedford is a regulatory authority under the Public Utility Regulatory Act ("PURA") and has original jurisdiction over the rates of Oncor Electric Delivery Company, LLC ("Oncor") to determine if such rates are just and reasonable; and,

WHEREAS, Sections 33.021, 36.003 and 36.151 of PURA empower a regulatory authority, on its own motion or on a complaint by any affected person, to determine whether the existing rates of any public utility for any service are unreasonable or in any way in violation of any provision of law, and upon such determination, to determine the just and reasonable rates; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Bedford has reason to believe that Oncor is over-earning and its rates are excessive; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Bedford is a member of the Steering Committee of Cities Served By Oncor; and,

WHEREAS, the Executive Committee of the Steering Committee of Cities Served by Oncor has recommended that cities pass a resolution that requires Oncor to show cause why its transmission and distribution rates should not be reduced; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Bedford, and the City Council of the City of Bedford desires, on its own motion, to exercise its authority under Sections 33.021, 36.003 and 36.151 of PURA; and,

WHEREAS, a procedural schedule should be established for the filing of certain information by Oncor, procedures to be followed to obtain and review information from Oncor, the filing of an analysis of such information by the City, the filing of rebuttal information from Oncor, and a public hearing at which time the City shall make a determination whether the existing rates of Oncor are unreasonable or are in any way in violation of any provision of law, and if such rates should be revised, and just and reasonable rates determined for Oncor.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BEDFORD, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the findings above are found to be true and correct, and are incorporated herein.

SECTION 2. That this resolution constitutes notice of the City's intent to proceed with an inquiry into the transmission and distribution rates charged by Oncor. On or before September 1, 2016, Oncor shall file with the City of Bedford information that demonstrates good cause for showing that Oncor's transmission and distribution rates should not be reduced. Specifically, Oncor shall file with the City of Bedford information for the test year beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2015, regarding Oncor's cost of service elements, including, but not limited to, the elements detailed by the Public Utility Commission as necessary for the filing of a

**Statement of Intent to Change Rates.** The test year may be updated for more current data and shall be adjusted for known and measureable changes.

- SECTION 3.** That the City's designated representatives shall have the right to obtain additional information from Oncor through the filing of requests for information, which shall be responded to within fifteen (15) days from the receipt of such request for information.
- SECTION 4.** That the City's designated representatives shall file their analysis of Oncor's filing and information on or before October 13, 2016.
- SECTION 5.** That Oncor shall file any rebuttal to the analysis of City's representatives on or before November 10, 2016. With its rebuttal, Oncor may present whatever additional information it desires to defend its current rates.
- SECTION 6.** That a public hearing shall be conducted by the City Council for the City of Bedford during a regular council meeting scheduled between November 15 and December 15. At such hearing a representative of Oncor and a representative of the City of Bedford's consultants will each be allowed to address the City Council and summarize previously filed reports for no more than 15 minutes. Based upon such hearing, a determination of the reasonableness of the existing rates of Oncor shall be made by the City Council and, if necessary, just and reasonable rates shall be determined to be thereafter observed and enforced for all services of Oncor within the City of Bedford, Texas.
- SECTION 7.** That the City Council may, from time to time, amend this procedural schedule and enter additional orders as may be necessary in the public interest and to enforce the provisions hereof.
- SECTION 8.** That subject to the right to terminate employment at any time, the City of Bedford hereby ratifies the Steering Committee's selection of Geoffrey Gay with the law firm of Lloyd, Gosselink, Rochelle & Townsend as legal counsel to assist the City of Bedford in its ratemaking and to prosecute any appeals to the Texas Public Utility Commission or court. The Executive Committee of the Steering Committee of Cities Served by Oncor shall retain appropriate consultants to prepare a report and make rate recommendations.
- SECTION 9.** That fees and expenses of attorneys and consultants assisting the City in the Steering Committee's review of the reasonableness of Oncor's rates will be processed through the Steering Committee but the City reserves the right to seek reimbursement from Oncor pursuant to the PURA Section 33.023.
- SECTION 10.** That it is hereby officially found and determined that the meeting at which this resolution was passed was open to the public as required by law, and that public notice of the time, place, and purpose of said meeting was given all as required by Section 551.041, Texas Government Code.
- SECTION 11.** That a copy of this Resolution shall be sent to E. Allen Nye, Jr., Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, 1616 Woodall Rodgers Freeway, Dallas, Texas 75202, and to Geoffrey Gay, General Counsel to the Steering Committee of Cities Served by Oncor, at Lloyd Gosselink Rochelle & Townsend, P.C., P.O. Box 1725, Austin, Texas 78767-1725.

**PRESENTED AND PASSED** on this 26th day of April 2016, by a vote of \_\_\_ ayes, \_\_\_ nays and \_\_\_ abstentions, at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Bedford, Texas.

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Jim Griffin, Mayor**

**ATTEST:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Michael Wells, City Secretary**

**APPROVED AS TO FORM:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Stan Lowry, City Attorney**



# Council Agenda Background

<b>PRESENTER:</b> Eric Valdez, Community Services Manager Don Henderson, Parks Superintendent John Fain, Consultant		<b>DATE:</b> 04/26/16
<b>Council Mission Area:</b> Be responsive to the needs of the community.		
<b>ITEM:</b> Consider a resolution adopting the 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan.  <b>City Attorney Review:</b> N/A  <b>City Manager Review:</b> _____		
<b>DISCUSSION:</b> This resolution is to approve the 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan. The process to develop this Plan included public meetings, a parks and recreation survey, and meetings with various boards and commissions. The Plan as presented includes the list of accomplishments, renovations, needs assessments, and opportunities for improvements. The following parks accomplishments are outlined in the plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• BOYS RANCH RENOVATION, PHASE 1</li><li>• BARK PARK DEVELOPMENT</li><li>• BOYS RANCH ACTIVITIES CENTER ROOF REPLACEMENT</li><li>• PLAYGROUND RENOVATION</li></ul> The 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan is a tool to guide the future development of the Parks and Recreation system. It does not provide a definitive timeline for construction. At any time, the Plan can be modified to accommodate future needs or changes.  Once the approval process is completed, the 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan can be filed with the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD). A filed Parks System Master Plan is a requirement of the TPWD in order to be eligible to receive grant funding.		
<b>RECOMMENDATION:</b> Staff recommends the following motion:  Approval of a resolution adopting the 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan.		
<b>FISCAL IMPACT:</b>  N/A	<b>ATTACHMENTS:</b>  Resolution 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan (Draft) PowerPoint	

RESOLUTION NO. 16-

A RESOLUTION OF THE BEDFORD CITY COUNCIL, TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS ADOPTING THE 2016 PARKS AND RECREATION MASTER PLAN, AND FINDING AND DETERMINING THAT THE MEETING AT WHICH THIS RESOLUTION WAS PASSED WAS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC AS REQUIRED BY LAW.

WHEREAS, the City Council of Bedford, Texas recognizes the need for a Parks and Recreation Master Plan (the "Plan") to provide goals, needs assessments, standards recommendations and strategies for implementation over a ten-year period in an effort to provide for and continually improve park and recreation facilities, provide trail opportunities, preserve open space and rehabilitate City parks; and,

WHEREAS, primary objectives of the plan are to provide parks and recreational services desired by the citizens of Bedford, acquire needed open spaces, and preserve natural resources; and,

WHEREAS, in order to address recreation needs in the future, Bedford has sought citizen input through surveys, public meetings, and City staff and that input has been incorporated in the Plan; and,

WHEREAS, the Plan complies with Texas Parks and Wildlife Department master plan guidelines which require parks and recreation needs be prioritized and addressed in an action plan format; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council of Bedford, Texas determines the Plan reasonable.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BEDFORD, TEXAS:

- SECTION 1. That the findings above are found to be true and correct, and are incorporated herein.
- SECTION 2. That the City of Bedford 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan is officially adopted, as attached hereto and incorporated for all intents and purposes.
- SECTION 3. That a ten-year Action Plan has been developed to prioritize implementation of the City of Bedford 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan, guide the rehabilitation of existing parks and the potential acquisition of new park sites, and explore funding opportunities and mechanisms.
- SECTION 4. That adoption of the City of Bedford 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan shall not commit the City to specific amounts of funding levels or implementation strategies, but shall provide guidance to the City Council for future consideration of indoor and outdoor services for its citizens.

PRESENTED AND PASSED this 26th day of April 2016, by a vote of \_\_\_ ayes, \_\_\_ nays and \_\_\_ abstentions, at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Bedford, Texas.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jim Griffin, Mayor

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Wells, City Secretary

**RESOLUTION NO. 16-**

**APPROVED AS TO FORM:**

---

**Stan Lowry, City Attorney**



**2016 PARKS AND RECREATION  
MASTER PLAN**

2016 – 2026

Prepared By:  
DFL Group, LLC

**RESOLUTION NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_**

A RESOLUTION OF THE BEDFORD CITY COUNCIL, TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS ADOPTING THE 2016 PARKS AND RECREATION MASTER PLAN, AND FINDING AND DETERMINING THAT THE MEETING AT WHICH THIS RESOLUTION WAS PASSED WAS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC AS REQUIRED BY LAW.

WHEREAS, the Bedford City Council recognizes the need for a Parks and Recreation Master Plan (the “Plan”) to provide goals, needs assessments, standards, recommendations, and strategies for implementation over a ten-year period in an effort to provide for and continually improve park and recreational facilities, provide trail opportunities, preserve open space, and rehabilitate existing City parks; and

WHEREAS, the primary objective of the “Plan” is to provide parks and recreational services desired by the citizens of Bedford, acquire needed open spaces, and preserve natural resources; and

WHEREAS, in order to address recreational needs in the future, Bedford has sought citizen input through a survey, public input meetings, and City staff, and that input has been incorporated into the “Plan”; and

WHEREAS, the “Plan” complies with Texas Parks and Wildlife Department master plan guidelines which require parks and recreation needs to be prioritized and addressed in an action plan format; and

WHEREAS, the Bedford City Council determines that the “Plan” is reasonable;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BEDFORD CITY COUNCIL, TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS:

**SECTION I.**

That the City of Bedford 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan is officially adopted, as attached hereto and incorporated herein for all intents and purposes.

**SECTION II.**

That a ten-year Action Plan has been developed to prioritize implementation of the City of Bedford 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan, guide the rehabilitation of existing parks and the potential acquisition of new park sites, and explore funding opportunities and mechanisms.

SECTION III.

That adoption of the City of Bedford 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan shall not commit the City to specific amounts of funding levels or implementation strategies, but shall provide guidance to the City Council for future consideration of indoor and outdoor recreational services for its citizens.

PRESENTED AND APPROVED ON THIS THE \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2016, by a vote of \_\_\_\_ ayes, \_\_\_\_ nays, and \_\_\_\_ abstentions at a regular meeting of the Bedford City Council, Tarrant County, Texas.

APPROVED:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_

**(To be replaced with executed copy)**

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

## Mayor

Jim Griffin

## City Council

Roger Fisher, Mayor Pro Tem

Rusty Sartor, Place 1

Dave Gebhart, Place 2

Ray Champney, Place 3

Steve Farco, Place 4

Roy Turner, Place 5

## Parks and Recreation Board

Jeannette Cook, Chair

Douglas Allbach, Vice Chair

Lisa Cassell

Donna Hanson

Elvera Rose

Renee Hernandez

Jeremy Loy

Lisa McMillan

Cathy Schneider

Rusty Sartor, Council Liaison

## City Staff

Roger Gibson, City Manager

Kelli Agan, Assistant City Manager

Don Henderson, Parks Superintendent

Eric Valdez, Community Services Manager

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction	5
Purpose of the Master Plan	5
Period of Document	6
City Role in Providing Recreational Opportunities	6
City Overview	7
Jurisdiction and Planning Areas	14
II. Goals and Objectives	14
Bedford Goals and Objectives	14
III. Plan Development	20
Plan Review Process and Public Input	21
Updates to the Plan	22
IV. Area and Facility Concepts and Standards	23
Definition and Use of Standards	23
National Versus Local Standards	24
Recreational Land Use Functions	25
Standards Criteria	26
Park Classification Types	26
Bedford Park Classifications	29
Park Acreage Standard	30
Open Space Standard	34
Acquisition Opportunities	35
Organizational Standards	37

V. Inventory of Existing Facilities	38
Purpose of an Inventory of Existing Parks and Open Space	38
Components of the Existing Parks Inventory	39
Bedford Park System	39
City Facilities Developed with Grant Assistance from TPWD	43
HEB ISD Recreation Facilities	43
VI. Needs Assessment and Identification	45
Needs Assessment Methodologies	45
Standards-based Needs Assessment	46
Demand-based Needs Assessment	48
Resource-based Needs Assessment	50
Senior Needs	51
Accessibility for Physically Challenged and Special Populations Needs	52
Open Space Needs	52
Natural Resource Needs	53
Rehabilitation Needs	54
VII. Plan Implementation and Prioritization of Needs	54
Development of Priority Rankings	55
Outdoor Priorities	55
Indoor Priorities	56
Implementation Plan	57
Facility Cost Estimates	58
Local Financing	60
State and Federal Financing	61
Private Financing	62

## VIII. Tables, Maps, Illustrations, and Documents

### Tables:

1-1, 1-2	Population Projections	10, 11
1-3	Population by Age	11
1-4	Population by Race	12
1-5	1999 Population by Income Level	12
4-1	Park Classification and Acreage	31
4-2	Bedford 2010/2016 Standards	32
4-3	Comparative Standards	33
5-1	Inventory of Outdoor Recreation by Park	40
5-2	Inventory of Support Facilities by Park	41
5-3	Inventory of Indoor Recreation – Bedford Boys Ranch	42
5-4	Inventory of HEB ISD Outdoor Recreation Facilities	43
6-1	Recreational Needs Assessment	47
6-2	General Survey Summary	48
7-1	Typical Facility Cost by Park Classification	59

### Appendices:

A.	Bedford Comprehensive Land Use Plan	64
B.	Bedford Commons Plan	65
C.	Tarrant County Map	66
D.	Zoning Map	67
E.	Density Map	68
F.	Census Summary	69
G.	Park Districts Map	70
H.	Existing Facilities	71
I.	Residential Development	72
J.	Acquisition Plan	73
K.	Potential Pocket Parks	74

L.	Trail Connections	75
M.	General Survey Instrument	76
N.	General Survey Findings	77
O.	Public Meeting Notification	78

# **I. Introduction**

## Purpose of the Master Plan

Every quality city in the United States recognizes the inherent needs of comprehensive parks, recreation, and open space opportunities. An effective and responsive park system requires a current and systematic approach to assessing demographic changes, adequate park acreage and locations, safe and attractive facilities, maintenance standards, funding, and the ability to adapt to those changes in a proactive manner. A city's ability to meet the recreational needs of its citizens is an important quality of life indicator...one that demonstrates its commitment to meeting the needs of its citizens.

This plan provides a framework to guide the City of Bedford's short and long-term decision making in the same ways the previous plan did:

- To preserve natural resources;
- To attract appropriate development by enhancing quality of life issues
- To ensure orderly acquisition and development of parks, recreation and open space;
- To explore potential public grant opportunities;
- To propose potential partnerships with surrounding cities and private organizations;
- To encourage proper use of local and non-local financial resources;
- To strategize revitalization of existing recreational lands and facilities;
- To contribute to economic development within the City by enhancing the quality and availability of recreational facilities to serve new and existing customers.

These findings will help City officials guide the growth and direction of Bedford's park system. It will recognize important achievements, identify new challenges and opportunities, and make recommendations for managing the City park system during the next ten years.

### Period of Document

A previous master plan was prepared in 2010 and was intended to cover the ten-year period from 2010 to 2020. With significant achievements and demographic changes in Bedford over the past five years, the City has now requested a revised plan to address the next ten years. It will be subsequently updated as required by the City, or as needed, in order to remain in compliance with Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) master plan guidelines. Such updates will include, at a minimum, a summary of accomplishments, recent public input, changes in inventory, and revised needs, priorities, and implementation strategies.



### City Role in Providing Recreational Opportunities

Although private recreational opportunities are available in and around Bedford, the City itself remains the primary governmental entity charged with providing recreational facilities for its citizens. The park system currently includes recreation services at twelve park sites throughout the City. Bedford's ability to respond to citizen needs is illustrated

with the recent renovations to the Bedford Boys Ranch. These improvements were supported by a municipal bond program and a grant from the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. The Fourth Fest is a local celebration held at the Boys Ranch. The Bedford Blues Fest (Labor Day weekend) is held at the City Hall Complex. The Bedford Bark Park is also a notable achievement. Located north of Meadow Park, it was also constructed with strong public support.

Due to its proximity to other communities around Dallas Fort Worth Airport, citizens are also able to take advantage of additional facilities provided by neighboring cities including Hurst, Euless, Colleyville, North Richland Hills, and Grapevine. Ideally, Bedford trails will eventually connect to trails in Hurst, Colleyville, and Euless. By working with adjoining communities, Bedford can potentially share costs and vastly expand recreational accessibility to a wider number of users.

This plan also addresses and incorporates recommendations from the City's Comprehensive Land Use Plan (Appendix A), completed in September 2010 as well as the Bedford Commons Plan, adopted in June of 2015. (Appendix B.)

## City Overview

### *Location*

Bedford is located in Tarrant County northeast of Fort Worth. Lying between Hurst, Euless, and Colleyville, Bedford is west of the DFW International Airport. The main thoroughfares serving Bedford are SH 183 and 121. In fact, these major arteries bisect the City creating identifiable user zones. These zones or districts are discussed in more detail in the Jurisdiction and Planning Area section. A Location/Tarrant County map is included as Appendix C.

### *History*

The initial settlers of Bedford included numerous former Tennesseans and other Southerners, including Weldon W. Bobo from Bedford County, Tennessee, all looking for new opportunities after the Civil War. Bobo established the first store and post office

in Bedford. By 1883, the population had increased to over 1,000. The prosperous community built a secondary school called the Bedford School which burned in 1893, but was rebuilt in 1912. Tragically, the school burned again in 1993 but was restored and now functions as a history center and meeting place. The Bedford School is listed on the National Register of Historic Places.

Unfortunately for the City, the incoming railroads constructed at the turn of the century were routed through other communities, enticing Bedford residents to move away and shrinking the City's population to near 50 individuals by 1909. The post office was closed and only one store remained.

After World War II, several major factors combined to spur growth for the City: development of nearby military bases and facilities, and establishment of The Bedford Boys Ranch, a home for young boys in 1949. As more improved roads were constructed in the area, the population steadily expanded throughout the remainder of the 20<sup>th</sup> century and the City was incorporated in 1953. In 1958, Bedford joined the Hurst-Euless School District which enticed young families to the area. In 1975, the City purchased The Bedford Boys Ranch which now serves as a social center and recreational park. With construction of the Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport and the subsequent expansion of the airline industry base in the area, Bedford grew rapidly throughout the 1980's and '90's. Today the City is predominantly built out (See zoning map in Appendix D and density map in Appendix E.) It has no potential for further expansion because it is surrounded by neighboring cities. In fact, from 1990 to 2010, U.S. census data reflects a 3,000 increase in population. However, its location provides quick access to many business, cultural, and educational facilities throughout the area.



### *Climate*

Bedford's climate is subtropical with hot, humid summers, and continental with a wide fluctuation in temperature extremes. The average low temperature is 34° F in January, and the average high is 95° F in July. The average annual growing season is 249 days, and rainfall averages 34 inches a year.

### *Geography*

Bedford encompasses approximately 10 square miles within the Cross Timbers and Prairies ecoregion at 32°50'48"N and 97°8'23"W. Elevation is 597 feet above sea level.

Bedford lies above the Trinity Aquifer and within the drainage basin of the Trinity River. Little Bear Creek flows north of the City before joining the West Fork of the Trinity River which flows south of the City. Several smaller creeks meander through portions of the City.

*Geology*

Soils in Bedford consist of Byrone, Crosstell, Gasil, and Lader Urban Land Complexes (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1981. Soil Survey of Tarrant County, Texas).

*Vegetation*

Little remains of the original Fort Worth Prairie and Cross Timbers deciduous woodlands native to the Bedford area. Development has reduced such plant communities to stream corridors and a few relatively undisturbed areas. Typical plants in this area of north central Texas include cedar elm, post oak, cottonwood, pecan, willow, Texas ash, coralberry, skunkbush sumac, Mexican buckeye, elbow bush, little bluestem, bluebonnets, sunflowers, Engelmann daisy, grapes, and white honeysuckle.

However, with a rise in the public’s understanding of water shortages, more drought tolerant plants are being used in public and private landscapes. These plants include desert willow, cedar, germander, salvia, beautyberry, sumac and many others.

*Population*

The 2010 Census shows Bedford’s population at 46,979. Bedford’s records indicate the population was 46,964. In addition, the 2020 projection from the North Central Texas Council of Governments (NCTCOG) predicts 50,210 residents, or a 6.8% increase. With the City’s available open space, it is doubtful that further growth will be sizable.

**Table 1-1**  
**North Central Texas Council of Governments Population Projections**

	<b>2000</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>
<b>Population</b>	47,055**	48,699	50,210	50,395	50,636
<b>Households</b>	20,414**	21,172	21,821	21,905	21,987

\*\*NCTCOG estimate adjusted from 2010 Census count. Approximately 28% of the 2000 population was under 20 years old, 67% was between 20 and 64, and 5% was 65 years of age or older.

The following tables are taken from City data, prepared by Alteryx, Inc. Prepared at a local level, they seem to be the most accurate demographics available. See Appendix F for a complete demographic summary prepared for the City by Alteryx, Inc.

**Table 1-2  
City of Bedford Population Projections**

	<b>2000</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2019</b>
<b>Population</b>	47,177	46,964	49,054	49,825
<b>Households</b>	20,263	21,016	22,022	22,530

**Table 1-3  
Population by Age**

	<b>2000</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2019</b>
<b>0-4</b>	2,775	2,472	2,613	2,827
<b>5-14</b>	5,707	5,172	5,144	5,025
<b>15-19</b>	3,279	2,673	2,650	2,518
<b>20-24</b>	3,272	3,068	3,103	2,607
<b>25-34</b>	7,357	6,919	7,505	7,836
<b>35-44</b>	8,277	6,205	6,311	6,345
<b>45-54</b>	7,812	7,382	7,246	6,401
<b>55-64</b>	4,552	6,560	7,013	7,301
<b>65-74</b>	2,279	3,637	4,247	5,368
<b>75-84</b>	1,303	1,953	2,157	2,476
<b>85+</b>	564	923	1,066	1,121

This data indicates that nearly one third of Bedford’s population is between 45 and 65. This active adult population has and will continue to request recreational opportunities that address their needs. Trails, for instance continue to remain highly popular in the City.

**Table 1-4  
Population % by Race**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Anglo %</b>	<b>Black %</b>	<b>Hispanic* %</b>	<b>Other %</b>
<b>2000</b>	47,177	87.7	3.7	6.7	8.7
<b>2014</b>	49,054	79.6	7.6	13.6	12.9
<b>2019</b>	49,825	78.0	7.9	14.8	14.0

\*Hispanics included in all races

*Economy*

Latest data showed that 71.2% of the population was in the labor force. 28.2% was not in the labor force, with a significant amount of those residents at a retirement level. A majority of those employed are in management or sales positions. The table below represents the latest household income levels.

**Table 1-5  
2014 Population by Income Level**


<b>Income</b>	<b>Households</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Less than \$15,000</b>	1,483	6.7
<b>\$15,000 to \$24,999</b>	1,818	38.3
<b>\$25,000 to \$34,999</b>	2,496	11.3
<b>\$35,000 to \$49,999</b>	3,065	13.9
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	4,222	19.2
<b>\$75,000 to \$99,999</b>	3,297	15.0
<b>\$100,000 to \$149,999</b>	3,667	16.7
<b>\$150,000+</b>	1,972	9.0
<b>Total Households</b>	22,020	100

Bedford's median household income was \$61,256 up from \$54,436 in 2010. The median per capita income was \$36,147 also up from 2010 when it was \$29,466.

### *Education*

In 2014, approximately 19.3% of Bedford residents were high school graduates; 10.5% have an associate's degree; 23.5% have a bachelor's degree; and 9.2% have graduate degree. Only 0.9% have no completed schooling.

The City is served by the Hurst-Euless-Bedford Independent School District with six elementary schools, two junior high schools, and Pennington Field football stadium. Recreational facilities at these campuses are included in the inventory section of this plan (Table 5-4). All outdoor facilities are available to the public after school hours except for the football stadium.



## Jurisdiction and Planning Areas

This document divides the City into three park districts: north, south, and east, separated by the major physical barriers of SH 121 and 183 (Appendix G). These major arteries are challenging to pedestrian movement and are therefore natural divisions to park usage. Development priorities are enumerated for each district as listed in Section VI, Plan Implementation and Prioritization Needs.

## **II. Goals and Objectives**

Decisions relative to long-range recreation and parks planning reflect the City's sense of purpose, to be fulfilled through successful services and programs. The programs and/or services offered by the City of Bedford are based upon its philosophies, vision, and mission.

These goals and objectives were formulated from information gathered from a public survey, the 2000 Master Plan, public hearings, the City Council, the Parks and Recreation Board, and City staff.

### **Bedford Goals and Objectives**

***Goal 1: Develop a diversified park system that will satisfy the varied recreational needs of citizens and protect and enhance the quality of life in Bedford.***

*Objectives:*

1. Develop and implement programs that encourage public participation in both active and passive indoor/outdoor recreation programs.
2. Revise plans on a regular basis, with citizen preferences serving as a major input to this process.
3. Ensure that all relevant demographic groups are represented in parks planning.

4. Explore the development of a Capital Improvement Program, including bond funds.
5. Encourage and cultivate citizen input and expression of opinion as it relates to recreational needs.
6. Develop, update, and/or renovate existing parks and recreational facilities, structures, fixtures and amenities.
7. Look for opportunities to develop a pocket park(s) in southwest Bedford.
8. Construct a dog park to meet city-wide demand.
9. Design outdoor recreation facilities, to include picnic areas, fields, courts, playgrounds, trails, parking lots, restrooms and other active and supporting facilities to be accessible to individuals and organized groups of all physical capabilities, skill levels, age groups, income, and activity interests.
10. Incorporate public art into the parks, recreation, and leisure services where appropriate.

**Goal 2: Create a system of pedestrian, equestrian and bicycle linkages (connections) between residential neighborhoods, businesses, linear greenbelts, schools, public administrative facilities, neighboring cities, the Bedford Commons, and other activity centers as an alternative to automobile transportation.**

*Objectives:*

1. Utilize trails, wherever possible, to connect residential areas with schools, parks and other public facilities.

2. Require developers to provide walking, jogging, cycling and skating pathways within large private developments through parkland dedication guidelines.
3. Design an interconnected, multifunctional parks and open space system which protects important natural, cultural and visual resources while providing appropriate opportunities for recreation.
4. Integrate planned trails with other public and private trail plans where possible.
5. Coordinate planning efforts with those of adjacent cities, including Hurst, Euless, and Colleyville.
6. Implement and support a plan that would include identifying and creating on-road bicycle routes, widening City sidewalks to trail width in certain areas, and dedicating certain areas as trail easements.
7. Create trailhead improvements that furnish trail systems with appropriate supporting services including interpretive and directory signage, rest areas, drinking fountains, landscaping, restrooms, parking and other services.
8. Install trail lighting, telephones, emergency call boxes, or other means at major trailheads or other appropriate locations by which trail users can summon fire, emergency aid, police, and other safety and security personnel should the need arise.
9. Replace the deteriorated asphalt trail along Cheek Sparger with a concrete trail wide enough to support multiple user types (i.e. bicyclists, walkers, joggers, roller bladers, etc.).

**Goal 3: Preserve and protect Bedford's natural environment and native ecosystems to the greatest extent possible.**

*Objectives:*

1. Incorporate civic open space identified in the Bedford Commons Plan into the park system, thereby insuring its preservation.
2. Identify and designate protected areas from future development that are wildlife corridors such as ponds, creeks, wetlands, unique natural areas with established understory tree canopies, ecologically sensitive areas, and other areas that support wildlife.
3. Encourage development designed to minimize impact upon the community's natural resources and visual appeal.
4. Conserve and protect ecologically sensitive and naturally beautiful areas, such as floodplains along creeks.
5. Establish and/or enhance green space and natural areas along floodplains, and promote public access to greenbelt areas with a multifunctional trail system (e.g., cycling, hiking trails).
4. Preserve and respect areas with natural features such as steep slopes, native grasses/wildflowers, and/or scenic views.
5. Encourage and promote water conservation through the use of native plant materials, xeriscape plantings, and other methods.
6. Maintain high air quality standards.

**Goal 4: Provide for high quality image, landscape, and visual unity for the entire city through planning and expanding beautification efforts.**

*Objectives:*

1. Develop a city-wide landscape plan that incorporates the design and conservation elements of native plant materials while maximizing and highlighting beautification efforts in City medians, rights-of-way, gateways, entry points, facilities, and parks through plantings and other visual imagery.
2. Develop a standardized city-wide signage plan for all public facilities, roadways, and entryways. Emphasize and incorporate themes suggested in the Bedford Commons Plan.
3. Continue beautification efforts through landscape design and general maintenance with qualified staff personnel and/or third party contractors where feasible.
4. Work with developers on landscape selection to include manageable trees, location of planted trees, shrubs, etc. in medians and rights-of-ways where feasible.

**Goal 5: Use private, county, state and national resources as well as City resources to improve the park system.**

*Objectives:*

1. Leverage city and private funding against county, state and federal funding to obtain the most cost effective use of funds.
2. Use training provided by other agencies to build the City's expertise.
3. Enter into joint ventures with private agencies where feasible.
4. Develop joint projects with HEB ISD when possible.

**Goal 6: Expand economic growth, improve the quality of life for citizens and promote economic development opportunities through parks, recreation and open space planning and programming.**

*Objectives:*

1. Aggressively promote the benefits of parks and recreation through creative planning and programming, realizing that these benefits can be effective tools for increasing property values, promoting economic development by attracting new businesses, and improving the City's image.
2. Plan and design parks and recreational facilities that are accessible to all individuals and meet the needs of the community they serve.
3. Create, promote and participate in events which address the core values of the community.
4. Develop landscape plans for high visibility areas that include parks, major thoroughfares, intersections, and public facilities.
5. Develop a landscape and tree preservation ordinance for proposed developments through the City's unified development code.
6. Continue to develop public/private partnerships in the promotion of the arts., particularly theatrical opportunities at Boys Ranch.

**Goal 7: Increase safety and reduce maintenance and operational costs throughout the park system.**

*Objectives:*

1. Reduce maintenance costs by using state of the art materials and design details.
2. Evaluate standards to assess and correct safety related issues at existing facilities.

3. Explore the use of vandal-resistant materials in all new construction.
4. Promote the use of native plant materials and other species that require little water.
5. Work with the Police and Fire Departments to reduce the potential for vandalism and other crimes in public areas.



### **III. Plan Development**

The City of Bedford previously prepared a park and recreation master plan in 2010. This plan was submitted and approved by the TPWD. Its endorsement was instrumental in the award of a grant by TPWD for the Bedford Boys Ranch. In December of 2014 City staff requested the services of the DFL Group to assist in the development of an updated plan. Since then, The Parks Board has held monthly meetings to review progress. A more detailed

## Plan Review Process and Public Input

Major planning activities included:

- December 4, 2014 – First meeting between consultant and Park Board
- February 4 & March 12, 2015 – Scheduled Park Board Meetings
- April 1, 2015 – Public Meeting to solicit citizen input
- May 6, 2015 – Scheduled Park Board Meeting; survey made available for public input
- May 31, 2015 – Survey results compiled
- June 4, 2015 – Joint Public Meeting with Park Board and Beautification Commission
- August 6, September 3, October 1, November 5, 2015 – Scheduled Park Board Meetings
- March 3, 2016 – Park Board adoption
- March 22, 2016 – Adoption by Bedford City Council

The City Council, City staff, and the general public have all contributed significantly in the development phase of this plan. Looking forward, with a wealth of public input, and the assistance of the City Council and staff, the 2016 Parks and Recreation Master Plan will assist the City in making future decisions regarding its developing system of recreational lands and facilities.



### Updates to the Plan

At a minimum, the plan will be periodically reviewed and updated every five years. This ensures that the goals and priorities reflect the changing demographics and expectations of the citizens of Bedford and meets the requirements of Texas Parks and Wildlife. This is essential if the City intends to apply for state or federal grants in the future.



## **IV. Area and Facility Concepts and Standards**

### Definition and Use of Standards

Allocation of space according to type of use is one of the primary decisions with which communities are continually faced. Such decisions are usually made based upon standards for the residential, commercial, industrial, educational, recreational, and public use development patterns which the community desires to encourage or enforce through zoning regulations or other methods. A standard is a minimum acceptable spatial allocation measure. Recreational standards may be developed for park type, size, and location; facility development; accessibility for the physically challenged; parking, utilities, and other infrastructure; access to natural resources; open space; programming; and other considerations.

Development of recreational standards is an effective way to provide guidelines for making decisions about how much acreage to acquire, where it should be located, and what facilities should be included at each site to properly serve the affected population.

### National versus Local Standards

National recreational standards have been developed through the National Recreation and Park Association (NRPA), and these may be helpful as communities assess their recreational needs and how best to meet them. However, the uniqueness of each community due to geographical, cultural, climatic, and socioeconomic characteristics makes it more likely that each community will need to develop its own standards for recreation, parks, and open space. The Bedford Parks, Recreation and Open Space Master Plan will take the national standards into consideration while applying local standards.



## Recreational Land Use Functions

*Recreation* – space and facilities for people to engage in active and passive recreation activities. Although the interest in various activities may change over time, the space necessary for various facilities remains constant (e.g., baseball field or swimming pool). This function will include both space (acreage) and facility standards.

*Open Space* – structured or non-structured, relatively undeveloped areas mostly suitable for passive recreation. This may include plazas, greenbelts, gardens and other spaces which humanize the urban environment but vary greatly according to the use and characteristics of the site.

*Conservation* – natural resource areas that allow for varying levels of recreational pursuits. Protection and management of these resources cannot be constrained by ascribing arbitrary acreage or locational standards, but must be considered within the context of community or regional plans and policies. Special guidelines may be necessary regarding ownership and management strategies.

*Organizational* – land use for administration and maintenance buildings for the park system itself.



### Standards Criteria

Criteria for park and recreation standards must: 1) reflect the needs of the people in the service area, 2) be realistic and attainable, 3) be acceptable and useful to management and policy makers, and 4) be based on sound analysis of the best available information. The City will strive to incorporate these criteria in each standard developed for the parks system.

### Park Classification Types

Development of spatial and facility standards must include consideration of park classification types. Generally recognized classifications include the following:

*Pocket Park* - A pocket park is a small (usually less than 1 acre) park developed with elements such as sidewalks, fountains, shelters, benches, and in residential areas, playgrounds and picnicking. Pocket parks are meant to serve only residents in the immediate area with no parking provided.

*Neighborhood Park* - A neighborhood park is a site of approximately 5 to 10 acres and serves an area within a one-half mile radius with both active and passive recreational opportunities. Facilities typically found in neighborhood parks include play apparatus, picnic areas, shelters, play courts, unlighted play fields, restrooms, walking/jogging trails, natural open space, parking, swimming pools, and tennis courts. Occasionally, a neighborhood park may include a small parking lot, but most users walk from their homes to the park.

*Community Park* - A community park is a site of approximately 40 to 150 acres with a service radius area of two miles. Facilities usually included in such a park are tennis courts, shelters, separate or multi-purpose sports fields, play apparatus, picnic areas, walking/jogging trails, recreation centers, swimming pools, open space, and restrooms. Since community parks draw from a large area, parking must be provided. Because of the recreational opportunities provided, community parks often also serve as neighborhood parks.

*City Park* - A city park can range in size, but holds special significance within a municipal park system. Its service area is intended to encompass the entire city. It may support a variety of recreational facilities including athletic fields, trails, playgrounds, natural areas and open space. Major city parks are generally located with special attention given to historical significance, natural characteristics, amenities, wetlands, easements and/or floodplains. They should also be located adjacent to a major thoroughfare to accommodate the traffic volumes generated by the recreational facilities located there.

*Special Use Park* - A special use park is similar to a city park in that it draws users from the entire city. However, it differs in that recreational opportunities are limited to one or possibly two facilities. Facility space requirements are the primary determinants of site size and location. For example, a golf course may require 150 acres, whereas a community center with parking may only require 10 or 15 acres. Potential special uses include baseball/softball complexes, soccer complexes, tennis centers, sports stadiums,

performing arts facilities, amphitheaters, lake parks, community centers, and golf courses.

*Open Space Area* - Open space, greenbelts and natural areas are usually developed around a significant natural resource such as creeks, rivers, significant vegetation, lake shore, or other major natural feature. The potential benefits of these parks are numerous. Not only can they preserve valuable open space and natural habitat, they can provide a natural environment for walking, jogging, and bicycling trails, and provide a transportation corridor linking neighborhoods to parks, schools, and shopping areas. These parks may also provide a variety of passive recreational opportunities free, or relatively free, from automobile interference. They may also serve as natural buffers between developed properties, store floodwaters, and can sometimes be acquired at a relatively inexpensive price due to the restrictions on development.

Design standards for greenbelts or linear parks are relatively loose in order to allow the maximum use of the natural environment in the design. These corridor widths are often determined by the existing topography, severity of flooding, and other unique natural features. Greenbelt corridors of less than fifty (50) "useable" feet should be avoided and narrow corridor sections kept to a minimum. One-hundred-foot corridor widths and wider give flexibility in design and are encouraged wherever possible.

Natural areas are prime open space opportunities, sometimes containing ecosystems in a non-disturbed state with minimal man-made intrusions. These areas lend a certain aesthetic and functional diversity to a park network and urban landscape. Although the benefits of natural areas are hard to quantify, they are numerous and include preservation of wildlife habitat and opportunities for nature study. When floodplains are preserved as natural areas they offer a resource to aid in protection from flooding. A resource-based approach to natural area planning should be used in Bedford, due to the unique character and availability of natural resources. This approach enables the city to identify the natural resources unique to the area, such as the lakes and creeks and rivers, and define how those areas will be integrated into the parks system in order to best satisfy the needs of the citizens.

There are no national standards for natural areas within the City due to the extreme variations in natural resources from region to region. Bedford should adopt open space policies which reflect the unique natural resources of the community. Open spaces with environmental significance should be included in the level of service standard only to the extent to which they provide users with passive and active recreational opportunities. Open space, such as perennial wetlands and/or inaccessible areas, which does not provide users with recreational opportunities may not be a desirable acquisition.



### Bedford Park Classifications

*Neighborhood Parks:* Brookhollow Park, Carousel Park, East End Trail Park, Harris Ryals Park; Monterrey Park

*Community Parks:* Bedford Boys Ranch, Central Park, Stormie Jones Park

*Linear Park:* Bedford Trails, Cheeksparger Trail

*Special Use Park:* Meadow Park (athletic complex – owned by HEBISD; leased and operated by the City of Bedford); Bark Park

### Park Acreage Standard

The acreage standard influences the acquisition and development of park land and is also used as a measure of performance and program effectiveness. Based on observation and measurement over time in communities nationwide, population ratio is the professionally accepted standard for park acreage based on acres of park land per 1,000 residents. This standard is simple to calculate and is easily updatable during periods of population growth. It is a flexible planning guideline that should be used in concert with other factors such as usage rates, park locations, and site carrying capacity.

The national service goal suggested by the NRPA for park acreage is 11.25-20.5 acres per 1,000 residents; Bedford's current standard is 1 acre per 250 person, or 4 acres per 1,000. The current level of service in Bedford is 144 acres for 49,054 residents, or 2.94 acres per 1,000 residents. Ideally, an additional 52 acres of park land are currently needed to meet the standard. 58 acres will be needed by 2025. A complete analysis for 2016 through 2026 is noted in Section VI, Table 6-1, Recreational Needs Assessment.

Although the standard of 4 acres per 1,000 is comparatively low nationwide, the availability of undeveloped land in Bedford prohibits an aggressive strategy. Fortunately, Bedford and surrounding cities have an open relationship that allows all citizens access to recreational opportunities in other communities.



**TABLE 4-1**

**PARK CLASSIFICATION AND ACREAGE**

Park Name	Park Classification	Site Area (Acres)
Bark Park	Special Use Park	****
Bedford Boys Ranch	Community Park	68
Bedford Trails***	Linear Park	*
Brookhollow Park	Neighborhood Park	9
Carousel Park	Neighborhood Park	1
Central Park	Community Park	5
Cheeksparger Trail - McLain to Martin	Linear Park	4
East End Trail Park***	Neighborhood Park	*
Harris Ryals Park***	Neighborhood Park	32
Meadow Park**	Special Use Park	11
Monterrey Park	Neighborhood Park	0.5
Stormie Jones Park	Community Park	13

<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>143.5</b>
--------------	--------------

- \*All trail acreage combined in Harris Ryals Park
- \*\* Meadow Park is leased from the HEBISD
- \*\*\* Use agreement with Oncor Electric
- \*\*\*\* Included in Meadow Park

### Facility Standards

Although national standards have been developed for most types of recreational facilities, these standards are frequently modified to meet local needs. Bedford has developed its own standards for the 2016-2026 decade. Table 4-2 shows this update from the 2010 standards. Additionally, Table 4-3 compares Bedford standards to neighboring cities. Significant changes included the addition of nature trails to the list as well as an increase in the need for pavilions, multi-purpose trails, and volleyball courts. These changes are based on public input provided to the Park Board.

<b>TABLE 4-2</b>					
<b>Bedford 2010 Standards</b>			<b>Bedford 2016 Standards</b>		
Facility	Units Required	Per Capita	Facility	Units Required	Per Capita
Local Park Land	1 Acre	250	Local Park Land	1 Acre	250
Aquatic Facilities	1 Each	24,300	Aquatic Facilities	1 Each	24,300
Basketball Courts	1 Each	15,000	Basketball Courts	1 Each	15,000
Disc Golf	1 Each	48,700	Disc Golf	1 Each	48,700
Dog Park	1 Each	48,700	Dog Park	1 Each	48,700
Fishing	1 Each	48,700	Fishing	1 Each	48,700
Football	1 Each	48,700	Football	1 Each	48,700
Horseshoe Pit	1 Each	16,200	Horseshoe Pit	1 Each	16,200
In-line Hockey	1 Each	48,700	In-line Hockey	1 Each	48,700
Little League Baseball	1 Each	11,000	Little League Baseball	1 Each	11,000
Nature Trails	1 Mile	0	Nature Trails	1 Mile	48,700
Pavilions	1 Each	14,000	Pavilions	1 Each	6,500

Picnic Tables	1 Each	400	Picnic Tables	1 Each	400
Playgrounds	1 Each	2,400	Playgrounds	1 Each	2,400
Practice Field	1 Each	7,000	Practice Field	1 Each	7,000
Recreation Centers	1 Each	48,700	Recreation Centers	1 Each	48,700
Senior Center	1 Each	48,700	Senior Center	1 Each	48,700
Shelters	1 Each	5,000	Shelters	1 Each	5,000
Shuffleboard	1 Each	48,700	Shuffleboard	1 Each	48,700
Skateboard	1 Each	48,700	Skateboard	1 Each	48,700
Softball Field	1 Each	18,000	Softball Field	1 Each	18,000
Softball Field - Girls	1 Each	18,000	Softball Field - Girls	1 Each	18,000
Soccer Fields	1 Each	7,000	Soccer Fields	1 Each	7,000
Splash Pad	1 Each	48,700	Splash Pad	1 Each	48,700
Tennis Courts	1 Each	4,500	Tennis Courts	1 Each	4,500
Trails	1 Mile	6,000	Trails	1 Mile	4,800
Volleyball Courts	1 Each	10,000	Volleyball Courts	1 Each	8,000
Washer Pit	1 Each	48,700	Washer Pit	1 Each	48,700

## TABLE 4-3

### Comparative Standards

Facility	Recreation and Park National Association	Colleyville	Hurst	Richland Hills North	Eufless	Grapevine	Keller	Bedford , 2016
Local Park Land (Acres/1000 Residents)	11.25-20.5	11.25-20.5	12.2	12-15.5	8.5-13	23.4	18	4
Aquatic Facilities (1/Population)	20,000	40,000	20,000	60,000	50,000	17,857	20,000	24,300
Basketball Courts (1/Population)	2,500	2,500	2,000	2,000	15,000	6,666	3,000	15,000
Disc Golf (1/Population)				30,000				48,700
Dog Park (1/Population)		40,000	30,000	30,000			40,000	48,700
Fishing/Boating (1/Population)					25,000	10,000		48,700

Football (1/Population)	20,000	18,000	20,000	30,000	50,000	2,857***	25,000	48,700
Horseshoe Pit (1/Population)				6,000			10,000	16,200
In-line Hockey (1/Population)			30,000	50,000		100,000	30,000	48,700
Little League Baseball (1/Population)	5,000	4,000	5,000	5,000	4,000	2,857****	4,500	11,000
Nature Trails (1 Mile/Population)		5,000				3,333		48,700
Pavilions (1/Population)	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	7,000	5,000	1,500	6,500
Picnic Tables (1/Population)								400
Playgrounds (1/Population)	1,000	2,500	1,000	1,500	2,800	1,666	1,000	2,400
Practice Field (1/Population)		3,000*	3,000	2,500	4,500		2,500	7,000
Recreation Centers (1/Population)			20,000	60,000		**	40,000	48,700
Senior Center (1/Population)								48,700
Shelters (1/Population)					13,000	2,857		5,000
Shuffleboard (1/Population)								48,700
Skateboard (1/Population)		35,000	30,000	60,000		100,000	30,000	48,700
Softball Field - Adult (1/Population)	5,000	4,000	5,000	5,000	6,500	2,857****	20,000	18,000
Softball Field - Girls (1/Population)						2,857****	5,000	18,000
Soccer Fields (1/Population)	10,000	2,000	3,000	6,000	5,500	2,857***	7,000	7,000
Splash Pad (1/Population)		20,000		30,000	25,000	20,000	30,000	48,700
Tennis Courts (1/Population)	2,000	2,000	2,000	3,000	5,500	4,444	2,500	4,500
Trails (1 Mile/Population)	10,000	2,500	2,000	1,200	5,000	2,500	1,000	4,800
Volleyball Courts (1/Population)	5,000	6,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	5,500	8,000
Washer Pit (1/Population)				6,000				48,700

\* - Baseball-1/3,000; soccer 1/2,000

\*\* - 9,900 SF/10,000

\*\*\* - Combined standard

\*\*\*\* - Combined standard

## Open Space Standard

For purposes of this master plan, open space is defined to meet the Texas Recreation and Parks Account scoring criteria definition: “natural open space land or water for human use and enjoyment that is relatively free of man-made structures. Open space may include creek corridors, floodplains, natural drainage basins, and areas which may be enhanced for native habitat.” Additionally, developed park land might also be considered open space.

It is important to note that this plan is not suggesting that the City immediately take action to acquire specific land parcels. Instead, the intent is that if these properties become available for purchase, the City should explore methods to fund acquisition.

The minimum standard for open space will require at least two acres per developed park site, with substantially more acreage preferred. It is not currently feasible to ensure two acres of open space at every existing park in Bedford; however the City's overall availability of open space is currently adequate. Additional open space areas may be designated in the future, even if they are less than two acres in size, in order to preserve critical natural resources or habitat areas.

### Acquisition Opportunities

By utilizing Bedford's standard of providing neighborhood park land within a ½ mile radius (see section Park Classification Types), an analysis of the City was prepared to identify underserved areas. (See Appendix J.) This map clearly identifies specific neighborhoods that should become priorities for acquisition. Using this information, along with an evaluation of available open space, acquisition opportunities have been identified.

Several open space areas and creek corridor pathways are recognized as potential acquisitions (Appendices J, K, & L). The most significant, and the highest priority for acquisition remains the 10-acre tract adjacent to Monterrey Park in the far south area of the City in Park District 3. This site represents the last remnant of Cross Timbers oak forest in Bedford. Although they are not prioritized, primary potential open space acquisitions by park district are listed below: (Numbered properties listed below correspond to exhibits.)

#### ***District 1 North***

1. Expand Meadow Park to the west
2. Acquire available open space northwest of the SH 121 and Cheeksparger intersection

3. Designate The Old Bedford School site as park land
4. In concert with the Bedford Commons Plan, designate selected open space as park land, north of L. Don Dodson, between Parkwood and E.M. Bigger.
5. Consider acquisition of open space east of Central, between Harwood and Meadow Park Circle.

***District 2 South***

6. Designate City owned land on Bedford Road, west of Stonegate Elementary School as park land.
7. Expand Monterrey Park south to Pipeline.
8. Acquire creek floodplain west of Shumac between Forest Ridge and Circle Lane.

***District 3 East***

9. Acquire creek corridor south of Bedford Road between SH121 and Reliance Parkway
10. Expand Stormie Jones Park to the north
11. Include the Bedford Community Garden in Park Inventory



### Organizational Standards

Bedford's Community Services Department oversees the Parks Division, Recreation Division, Aquatics Division, the Senior Center, Old Bedford School, the Library, and Special Events. Current staff includes 14 full time personnel in Parks and 6 full time in Recreation. In addition the Recreation Division employs 8 part-time and 100 seasonal employees. The combined 2015 budget for Parks, Recreation, and Aquatics was approximately \$4.3 million. City staff and Council make yearly adjustments to the budget based on available revenue and park needs. Current administrative and maintenance facility space is considered adequate for the existing park system.

## V. Inventory of Existing Facilities

### Purpose of an Inventory of Existing Parks and Open Space

Key elements of the park planning process include understanding which park and open space facilities are currently available to City residents (Appendix H – Existing Facilities), evaluating the condition and spatial location of those facilities and open space areas, and determining whether or not the facilities and open space areas address the current recreational needs of the City. Comparison of available recreation facilities and open space areas with the number and needs of users of those facilities and open space areas will shed light on the need for new or improved locations, facilities, and services. Appendices J-L locate city parks; schools; public facilities; potential sites for future parks, trails, and open space; and potential trail connections to neighboring trail systems in Hurst, Colleyville and Euless.



## Components of the Existing Parks Inventory

The following components are necessary to give an accurate rendition of the state of the parks and recreation system in Bedford. Some of the criteria, however, are not included in the tables in this section, but are discussed elsewhere in this document.

*Classification:* Determination of the purpose of any given facility, such as pocket park, neighborhood park, city park, linear park, special purpose park, or other classification specific to Bedford

*Location:* Concerns the location of the park in relation to the population it serves, accessibility, and amount of use

*Service area:* Limits of the area served by each park, including any major thoroughfares or natural barriers to accessing the park

*Park size:* Acreage, sufficiency to accomplish intended purposes

*Facilities:* Type of facilities, appropriateness to park classification

*Condition:* General condition of park facilities

*Special considerations:* Accessibility for physically challenged, amount of open space versus development, protection of natural or cultural resources, or other issues specific to an individual site

## Bedford Park System

Individual recreation facilities (outdoor and indoor) and support facilities are presented in the following tables. It should be noted that some items such as picnic tables and pavilions are included in the support facilities table even though they are generally considered recreation facilities.

# TABLE 5-1

## INVENTORY OF OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES BY PARK SITE

	Bark Park	Bedford Boys Ranch**	Bedford Trails	Brookhollow Park	Carousel Park	Central Park	Cheeksparger Trail - McLain to Martin	East End Trail Park	Harris Ryals	Meadow Park	Monterrey Park	Stormie Jones Park
Amphitheater		1										
Baseball-Game Field 305										2		
Baseball-Game Field 275		1										
Baseball-Game Field 150		1										
Baseball-Game Field 135		1										
Baseball-Practice Field												
Basketball Courts												
Basketball Goal		1										
Bird Watching Station												
Disc Golf (9 Holes)		1										
Exercise Course			1***						1			1
Fishing Piers		3										
Horseshoe		1										
Inline Skate		1										
Lake		1										
Multipurpose Field		2		1								
Outdoor Classroom												
Playground		2		1	1	1		1	1	1	1	2
Pool						1						
Shuffleboard		1										
Skateboard												
Soccer -Game Field		2								2		2
Soccer-Practice Field		1										1
Softball-Game Field												

Softball-Practice Field	1											
Splash Pads												
T-ball/Blastball	1											
Tennis Courts (Lighted)	6											
Trail-Asphalt						936		1495				
Trail-Concrete (Lighted)	991	5495	146		142		7910	5560			345	
	0	*	5		0		*	*			5	
Volleyball Courts (Sand)					1						1	
Washer Pit												
Water Park	1											

\* Not Lighted

\*\* Denotes post construction estimates

\*\*\* Wheelchair accessible

## TABLE 5-2

### Inventory of Support Facilities by Park

	Bark Park	Bedford Boys Ranch**	Bedford Trails	Brookhollow Park	Carousel Park	Central Park	Cheeksparger Trail	East End Trail Park	Harris Ryals	Meadow Park	Monterrey Park	Stormie Jones Park
Benches	2	10	8	2		3		1	6	4	1	4
Bike Rack		2				1						
Concession Bldg		1								1		
Dog Park										1		
Fire Pit		1										
Grills		5	3	1	3		2	2			1	2
Group Grills		3			1			1				1
Irrigation		Yes*				Yes			Yes*	Yes	Yes	
Lighting		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Parking Spaces (Paved)		476			4	16				205		92
Parking Spaces (Handicap)		49				2				9		4

Pavilion		3			1	1						1
Pedestrian Bridges		3	3	1								
Picnic Tables	2	54	2	6	4	7		5	8	7	1	11
Restrooms		2								1		1
Shelter	2	2							1	2		2
Water Fountains		3	1	2	2	1		1	2	2	1	2

\* Park partially irrigated

\*\* Denotes post construction estimates

## TABLE 5-3

### INVENTORY OF INDOOR RECREATION BEDFORD BOYS RANCH

Art Center	1
Basketball Courts (Full)	1
Basketball Courts (Half)	4
Cardio Room	1
Gymnasium	1
Indoor Classroom	3
Kitchen	1
Multi Purpose Room	1
Senior Center	1
Theater	1
Volleyball	1
Weight Room	1

### City Facilities Developed with Grant Assistance from TPWD

Bedford has been the recipient of five matching fund grants from the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD): Two grants were awarded for Central Park acquisition and development; the Bedford Boys Ranch received two grants, one after the completion of the 2010 Master Plan; and lastly funds were acquired for the development of Bedford Trail. All three sites remain open to the public, are maintained in a safe and attractive condition, and have acknowledgement signs in place. (Note, at this time the Boys Ranch is under construction. Funding was primarily from General Obligation Bonds and a grant from TPWD.) The City completes a self-certification report for each site upon notification by TPWD that such reports are due.

### HEB ISD Recreation Facilities

Outdoor recreation facilities at the Hurst-Euless-Bedford Independent School District campuses are available for use by the public outside of school hours and restricted periods of use determined by the school district. Although technically publicly owned, their primary users are students.

<b>TABLE 5-4</b>								
<b>Inventory of HEB-ISD Recreational Facilities</b>								
	Bedford Heights Elementary	Bedford Junior High	Bell Manor Elementary	Harwood Junior High	Meadow Creek Elementary	Shady Brook Elementary	Spring Garden Elementary	Stonegate Elementary
Basketball Court	3				3*	2	3	2*
Fitness Course	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Football Field		1		1				
Outdoor Classroom					1			1
Picnicking	1				1	1		
Playground	1		1	1	2	1	1	1
Practice Athletic Field	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Tennis Court		2		2				

Note: HEBISD recreational facilities are open to public use after regular school hours only.

\* - Indicates half court



## VI. Needs Assessment and Identification

### Needs Assessment Methodologies

The needs assessment is a critical component of park and recreation planning. The analysis of facilities needed to meet the City's goals and objectives and the needs identified by the public allows the City to prioritize the most critical needs. The three standard methodologies for evaluating current and future park needs include:

*Standards-based assessment* - use of nationally or locally developed criteria for determining level of service based on demand studies and/or professional judgment of recreation planners.

*Demand-based assessment* - use of anticipated growth data, user participation rates, and surveys or other public input.

*Resource-based assessment* - based on unique physical and natural features and their usefulness in providing recreational opportunities.

The methodology selected may depend on site classification and the nature of the recreation provider. Most commonly, all three methods are utilized to present the most complete picture of the provider's needs for recreational lands and facilities. This master plan will apply each of the three methodologies, individually or simultaneously, as appropriate.

## Standards-based Needs Assessment

After reviewing national and local standards from other communities, the City of Bedford has determined the following standards for park acreage and facility development. Needs are computed for 2014, 2019, and 2025.



# City of Bedford

## Recreational Needs Assessment

Based on 2016 Master Plan Standards

POPULATION			2010 Census	2014 Estimate	2019 Estimate	2025 Estimate				
			46,964	49,054	49,825	50,395				
Facility	Units Required	Per Capita	Current Inventory	2010 Gross Needs	2014 Gross Needs	2019 Gross Needs	2025 Gross Needs	Net Needs as of 12-31-14	Net Needs as of 12-31-19	Net Needs as of 12-31-25
Local Park Land	1 Acre	250	144	188	196	199	202	52	55	58
Aquatic Facilities	1 Each	24,300	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	0
Basketball Courts	1 Each	15,000	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Disc Golf	1 Each	48,700	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Dog Park	1 Each	48,700	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Fishing	1 Each	48,700	3	1	1	1	1	-2	-2	-2
Football	1 Each	48,700	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Horseshoe Pit	1 Each	16,200	1	3	3	3	3	2	2	2
In-line Hockey	1 Each	48,700	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Little League Baseball	1 Each	11,000	5	4	4	5	5	-1	0	0
Nature Trails	1 Mile	48,700	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Pavilions	1 Each	6,500	6	7	8	8	8	2	2	2
Picnic Tables	1 Each	400	107	117	123	125	126	16	18	19
Playgrounds	1 Each	2,400	11	20	20	21	21	9	10	10
Practice Field	1 Each	7,000	6	7	7	7	7	1	1	1
Recreation Centers	1 Each	48,700	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Senior Center	1 Each	48,700	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Shelters	1 Each	5,000	9	9	10	10	10	1	1	1
Shuffleboard	1 Each	48,700	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Skateboard	1 Each	48,700	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Softball Field	1 Each	18,000	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Softball Field - Girls	1 Each	18,000	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Soccer Fields	1 Each	7,000	6	7	7	7	7	1	1	1
Splash Pad	1 Each	48,700	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Tennis Courts	1 Each	4,500	6	10	11	11	11	5	5	5
Trails	1 Mile	4,800	5	10	10	10	10	5	5	5
Volleyball Courts	1 Each	8,000	2	6	6	6	6	4	4	4
Washer Pit	1 Each	48,700	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

NOTE: Population estimates are based on combined NCTCOG and City of Bedford data.

## Demand-based Needs Assessment

In order to get a completely accurate pulse on community needs, a scientific conducted by a trained professional team must be conducted. Unfortunately, these types of surveys are often cost prohibitive. A survey of Bedford residents was conducted however, in the summer of 2015 to determine public opinion regarding existing park and recreation facilities and future needs (See Appendix M for a copy of the survey). Copies were made available at all public facilities including City Hall, Public Library, Senior Center, and the Boys Ranch Activity Center. A brief summary of the results of the general survey are compiled in the table below and a full summary is provided in Appendix N.

Survey results were a critical component for determining facility development priorities for the next decade.

## **TABLE 6-2**

### **General Survey Summary**

(Note: Respondents could choose more than one activity.)

#### How would you rate the physical condition of the parks in Bedford?

- Excellent 6.0%
- Good 45.8%
- Fair 31.4%
- Poor 9.4%
- Don't know 7.6%

#### Overall, how would you rate the special event programs offered by the City?

- Excellent 16.7%
- Good 49.2%
- Fair 22.8%
- Poor 3.7%
- Don't know 7.7%

#### In which recreational programs or activities would you most be interested in participating ?

- Adult programs 34.3%
- Fitness classes 28.2%
- Health/wellness 23.8%
- Water exercise 19.7%

- Arts 16.5%
- Youth programs 12.8%
- Hiking 12.1%
- Nature study 12.0%
- Fishing 9.1%

Overall, how would you rate the parks and open spaces in Bedford?

- Excellent 7.6%
- Good 51.0%
- Fair 27.3%
- Poor 8.4%
- Don't know 5.9%

Overall, how would you rate recreational opportunities in Bedford?

- Excellent 6.3%
- Good 41.5%
- Fair 34.3%
- Poor 12.5%
- Don't know 5.6%

Do you feel there is a need to add or expand any of the following athletic facilities (listed from highest to lowest)?

- Sand volleyball
- Basketball courts
- Tennis courts
- Soccer fields
- Practice fields
- Volleyball courts
- Baseball fields
- Softball fields
- Track/Field
- Football fields

Do you feel there is a need to add, expand or improve the following facilities (listed from highest to lowest)?

- Hike/bike trails
- Indoor pool
- Playground
- Multi-purpose center
- Open spaces
- Trail linkages
- Senior Center
- Community garden
- Botanic garden
- Shelters/pavilions

Do you feel the City should make it a priority to acquire more park land/open space?

- Yes 55.5%
- No 24.7%
- No opinion 19.9%

Would you support a bond referendum to generally improve the City's park system?

- Yes 75.5%
- No 10.9%
- No opinion 13.6%

The most important programs identified included adult opportunities and fitness classes. Respondents also expressed an interest in health/exercise, wellness and arts. With respect to the need for athletic facility needs, the highest responses included volleyball, basketball, tennis, and soccer. The highest general recreational needs included trails, and indoor pool, playgrounds, and a multi-purpose center. A majority of respondents agreed that it was important to acquire more open space (55.5%) and fund new park facilities, mainly through bond programs (75.5%). Overall, condition of the parks and quality of recreational experience was seen as positive (near 51.8% excellent/good). The City's special events are well received. This is evidenced by the high attendance at The Fourth Fest and Bedford Blues Festival, drawing attendees from many surrounding communities.

Resource-based Needs Assessment

Development and acquisition plans (Appendices J-L) illustrate potential park, trail, and open space acquisitions within the City. As detailed in the previous Goals and Objectives section, the City places a priority on preserving these resources and making them available to the public when appropriate. Bedford is currently built out, and much natural habitat has been converted to residential and commercial uses. However, given adequate funding scenarios, the City is eager to add resource-based acreage and facilities to the park and recreation system. The City is currently renovating and stabilizing the creek corridor within the Bedford Boys Ranch, and places a priority on the acquisition of the 10-acre oak forest site adjacent to Monterrey Park. Other creek corridors, greenways, wetlands, ponds, open spaces, and wildlife habitat will be acquired as resources become available.



## Senior Needs

Facilities which serve the general public may also serve particular needs of senior citizens. Generally these facilities are more passive in nature rather than competitive sports. In 2014, Bedford's seniors (over 64) comprised 30% of the population, up from 11% in 2010. This is a significant increase and the City considers it a priority to include facility planning for seniors. While the survey conducted in 2015 was not specifically focused toward any age group, senior activities were identified as a high priority. The complete survey results are included in Appendix N.

Approximately 32% of those responding to the survey identified themselves as seniors. About 45% of those indicated that they visited the Senior Center several times a year. About 18% visited the center several times a week. Fitness classes were most popular at

65.4%, followed by walking at 50.7%. Aquatics (45.8%) and arts/crafts (33.9%) also were highly ranked.

### Accessibility for Physically Challenged and Special Populations Needs

All city park facilities will be made accessible. The items mentioned as needs for other categories apply equally to special needs populations. Additional special needs programs may be developed as warranted by demand and development of appropriate facilities.

Bedford Trails has a fitness course specifically designed for the physically challenged.

### Open Space Needs

Acquisition and protection of open space by incorporating additional parcels into the City parks system will ensure that Bedford residents continue to have access to the outdoors for a variety of recreational and educational purposes. The City recognizes that parks do not have to be manicured, programmed sites. Indeed preserved natural habitat serves an enormous need. Areas that possess outstanding natural resources that will remain mostly undeveloped to serve as habitat for wildlife and plant species will be evaluated to determine the best protection strategies, with or without actual acquisition.

The City will attempt to incorporate a minimum of 2 acres of open space in each of its acquisition and development projects. The amount of dedicated open space will depend upon each site's acreage, natural features, accessibility, and habitat value. The citizens of Bedford demonstrated in the survey that open space was a high priority (55.5%). Only 24.7% indicated that the City had enough open space/park land. As a result, the City will continue to search for ways to incorporate as much open space as possible into the park system.



### Natural Resource Needs

The City has demonstrated its consideration for the importance of including its natural resource base when planning recreational sites and facilities. Identified in both the Comprehensive Land Use Plan and this parks and recreation master plan, the critical need to conserve resource-based sites including creeks, greenways, floodplains, and prairie forest remnants must be a component of any plan affecting future development in the City. Specific sites are noted below:

*Monterrey Park* - Acquire land for future park development and open space preservation, particularly the Cross Timbers oak forest adjacent to Monterrey Park.

*Boys Ranch* - Drainage and erosion problems in the Boys Ranch will be completed by 2016. Improvements to the aquatic habitat of the Boys Ranch pond by dredging and introducing riparian plant species will also be complete. The pond will be stocked with game fish and spawning habitat will be developed. Lastly, the construction of overlooks

and native interpretive areas along the Boys Ranch watercourse will be complete.

*South of Bedford Road at 121 and Reliance Parkway* – The acquisition and preservation of a creek corridor in this location has been identified as an opportunity.

*Wooded floodplain east of Shumac* – Preserving a woodland creek in a natural state should not be overlooked. Creek realignment and channelization has eliminated many similar opportunities. This site offers an opportunity to preserve wildlife habitat and possibly provide limited neighborhood park development.

### Rehabilitation Needs

As facilities age and deteriorate with use, they are evaluated for safety issues and appropriateness to the evolving needs of the community. The city has identified the following facilities in particular as in need of some degree of rehabilitation:

- *Replace* asphalt surface on the Cheek Sparger trail with concrete
- *Replace* or *renovate* one or more playgrounds city-wide yearly
- *Renovate* athletic fields and support facilities city-wide
- *Renovate* sand volleyball courts
- *Renovate* exercise/weight rooms and meeting/activity rooms at the Boys Ranch Activity Center

## VII. Plan Implementation and Prioritization of Needs

Perhaps the most important aspect of an implementation plan is the commitment required from elected and appointed officials, City staff, and citizens. The plan must reflect the needs and desires of these individuals in order to be considered a useful tool in directing future decision-making pertaining to the acquisition, development, and management of an adequate parks and recreation system.

## Development of Priority Rankings

Based on the goals, needs and desires that have evolved through the research, standards development, and public input in this master plan, rankings for facility development have been prioritized. A number of resources were evaluated in the finalization of these recommendations including:

- Surveys of residents
- City Council recommendations
- Park Board public meeting and workshops
- Current and projected demographics
- Existing land use and open space opportunities
- City staff priorities
- Texas Parks and Wildlife criteria
- Needs assessment findings
- Available and projected capital

Funding sources for implementation of these priorities should be a combination of current fund expenditures, bond funds, reserve funds, grants, donations, in-kind services, partnerships, and volunteer participation. The development of these priorities is also required by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department.

## **Outdoor Priorities**

### *DISTRICT 1 - NORTH*

1. Multi-purpose trails
2. Sports/play fields
3. Basketball
4. Picnicking
5. Pavilion
6. Tennis courts

7. Interpretive trails
8. Volleyball

*DISTRICT 2 – SOUTH*

1. Trails
2. Playgrounds
3. Land acquisition
4. Sand volleyball
5. Picnicking
6. Basketball
7. Sprayground

*DISTRICT 3 – EAST*

1. Trails
2. Creek improvements
3. Land acquisition
4. Sports/play fields
5. Playgrounds
6. Basketball

**Indoor Priorities (city-wide)**

1. Walking track
2. Gymnasium
3. Weight room
4. Floor exercise area
5. Meeting space
6. Performing arts/cultural center
7. Senior center



### Implementation Plan

The Implementation Plan identifies specific projects that are critical to address current and future needs. These projects are not prioritized, but do in many cases address the priorities listed previously. In all cases, project completion is contingent upon available funding approved for specific uses. Preliminary cost opinions are included. However, it should be noted that actual costs are unpredictable and subject to final programs, site conditions and market conditions. The City seeks to implement the following projects during the next five years:

*Develop* a barrier-free playground that promotes interaction between physically challenged and able-bodied children (estimated cost \$400,000).

*Continue* the beautification of the City's entryways, parks, medians, and other public spaces utilizing modern signage and low maintenance plant material (estimated cost \$200,000).

*Acquire* land for future park development and open space preservation, where possible and consistent with park needs, particularly the expansion of Monterrey Park (estimated cost \$1,000,000).

*Collaborate* with Bedford youth organizations to develop, renovate and expand athletic fields and support facilities (estimated cost \$2,900,000).

*Improve* the Boys Ranch Activity Center, including renovations/additions to the exercise/weight rooms, and meeting/activity rooms. Add an elevated indoor track to the gymnasium (estimated cost \$2,000,000).

*Improve and expand* the pedestrian trail system including the replacement of the asphalt trail on Cheek Sparger and a new connection from the Boys Ranch to Central Park (estimated cost \$800,000).

*Continue renovation* of playgrounds city-wide using accessible, challenging and safe state-of-the-art equipment (estimated cost \$900,000).

### Facility Cost Estimates

The following cost estimates are provided as a general guide for development. Construction cost opinions are based on the latest available data. Actual costs will vary as a result of timing, program, and site conditions. These figures should be used as a general guideline to help establish budgets, and should be adjusted as necessary on a project by project basis.

# TABLE 7-1

## Typical Facility Cost Estimates by Park Classification

The following cost estimates are provided as a general guide for development. Construction cost opinions are based on the latest available data. Actual costs will vary as a result of timing, program, and site conditions. These figures should be used as a general guideline to help establish budgets and should be adjusted as necessary on a project by project basis.

IMPROVEMENT	ESTIMATED COST
<b>NEIGHBORHOOD PARK</b>	
Water/Electric Service	\$10,000
Parking Lot (20 cars)	\$40,000
Playground	\$75,000
Trail (.5 mile, 8' wide)	\$100,000
Basketball Court	\$45,000
Picnic Facilities (5 units)	\$15,000
Practice Athletic Fields	\$45,000
Picnic Shelter	\$45,000
Landscaping/Irrigation	\$20,000
Site Lighting	\$20,000
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>\$415,000</b>
Bonds/Insurance/General Conditions	\$41,500
Contingency	\$41,500
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$913,000</b>

<b>POCKET PARK</b>	
Water/Electric Service	\$10,000
Playground	\$75,000
Picnic Facilities (5 units)	\$15,000
Shelter	\$50,000
Volleyball Court (unlighted)	\$10,000
Landscaping/Irrigation	\$20,000
Site Lighting	\$40,000
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>\$220,000</b>
Bonds/Insurance/General Conditions	\$22,000
Contingency	\$22,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$264,000</b>

<b>COMMUNITY PARK</b>	
Water/Electric Service	\$10,000
Parking Lot (50 cars)	\$100,000
Playground	\$75,000
Trail (1.5 mile, 8' wide)	\$300,000
Basketball Court	\$45,000
Picnic Facilities (15 units)	\$45,000
Athletic Fields (2 lighted)	\$500,000
Pavilion	\$130,000
Volleyball Court (lighted)	\$20,000
Tennis Courts (2 lighted)	\$150,000
Landscaping/Irrigation	\$40,000
Site Lighting	\$40,000
Restroom	\$150,000
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,605,000</b>
Bonds/Insurance/General Conditions	\$160,500
Contingency	\$160,500
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,531,000</b>

## Local Financing

*Sales Taxes:* Cities, counties, and special purpose districts (municipal utility districts, recreation districts, economic development zones) all may impose sales taxes. Bedford's current tax rate is 2%, collected in addition to state sales tax. (Of that total tax, 1% is allocated to the general fund, 0.5% is for property tax relief, and 0.5% funds street improvements.)

*Enterprise and Revenue Funds:* Many local governmental entities establish accounts which are earmarked for park and recreation programs. These accounts are used to fund programs and to acquire, operate, and maintain facilities. Bedford gives residents the option to donate \$.50 per month to be added to their water bill payment.

*General Obligation Bonds:* This method involves the taxing power of the jurisdiction pledged to pay the interest and principal to retire the debt. General obligation bonds can

be sold to finance permanent types of improvements, such as park and recreational areas and facilities. The classic public policy argument for use of bonds to support park acquisition and development is that long-term borrowing, bonding, is justified because the project often has a permanent or near-permanent public benefit. In others words, the life of the project likely meets or exceeds the length of time it takes to pay off the bond obligation.

*Lease-Purchase:* Local governments utilizing this innovative financing approach prepare standards and specifications for the development of a park by a private company. The facility is then leased to the jurisdiction for a specified period of time. Title to the park and facilities can be conveyed to the local government at the end of the lease period without future payments. The rental over time will have paid the total original cost plus interest.

*User Fees:* User fees may be an effective cost recovery technique to recoup a reasonable portion of the costs to administer, operate, and maintain public parks and open space. Examples of user fees include registration or entry fees for recreational programs, admission to swimming pools, and equipment and facility rental charges.

*Other Local Entity Support:* Communities often develop their own private mechanisms to help support parks and park programming. A nonprofit 501(c)(3) tax exempt “friends of the parks” organization is one such entity that can raise private funds and muster volunteers to support park improvements and programming. Other options include engaging businesses or community groups in an Adopt-A-Park program to help maintain park facilities. Although no such groups currently exist in Bedford, the City encourages citizens and local businesses to become involved in making the best possible park and recreation system possible for the community.

### State and Federal Financing

Federal support for local park initiatives is generally limited to the Land and Water Conservation Fund. In Texas, these park funds are administered through the Texas Parks

and Wildlife Department's Texas Recreation and Parks Account (TRPA). Additional federal funds are available through the Recreational Trails Program, also administered by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. The City will actively pursue appropriate grant funding for outdoor and indoor recreation priorities, trail funding, and programming activities.



### Private Financing

The use of incentives to encourage private financing, public-private partnerships, land dedication, or donation can be an effective strategy to develop and maintain a park and recreation system without relying solely on local funds. The City will take advantage of any such funding opportunities as they arise.

### *Land Donation*

Should any property owners be willing to donate land to the City for use as a public park, such donations will be encouraged subject to established guidelines for the acquisition and development of park and recreational areas. Considerations include the suitability of

the land for park development, conformance with the objectives of the park plan, approval by the City Council, and the sentiments and desires of the surrounding neighborhoods.

#### *Trust Funds*

Individuals may endow a trust fund to support a local park or park system through the establishment of a trust. There are currently no such trusts established in Bedford.

#### *Community Foundations*


Community foundations are umbrella organizations authorized by the federal tax code and designed to solicit individual contributions in support of community-wide goals. Bedford falls within the giving area of the Community Foundation of North Texas and the Communities Foundation of Texas.

#### *Private Foundations*

Texas is home to over 3,200 private foundations, and there are 75,000 grant-making foundations nationally. Private foundations come in all sizes and have different giving criteria and interests. Some give locally; others give statewide. Some focus on one or two issues, such as disadvantaged youth and education, while others consider a wider range of issues. The City will research foundations that fit local parks and recreational needs and seek funding as appropriate.

**APPENDIX A**

**BEDFORD COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN**



**LEGEND**

- Low Density Residential
- Medium Density Residential
- High Density Residential
- Service Commercial/Office
- Commercial
- Governmental/Public
- Schools & Churches/Semi-Public
- Parks & Open Space
- Commercial Focus Area
- Neo-traditional Opportunity
- Cultural District General Area
- Historic site
- Entry Feature Area
- City Limit
- Arterial Street
- Collector Street
- Public Greenbelt
- Private Greenbelt
- Greenbelt Extension
- E** Elementary School
- JH** Junior High School
- HS** High School
- PO** Post Office
- MB** Municipal Building
- LEC** Law Enforcement Ctr.
- L** Library
- F** Fire Station
- SC** Service Center
- AC** Animal Control
- CC** Community Center

City of Bedford  
**Comprehensive Land Use Plan**

Adopted: December 11, 2001  
 Updated: September, 2010




Note: A Comprehensive Plan shall not constitute zoning regulations or establish zoning district boundaries.

**MPRG inc.** *Municipal Planning Resources Group, Inc.*

**APPENDIX B**

**BEDFORD COMMONS PLAN**

A-2: Illustrative Vision



### Attachment A-2

## Bedford Commons Illustrative Vision

June 23, 2015  
Adopted

#### LEGEND

- Improved Street -Retail/Mixed Use
- Improved Street -Multi-modal
- Potential new retail/mixed use
- Potential new residential/mixed use
- Existing Buildings
- Off-Street Parking & Shared Parking
- Multi-use trail


Note: Redevelopment concepts shown are conceptual only. They are only intended to convey the vision for Bedford Commons.

GATEWAYPLANNING  
A VIALTA GROUP PARTNER



**APPENDIX C**


**TARRANT COUNTY MAP**



**LEGEND**



CITY OF BEDFORD



Date JUNE 10, 2010  
 Drawn By GAC  
 Checked By JRF  
 Revisions



THIS ELECTRONIC DRAWING IS UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED, THE PROPERTY OF DFL GROUP, LLC. IT IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED IN THE PROJECT TITLE. THIS ELECTRONIC DRAWING FILE MAY BE USED AS A WORKING DRAWING. FURTHER REVISIONS TO THIS DRAWING SHALL BE MADE BY THE USER OF THIS ELECTRONIC DRAWING FILE. THE USER OF THIS ELECTRONIC DRAWING FILE AGREES TO ASSUME ALL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDED AND TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND REGULATIONS OF THE LOCAL JURISDICTION OF THE PROJECT. THE USER OF THIS ELECTRONIC DRAWING FILE AGREES TO HOLD DFL GROUP, LLC HARMLESS FROM AND AGAINST ALL CLAIMS, DAMAGES, LOSSES AND EXPENSES, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES, THAT MAY BE ASSERTED AGAINST OR INCURRED BY DFL GROUP, LLC AS A RESULT OF THE USER'S USE OF THIS ELECTRONIC DRAWING FILE.

**PARKS AND OPEN SPACE  
 MASTER PLAN  
 BEDFORD, TEXAS**




**APPENDIX D**

**ZONING MAP**



**APPENDIX E**

**DENSITY MAP**



**Data Classes**  
 Persons/Sq Mile

- 0 - 1742
- 1746 - 4333
- 4335 - 7660
- 7696 - 15637
- 16044 - 57897


**Features**

- Major Road
- Street
- Stream/Waterbody
- Stream/Waterbody



LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE AND PLANNING  
 8200 MIDCITIES BLVD., NORTH RICHLAND HILLS, TX 78664-0000  
 (817) 281-1100  
 WWW.DFLGROUP.COM  
 THIS ELECTRONIC DRAWING FILE MAY BE USED AS A WORKING DRAWING. PERMITTED EXAMINERS, THE USER OF THIS ELECTRONIC DRAWING FILE AGREES TO ASSUME ALL RESPONSIBILITIES AND TO HOLD THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE AND PLANNING FIRM HARMLESS FROM AND AGAINST THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE AND PLANNING BOARD OF TEXAS. THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE TEXAS BOARD OF LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE AND PLANNING SHALL APPLY TO THIS ELECTRONIC DRAWING FILE.

PARKS AND OPEN SPACE  
 MASTER PLAN  
 BEDFORD, TEXAS



Data Set: Census 2000 Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent Data  
 Texas by Census Tract

**DENSITY MAP**

**APPENDIX F**

**CENSUS 2015 GENERAL PROFILE AND  
PROFILE OF SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS**

## 2010 Census of Population and Housing Summary File 1 Characteristics

<b>Bedford, Texas</b>					
Subject	Number	Percent	Subject	Number	Percent
<b>Total population</b>	<b>46,979</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE</b>		
<b>SEX AND AGE</b>			<b>Total population</b>	<b>46,979</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Male	22,312	47.5%	Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	5,881	12.5%
Female	24,667	52.5%	Mexican	4,140	8.8%
Under 5 years	2,472	5.3%	Salvadoran	165	0.4%
5 to 9 years	2,538	5.4%	Puerto Rican	511	1.1%
10 to 14 years	2,638	5.6%	South American	250	0.5%
15 to 19 years	2,676	5.7%	Cuban	97	0.2%
20 to 24 years	3,071	6.5%	Honduran	38	0.1%
25 to 34 years	6,932	14.8%	Guatemalan	31	0.1%
35 to 44 years	6,202	13.2%	Other Hispanic or Latino	649	1.4%
45 to 54 years	7,368	15.7%	Not Hispanic or Latino	41,098	87.5%
55 to 59 years	3,538	7.5%	White alone	34,511	73.5%
60 to 64 years	3,053	6.5%			
65 to 74 years	3,633	7.7%	<b>RELATIONSHIP</b>		
75 to 84 years	1,931	4.1%	<b>Total population</b>	<b>46,979</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
85 years and over	927	2.0%	In households	46,648	99.3%
Median age (years)	40.3		Householder	21,016	44.7%
18 years and over	37,654	80.2%	Spouse	9,253	19.7%
Male	17,592	37.4%	Child	11,528	24.5%
Female	20,062	42.7%	Own child under 18 years	8,396	17.9%
21 years and over	36,131	76.9%	Other relatives	2,283	4.9%
62 years and over	8,305	17.7%	Under 18 years	801	1.7%
65 years and over	6,491	13.8%	Nonrelatives	2,568	5.5%
Male	2,660	5.7%	Unmarried partner	1,298	2.8%
Female	3,831	8.2%	In group quarters	331	0.7%
			Institutionalized population	325	0.7%
			Noninstitutionalized population	6	0.0%
<b>RACE</b>			<b>HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE</b>		
One race	45,673	97.2%	<b>Total households</b>	<b>21,016</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
White	38,100	81.1%	Family households (families)	12,342	58.7%
Black or African American	3,277	7.0%	With own children under 18 years	5,000	23.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native	264	0.6%	Husband-wife family	9,253	44.0%
Asian	2,082	4.4%	With own children under 18 years	3,313	15.8%
Asian Indian	564	1.2%	Female householder, no husband present	2,263	10.8%
Cambodian	17	0.0%	With own children under 18 years	1,266	6.0%
Chinese	238	0.5%	Nonfamily households	8,674	41.3%
Filipino	190	0.4%	Householder living alone	7,148	34.0%
Japanese	61	0.1%	Householder 65 years and over	1,926	9.2%
Korean	297	0.6%			
Laotian	77	0.2%	Households with individuals under 18 years	5,526	26.3%
Pakistani	172	0.4%	Households with individuals 65 years and over	4,681	22.3%
Vietnamese	211	0.4%			
Other Asian (1)	255	0.5%	Average household size	2.22	
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	166	0.4%	Average family size	2.87	
Native Hawaiian	7	0.0%			
Guamanian or Chamorro	10	0.0%	<b>HOUSING OCCUPANCY</b>		
			<b>Total housing units</b>	<b>22,301</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Samoan	18	0.0%	Occupied housing units	21,016	94.2%
Tongan	18	0.0%	Vacant housing units	1,285	5.8%
			For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	34	0.2%
Other Pacific Islander (2)	113	0.2%			
Some other race	1,784	3.8%			
Two or more races	1,306	2.8%	Homeowner vacancy rate (percent)	1.2	(X)
			Rental vacancy rate (percent)	9.0	(X)
<b>Race alone or in combination with one or more other races: (3)</b>			<b>HOUSING TENURE</b>		
White	39,210	83.5%	<b>Occupied housing units</b>	<b>21,016</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Black or African American	3,709	7.9%	Owner-occupied housing units	11,783	56.1%
American Indian and Alaska Native	610	1.3%	Renter-occupied housing units	9,233	43.9%
Asian	2,452	5.2%			
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	242	0.5%	Average household size of owner-occupied units	2.45	(X)
Some other race	2,151	4.6%	Average household size of renter-occupied units	1.92	(X)

(1) Other Asian alone, or two or more Asian categories.

(2) Other Pacific Islander alone, or two or more Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander categories.

(3) In combination with one or more of the other races listed. The following six numbers may add to more than the total population and the six percentages may add to more than 100 percent because individuals may report more than one race.

For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see <http://factfinder2.census.gov/main.html>.

For information regarding the dispute of 2010 Census counts, see <http://2010.census.gov/2010census/about/cgr.php>.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau; compiled by the North Central Texas Council of Governments

<http://census.nctcog.org>

## 2010 Census Population by Race and Hispanic Origin and Occupancy Status for Bedford, Texas


The U.S. Census Bureau considers race to be separate from ethnicity. Because the two are distinct concepts, persons of Hispanic origin may be of any race. The following table summarizes the race of the total population, Hispanic persons, and non-Hispanic persons.

	<b>Total Population</b>		<b>Hispanic</b>		<b>Non-Hispanic</b>	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	46,979	100.0%	5,881	12.5%	41,098	87.5%
White alone	38,100	81.1%	3,589	7.6%	34,511	73.5%
Black/African American alone	3,277	7.0%	102	0.2%	3,175	6.8%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	264	0.6%	59	0.1%	205	0.4%
Asian alone	2,082	4.4%	16	0.0%	2,066	4.4%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	166	0.4%	1	0.0%	165	0.4%
Some other race alone	1,784	3.8%	1,716	3.7%	68	0.1%
Two or more races	1,306	2.8%	398	0.8%	908	1.9%

	<b>18-and-Over Population</b>		<b>Hispanic</b>		<b>Non-Hispanic</b>	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	37,654	100.0%	3,968	10.5%	33,686	89.5%
White alone	31,329	83.2%	2,488	6.6%	28,841	76.6%
Black/African American alone	2,423	6.4%	57	0.2%	2,366	6.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native alone	208	0.6%	41	0.1%	167	0.4%
Asian alone	1,659	4.4%	14	0.0%	1,645	4.4%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	124	0.3%	1	0.0%	123	0.3%
Some other race alone	1,225	3.3%	1,179	3.1%	46	0.1%
Two or more races	686	1.8%	188	0.5%	498	1.3%

<b>Occupancy Status</b>	Number	Percent
Total Housing Units	22,301	100.0%
Occupied	21,016	94.2%
Vacant	1,285	5.8%

For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see <http://factfinder2.census.gov/main.html>.  
For information regarding the dispute of 2010 Census counts, see <http://2010.census.gov/2010census/about/cgr.php>.  
Source: U.S. Census Bureau; compiled by the North Central Texas Council of Governments  
<http://census.nctcog.org>





**Population Demographics**

	2000		2010		2014B		2019		Percent Change	
	Census	%	Census	%	Estimates	%	Projections	%	2000 to 2010	2014 to 2019
Total Population	47,177		46,964		49,054		49,825		-0.5%	1.6%
Population Density(Pop/Sq Mi)	4,709.14		4,642.54		4,896.43		4,973.45		-1.4%	1.6%
Total Households	20,263		21,016		22,022		22,530		3.7%	2.3%
<b>Population by Gender:</b>										
Male	22,841	48.4%	22,319	47.5%	23,262	47.4%	23,680	47.5%	-2.3%	1.8%
Female	24,336	51.6%	24,644	52.5%	25,792	52.6%	26,145	52.5%	1.3%	1.4%

**Population by Race/Ethnicity**

	2000		2010		2014B		2019		Percent Change	
	Census	%	Census	%	Estimates	%	Projections	%	2000 to 2010	2014 to 2019
White	41,384	87.7%	38,075	81.1%	39,045	79.6%	38,875	78.0%	-8.0%	-0.4%
Black	1,734	3.7%	3,276	7.0%	3,726	7.6%	3,950	7.9%	89.0%	6.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	264	0.6%	259	0.6%	283	0.6%	294	0.6%	-1.9%	3.9%
Asian/Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1,805	3.8%	2,268	4.8%	2,499	5.1%	2,756	5.5%	25.6%	10.3%
Some Other Race	1,067	2.3%	1,785	3.8%	1,992	4.1%	2,212	4.4%	67.3%	11.0%
Two or More Races	924	2.0%	1,300	2.8%	1,509	3.1%	1,738	3.5%	40.7%	15.1%
Hispanic Ethnicity	3,145	6.7%	5,880	12.5%	6,652	13.6%	7,374	14.8%	87.0%	10.9%
Not Hispanic or Latino	44,032	93.3%	41,083	87.5%	42,402	86.4%	42,451	85.2%	-6.7%	0.1%

**Population by Age**

	2000		2010		2014B		2019		Percent Change	
	Census	%	Census	%	Estimates	%	Projections	%	2000 to 2010	2014 to 2019
0 to 4	2,775	5.9%	2,472	5.3%	2,613	5.3%	2,827	5.7%	-10.9%	8.2%
5 to 14	5,707	12.1%	5,172	11.0%	5,144	10.5%	5,025	10.1%	-9.4%	-2.3%
15 to 19	3,279	7.0%	2,673	5.7%	2,650	5.4%	2,518	5.1%	-18.5%	-5.0%
20 to 24	3,272	6.9%	3,068	6.5%	3,103	6.3%	2,607	5.2%	-6.2%	-16.0%
25 to 34	7,357	15.6%	6,919	14.7%	7,505	15.3%	7,836	15.7%	-6.0%	4.4%
35 to 44	8,277	17.5%	6,205	13.2%	6,311	12.9%	6,345	12.7%	-25.0%	0.5%

45 to 54	7,812	16.6%	7,382	15.7%	7,246	14.8%	6,401	12.8%	-5.5%	-11.7%
55 to 64	4,552	9.6%	6,560	14.0%	7,013	14.3%	7,301	14.7%	44.1%	4.1%
65 to 74	2,279	4.8%	3,637	7.7%	4,247	8.7%	5,368	10.8%	59.6%	26.4%
75 to 84	1,303	2.8%	1,953	4.2%	2,157	4.4%	2,476	5.0%	49.9%	14.8%
85+	564	1.2%	923	2.0%	1,066	2.2%	1,121	2.3%	63.7%	5.2%

**Median Age:**

Total Population	36.6	40.3	40.8	41.3
------------------	------	------	------	------

**Households by Income**

	2000		2010		2014B		2019		Percent Change	
	Census	%	Census	%	Estimates	%	Projections	%	2000 to 2010	2014 to 2019
\$0 - \$15,000	1,310	6.5%	1,378	6.6%	1,483	6.7%	1,211	5.4%	5.2%	-18.4%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	1,904	9.4%	1,745	8.3%	1,818	8.3%	1,614	7.2%	-8.3%	-11.2%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	2,729	13.5%	2,396	11.4%	2,496	11.3%	2,244	10.0%	-12.2%	-10.1%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	3,309	16.3%	3,051	14.5%	3,065	13.9%	2,870	12.7%	-7.8%	-6.4%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	4,221	20.8%	4,099	19.5%	4,222	19.2%	3,841	17.1%	-2.9%	-9.0%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	2,957	14.6%	3,089	14.7%	3,297	15.0%	3,586	15.9%	4.5%	8.8%
\$100,000 - \$149,999	2,753	13.6%	3,567	17.0%	3,667	16.7%	4,573	20.3%	29.6%	24.7%
\$150,000 +	1,161	5.7%	1,691	8.0%	1,972	9.0%	2,590	11.5%	45.6%	31.3%
Average Hhld Income	\$67,699		\$79,125		\$80,275		\$90,845		16.9%	13.2%
Median Hhld Income	\$54,874		\$59,490		\$61,256		\$71,517		8.4%	16.8%
Per Capita Income	\$29,077		\$35,515		\$36,147		\$41,186		22.1%	13.9%

**Employment**

	2000		2010		2014B		2019		Percent Change	
	Census	%	Census	%	Estimates	%	Projections	%	2000 to 2010	2014 to 2019
Total Population 16+	38,043		38,760		40,735		41,459		1.9%	7.0%
Total Labor Force	28,879	75.9%	28,697	74.0%	29,016	71.2%	30,373	73.3%	-0.6%	4.7%
Civilian, Employed	28,194	97.6%	27,558	96.0%	28,210	97.2%	29,688	97.7%	-2.3%	5.2%
Civilian, Unemployed	657	2.3%	1,124	3.9%	793	2.7%	671	2.2%	71.3%	-15.4%
In Armed Forces	28	0.1%	14	0.1%	14	0.1%	14	0.1%	-49.4%	0.0%
Not In Labor Force	9,164	24.1%	10,063	26.0%	11,718	28.8%	11,087	26.7%	9.8%	-5.4%



**Housing Units**

	2000		2010		2014B		2019		Percent Change	
	Census	%	Census	%	Estimates	%	Projections	%	2000 to 2010	2014 to 2019
Total Housing Units	21,142		22,294		22,483		22,962		5.4%	2.1%
Total Occupied Housing Units	n/a	n/a	21,016	94.3%	22,022	98.0%	22,530	98.1%	n/a	2.3%
Owner Occupied:Owned with a mortgage or loan	n/a	n/a	8,853	42.1%	8,909	40.5%	9,070	40.3%	n/a	1.8%
Owner Occupied:Owned free and clear	n/a	n/a	2,941	14.0%	3,320	15.1%	3,416	15.2%	n/a	2.9%
Renter Occupied	n/a	n/a	9,221	43.9%	9,793	44.5%	10,043	44.6%	n/a	2.6%
Vacant	879	4.2%	1,278	5.7%	462	2.1%	432	1.9%	45.4%	-6.4%

**Vehicles Available**

	2000		2010		2014		2019		Percent Change	
	Census	%	Census	%	Estimates	%	Projections	%	2000 to 2010	2014 to 2019
0 Vehicles Available	642	3.2%	1,007	4.8%	1,034	4.7%	1,078	4.8%	57.0%	4.3%
1 Vehicle Available	7,860	38.8%	8,167	38.9%	8,569	38.9%	8,765	38.9%	3.9%	2.3%
2+ Vehicles Available	11,761	58.0%	11,842	56.3%	12,419	56.4%	12,687	56.3%	0.7%	2.2%
Average Vehicles Per Household	1.70		1.81		1.80		1.80		9.0%	0.0%

**Marital Status**

	2000		2010		2014B		2019		Percent Change	
	Census	%	Census	%	Estimates	%	Projections	%	2000 to 2010	2014 to 2019
Married, Spouse Present	20,658	53.4%	20,430	52.0%	19,182	46.5%	19,307	46.0%	-1.1%	0.7%
Married, Spouse Absent	1,295	3.4%	1,353	3.4%	2,038	4.9%	2,140	5.1%	4.5%	5.0%
Divorced	5,102	13.2%	5,292	13.5%	5,753	13.9%	5,852	13.9%	3.7%	1.7%
Widowed	2,046	5.3%	2,242	5.7%	2,522	6.1%	2,582	6.2%	9.6%	2.4%
Never Married	9,544	24.7%	10,001	25.4%	11,802	28.6%	12,092	28.8%	4.8%	2.5%
Age 15+ Population	38,695		39,319		41,297		41,973		1.6%	1.6%

### Educational Attainment

	2000		2010		2014B		2019		Percent Change	
	Census	%	Census	%	Estimates	%	Projections	%	2000 to 2010	2014 to 2019
Grade K - 8	396	1.2%	620	1.8%	615	1.7%	640	1.7%	56.4%	4.1%
Grade 9 - 11	1,624	5.1%	1,743	5.2%	1,789	5.0%	1,832	5.0%	7.3%	2.4%
High School Graduate	6,521	20.3%	6,242	18.6%	6,872	19.3%	7,128	19.3%	-4.3%	3.7%
Some College, No Degree	9,391	29.3%	10,112	30.1%	10,634	29.9%	10,982	29.8%	7.7%	3.3%
Associates Degree	2,685	8.4%	3,752	11.2%	3,718	10.5%	3,797	10.3%	39.7%	2.1%
Bachelor's Degree	8,011	25.0%	7,782	23.2%	8,338	23.5%	8,715	23.7%	-2.9%	4.5%
Graduate Degree	3,312	10.3%	3,008	9.0%	3,260	9.2%	3,413	9.3%	-9.2%	4.7%
No Schooling Completed	142	0.4%	318	0.9%	318	0.9%	342	0.9%	124.0%	7.5%
Age 25+ Population	32,082		33,578		35,545		36,849		4.7%	3.7%

### Seasonal Population by Quarter

	2014
	Estimates
Q4 2011	78
Q1 2012	77
Q2 2012	69
Q3 2012	69
Q4 2012	64
Q1 2013	59
Q2 2013	53
Q3 2013	53
Q4 2013	51

© 2000 & 2010 US Census, All Rights Reserved, Alteryx, Inc.

© 2014 Experian, Inc. All Rights Reserved, Alteryx, Inc.

[Experian Data Methodology](#)

**APPENDIX G**

**PARK DISTRICTS MAP**



**APPENDIX H**

**EXISTING FACILITIES**



**APPENDIX I**

**RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT**



**APPENDIX J**

**ACQUISITION PLAN**



**APPENDIX K**

**POCKET PARK TARGET AREAS**



**APPENDIX L**

**TRAIL CONNECTIONS**


Date  
 Drawn By GAC  
 Checked By JRF  
 Revisions



THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF DFL GROUP, LLC. IT IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREIN. IT IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM. WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF DFL GROUP, LLC, THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PROJECT. THE USER OF THIS ELECTRONIC DRAWING FILE AGREES TO ASSUME ALL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDED AND THE RESULTS OF ANY ANALYSIS OR DESIGN PROVIDED HEREIN. WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF DFL GROUP, LLC, THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PROJECT.



Sheet No.



**LEGEND**

- Proposed Trail Linkage
- Planned Trail, Neighboring City
- Existing Trail

**TRAIL CONNECTIONS**

**APPENDIX M**

**GENERAL SURVEY INSTRUMENT**

# 1. Parks & Recreation Survey

\* 1. How often have you and/or members of your household visited the following City of Bedford Parks in the past year?

	Once a week	Several times a week	Once a month	Few times a month	Few times a year	Never
Bark Park	<input type="radio"/>					
Boys Ranch Park	<input type="radio"/>					
Bedford Trails	<input type="radio"/>					
Brookhollow Park	<input type="radio"/>					
Carousel Park	<input type="radio"/>					
Central Park	<input type="radio"/>					
Cheeksparger Trail	<input type="radio"/>					
East End Park	<input type="radio"/>					
Harris Ryals Park	<input type="radio"/>					
Meadow Park	<input type="radio"/>					
Monterrey Park	<input type="radio"/>					
Stormie Jones Park	<input type="radio"/>					

Other (please specify)

\* 2. How would you rate the physical condition of the parks in Bedford?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Don't know

Comments

\* 3. Overall, how would you rate the parks and open spaces in Bedford?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Don't know

Comments

4. Overall, how would you rate recreation opportunities in Bedford?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Don't know

Comments

\* 5. Have you and/or any other member of your household participated in the following special events offered by the City of Bedford?

	Yes	No
4thFest Independence Day Celebration	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
ArtsFest	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
ArtsTalk	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Bedford Clean Up Day	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Blues & BBQ Festival	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Christmas Tree Lighting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Chunk Your Junk	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Crud Cruiser Events	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Doggie Splash Day	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Easter Egg Celebration	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Family Campout	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Father/Daughter and Mother/Son Valentine's Dance	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Halloweenfest	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Mardi Gras Gala	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Paper Shred Day	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Seuss Festival	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Storefront Gallery	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Twilight Thursdays	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

\* 6. Overall, how would you rate the special event programs offered by the City?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Don't know

Comments

\* 7. In which recreation programs or activities would you most be interested in participating?

- Adult programs
- Arts
- Baseball
- Basketball
- Biking
- Cycling club
- Dance/Drama
- Disc golf
- Fishing
- Fitness classes
- Football
- Gymnastics
- Health/wellness
- Hiking
- In-line hockey
- Kickball
- Nature study
- Pickleball
- Raquetball
- Running club
- Sand volleyball
- Soccer
- Softball
- Swim lessons
- T-ball
- Tennis
- Track/field
- Volleyball
- Water exercise
- Youth programs
- None

Other (please specify)

8. Overall, how would you rate recreation programs offered by the City?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Don't know

Comment

\* 9. Do you feel there is a need to add or expand any of the following athletic facilities?

	Definitely need	Somewhat need	Not needed	No opinion
Baseball fields	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Basketball courts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Football fields	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Practice fields	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sand volleyball courts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Soccer fields	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Softball fields	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tennis courts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Track/Field	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Volleyball courts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other (please specify)

\* 10. Do you feel there is a need to add, expand or improve the following facilities?

	Definitely need	Somewhat need	Not needed	No opinion
Ampitheater	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Botanic garden	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community garden	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Hike/bike trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Indoor pool	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Multi-purpose center	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Open spaces	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Outdoor pool	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Performance pavilion	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Playgrounds	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Senior center	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Shelters/pavilions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Skateboard park	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Trail linkages to other cities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Water play	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other (please specify)

\* 11. Do you self identify as a senior citizen?

Yes

No

## 2.

\* 1. How often have you and/or members of your household visited the Bedford Senior Activity Center during the past year?

- Once a week
- Several times a week
- Once a month
- Few times a month
- Few times a year
- Never

\* 2. Please select all of the senior programs and activities that you would be most interested in participating?

- Aquatics
- Arts and crafts
- Billiards/pool
- Board games
- Dancing classes
- Fitness classes
- Gardening
- Horseshoes
- Monday night dances
- Rest areas/overlooks
- Shuffleboard
- Travel
- Walking

Other (please specify)

### 3.

\* 1. Do you feel the City should make it a priority to acquire more park land/open space?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

2. Would you support a bond referendum to complete the improvements to the Boys Ranch Park?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

\* 3. Would you support a bond referendum to generally improve the City's park system?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

\* 4. How long have you been a resident of the City of Bedford?

- Less than two years
- 2-5 years
- 6-10 years
- 11-20 years
- Over 20 years
- Not a resident of Bedford

5. Which answer best describes where you live?

- Single family dwelling
- Townhome
- Duplex
- Apartment

\* 6. Your age?

- Under 18
- 19-24
- 25-34
- 35-44
- 45-54
- 55-64
- 65-74
- 75 or over

7. How many live with you in each of the following age groups?

	Zero	One	Two	Three	Four	Five	Six or more
Pre-school or younger	<input type="radio"/>						
Elementary school	<input type="radio"/>						
Middle school	<input type="radio"/>						
High school	<input type="radio"/>						
18 - 25	<input type="radio"/>						
Adult	<input type="radio"/>						
Senior citizen	<input type="radio"/>						

\* 8. Where do you live in Bedford?

- North of Airport Freeway
- South of Airport Freeway
- East of 121
- Not a resident of Bedford

9. If you are interested in serving as a parks and recreation volunteer, please leave your contact information or email [eric.valdez@bedfordtx.gov](mailto:eric.valdez@bedfordtx.gov)

**APPENDIX N**

**GENERAL SURVEY FINDINGS**

## Parks and Recreation Survey Totals

1. How often have you and/or members of your household visited the following City of Bedford Parks in the past year?

Answer Options	Once a week	Several times a week	Once a month	Few times a month	Few times a year	Never	Response Count
Bark Park	15	11	10	8	39	140	223
Boys Ranch Park	33	86	33	25	68	21	266
Bedford Trails	7	25	9	16	50	117	224
Brookhollow Park	6	3	2	4	19	183	217
Carousel Park	3	1	6	2	27	173	212
Central Park	6	5	8	9	55	134	217
Cheeksparger Trail	6	16	11	10	33	141	217
East End Park	3	2	2	1	12	187	207
Harris Ryals Park	3	6	3	3	13	182	210
Meadow Park	6	11	12	8	34	150	221
Monterrey Park	3	1	5	1	16	186	212
Stormie Jones Park	4	2	4	6	18	87	121
Other (please specify)							16
<i>answered question</i>							270
<i>skipped question</i>							0

2. How would you rate the physical condition of the parks in Bedford?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Excellent	6.0%	18
Good	45.8%	125
Fair	31.4%	83
Poor	9.4%	23
Don't know	7.6%	21
Comments		34
<i>answered question</i>		270
<i>skipped question</i>		0

3. Overall, how would you rate the parks and open spaces in Bedford?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Excellent	7.6%	22
Good	51.0%	140
Fair	27.3%	70
Poor	8.4%	21
Don't know	5.9%	17
Comments		21
<i>answered question</i>		270
<i>skipped question</i>		0

4. Overall, how would you rate recreation opportunities in Bedford?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Excellent	6.3%	19
Good	41.5%	111
Fair	34.3%	87
Poor	12.5%	33
Don't know	5.6%	18
Comments		31
<i>answered question</i>		268
<i>skipped question</i>		0

**5. Have you and/or any other member of your household participated in the following special events offered by the City of Bedford?**

Answer Options	Yes	No	Response Count
4thFest	198	63	261
ArtsFest	100	136	236
ArtsTalk	12	209	221
Bedford Clean Up	79	150	229
Blues & BBQ	150	90	240
Christmas Tree	97	134	231
Chunk Your Junk	103	127	230
Crud Cruiser Events	74	154	228
Doggie Splash Day	40	184	224
Easter Egg	57	169	226
Family Campout	12	209	221
Father/Daughter and	23	202	225
Halloweenfest	62	164	226
Mardi Gras Gala	26	196	222
Paper Shred Day	49	177	226
Seuss Festival	53	176	229
Storefront Gallery	40	185	225
Twilight Thursdays	97	133	230
<i>answered question</i>			<b>270</b>
<i>skipped question</i>			<b>0</b>

**6. Overall, how would you rate the special event programs offered by the City?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Excellent	16.7%	47
Good	49.2%	129
Fair	22.8%	59
Poor	3.7%	11
Don't know	7.7%	24
Comments		24
<i>answered question</i>		<b>270</b>
<i>skipped question</i>		<b>0</b>

**7. In which recreation programs or activities would you most be interested in participating?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Adult programs	34.3%	139
Arts	16.5%	65
Baseball	4.5%	17
Basketball	3.8%	16
Biking	7.9%	32
Cycling club	2.6%	11
Dance/Drama	8.3%	34
Disc golf	6.9%	25
Fishing	9.1%	34
Fitness classes	28.2%	114

Football	2.5%	10
Gymnastics	3.3%	13
Health/wellness	23.8%	95
Hiking	12.1%	48
In-line hockey	1.1%	4
Kickball	4.6%	18
Nature study	12.0%	49
Pickleball	1.8%	7
Raquetball	3.4%	14
Running club	4.9%	18
Sand volleyball	7.2%	32
Soccer	4.5%	19
Softball	3.0%	11
Swim lessons	8.8%	36
T-ball	3.8%	14
Tennis	5.1%	20
Track/field	2.1%	8
Volleyball	6.1%	28
Water exercise	19.7%	82
Youth programs	12.8%	46
None	5.2%	23
Other (please	6.6%	28
<b>answered question</b>		<b>270</b>
<b>skipped question</b>		<b>0</b>

**8. Overall, how would you rate recreation programs offered by the City?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Excellent	6.2%	18
Good	43.4%	116
Fair	30.7%	79
Poor	9.3%	25
Don't know	10.5%	29
Comment		13
<b>answered question</b>		<b>267</b>
<b>skipped question</b>		<b>3</b>

**9. Do you feel there is a need to add or expand any of the following athletic facilities?**

Answer Options	Definitely need	Somewhat need	Not needed	No opinion	Response Count
Baseball fields	35	34	47	129	245
Basketball courts	41	43	40	121	245
Football fields	13	31	65	128	237
Practice fields	35	37	43	121	236
Sand volleyball	53	31	42	119	245
Soccer fields	37	37	41	125	240
Softball fields	28	32	47	125	232
Tennis courts	33	43	48	111	235
Track/Field	19	33	58	124	234
Volleyball courts	32	40	43	119	234
Other (please specify)					32
<b>answered question</b>					<b>270</b>
<b>skipped question</b>					<b>0</b>

**10. Do you feel there is a need to add, expand or improve the following facilities?**

Answer Options	Definitely need	Somewhat need	Not needed	No opinion	Response Count
Ampitheater	51	59	34	90	234
Botanic garden	74	64	37	65	240
Community garden	79	59	38	58	234
Hike/bike trails	102	67	16	52	237

Indoor pool	111	47	30	49	237
Multi-purpose center	96	53	18	64	231
Open spaces	84	58	31	57	230
Outdoor pool	63	39	56	62	220
Performance	60	54	30	80	224
Playgrounds	101	56	23	49	229
Senior center	82	59	30	64	235
Shelters/pavilions	67	70	23	62	222
Skateboard park	23	34	73	86	216
Trail linkages to	90	51	24	69	234
Water play	71	53	33	69	226
Other (please specify)					33
<b>answered question</b>					<b>270</b>
<b>skipped question</b>					<b>0</b>

**11. Do you self identify as a senior citizen?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	32.4%	94
No	67.6%	176
<b>answered question</b>		<b>270</b>
<b>skipped question</b>		<b>0</b>

**12. How often have you and/or members of your household visited the Bedford Senior Activity Center during the past year?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Once a week	9.3%	13
Several times a	17.7%	21
Once a month	4.3%	4
Few times a month	4.3%	4
Few times a year	9.2%	9
Never	55.4%	43
<b>answered question</b>		<b>94</b>
<b>skipped question</b>		<b>176</b>

**13. Please select all of the senior programs and activities that you would be most interested in participating?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Aquatics	45.8%	43
Arts and crafts	33.9%	34
Billiards/pool	9.1%	7
Board games	19.1%	19
Dancing classes	18.4%	18
Fitness classes	65.4%	57
Gardening	33.0%	27
Horseshoes	5.6%	4
Monday night	15.6%	18
Rest	28.8%	23
Shuffleboard	4.3%	6
Travel	29.7%	30
Walking	50.7%	46
Other (please specify)		11
<b>answered question</b>		<b>94</b>
<b>skipped question</b>		<b>176</b>

**14. Do you feel the City should make it a priority to acquire more park land/open space?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
----------------	------------------	----------------

Yes	55.5%	144
No	24.7%	64
No opinion	19.9%	53
<b>answered question</b>		<b>261</b>
<b>skipped question</b>		<b>9</b>

**15. Would you support a bond referendum to complete the improvements to the Boys Ranch Park?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	75.5%	190
No	12.1%	32
No opinion	14.3%	39
<b>answered question</b>		<b>256</b>
<b>skipped question</b>		<b>14</b>

**16. Would you support a bond referendum to generally improve the City's park system?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	75.5%	193
No	10.9%	29
No opinion	13.6%	39
<b>answered question</b>		<b>261</b>
<b>skipped question</b>		<b>9</b>

**17. How long have you been a resident of the City of Bedford?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Less than two years	3.6%	9
2-5 years	8.4%	20
6-10 years	16.8%	44
11-20 years	29.8%	78
Over 20 years	34.7%	88
Not a resident of	7.0%	22
<b>answered question</b>		<b>261</b>
<b>skipped question</b>		<b>9</b>

**18. Which answer best describes where you live?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Single family	92.7%	237
Townhome	1.5%	4
Duplex	1.3%	3
Apartment	4.6%	12
<b>answered question</b>		<b>256</b>
<b>skipped question</b>		<b>14</b>

**19. Your age?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Under 18	3.6%	11
19-24	7.0%	22
25-34	12.0%	28
35-44	18.5%	42
45-54	16.3%	40
55-64	19.1%	51
65-74	18.2%	50
75 or over	5.5%	17
<b>answered question</b>		<b>261</b>

20. How many live with you in each of the following age groups?	20. How many live with you in each of the following age groups?	20. How many live with you in each of the following age groups?	20. How many live with you in each of the following age groups?	20. How many live with you in each of the following age groups?	20. How many live with you in each of the following age groups?	20. How many live with you in each of the following age groups?	20. How many live with you in each of the following age groups?	20. How many live with you in each of the following age groups?	20. How many live with you in each of the following age groups?	Response Count
Pre-school or	158	19	9	0	0	0	0	1	187	
Elementary school	146	42	12	1	0	0	0	1	202	
Middle school	163	24	1	0	0	0	0	1	189	
High school	148	32	6	0	0	0	0	1	187	
18 - 25	144	27	7	0	0	0	0	1	179	
Adult	66	87	70	4	0	0	0	1	228	
Senior citizen	107	58	18	0	1	0	0	0	184	
									<i>answered question</i>	259
									<i>skipped question</i>	11

**21. Where do you live in Bedford?**

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
North of Airport	64.5%	167
South of Airport	21.1%	53
East of 121	6.7%	17
Not a resident of	7.8%	24
<i>answered question</i>		261
<i>skipped question</i>		9

**22. If you are interested in serving as a parks and recreation volunteer, please leave your contact information or email [eric.valdez@bedfordtx.gov](mailto:eric.valdez@bedfordtx.gov)**

Answer Options	Response Count
	26
<i>answered question</i>	26
<i>skipped question</i>	244

**APPENDIX O**

**PUBLIC MEETING NOTIFICATION**

# AGENDA

City of Bedford  
Regular Meeting of the Parks and Recreation Board  
Wednesday, April 1, 2015  
Bedford Public Library Meeting Room  
2424 Forest Ridge Drive  
Bedford, Texas 76021

Public Hearing at 6:00 p.m. in the Meeting Room  
Regular Parks and Recreation Advisory Board Meeting at 7:00 p.m. in the Meeting Room  
(or immediately following the Public Hearing)

## CALL TO ORDER

## PUBLIC HEARING

1. Public hearing on the update to the Parks Master Plan.

## APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

2. Consider approval of the following Parks and Recreation Board minutes:
  - a) March 12, 2015 regular meeting

## NEW BUSINESS

3. Update and discussion on the HEB Chamber Leadership Class sidewalk project for the Clubhouse for Special Needs.
4. Discussion and possible action regarding FY 2015/16 Budget priorities.
5. Discussion and possible action regarding a proposed Food Truck program.
6. Discussion and possible action regarding participation in the Saturday, April 18, 2015 Bedford Clean Up Day.
7. Report on individual park assignments:
  - ✓ Bedford Trails Linear Parks
  - ✓ Boys Ranch Park
  - ✓ Brook Hollow Park
  - ✓ Carousel Park
  - ✓ Central Park
  - ✓ Harris Ryals Park
  - ✓ Meadowpark/Bark Park
  - ✓ Monterrey Park
  - ✓ Stormie Jones Park
8. Staff updates on recreation on:
  - a. Aquatics
  - b. Recreation
  - c. Parks

## NEXT MEETING

9. The next meeting of the Parks and Recreation Board will be held on Wednesday, May 6, 2015.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

### **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned authority, do hereby certify that this Notice of Meeting was posted on the outside window in a display cabinet at the City Hall of the City of Bedford, Texas, a place convenient and readily accessible to the general public at all times, and said Notice was posted by the following date and time: Friday, March 27, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. and remained so posted at least 72 hours before said meeting convened.

---

**Mirenda McQuagge-Walden, Managing Director**

---

**Date Notice Removed**

(Auxiliary aids and services are available to a person when necessary to afford an equal opportunity to participate in City functions and activities. Auxiliary aids and services or accommodations must be requested in writing to the City Secretary's Office a minimum of seventy-two hours (72) hours prior to the scheduled starting time of the posted meeting. Requests can be delivered in person or mailed to the City Secretary's Office at 2000 Forest Ridge Drive, Bedford, TX 76021, or emailed to [mwells@bedfordtx.gov](mailto:mwells@bedfordtx.gov). Some requests may take longer due to the nature, extent and/or availability of such auxiliary aids, services or accommodations

**PARKS & RECREATION  
MASTER PLAN  
2016 UPDATE**

# ACCOMPLISHMENTS FROM THE 2010 PLAN

- Boys Ranch Renovation, Phase 1
- Bark Park Development
- Boys Ranch Activity Center roof replacement
- Playground Renovation at Monterrey and  
Brookhollow Parks



# 2016 DISTRICT PRIORITIES

- NORTH DISTRICT

Multipurpose trails; sports fields; basketball courts; picnic facilities; pavilion; tennis courts; interpretive trails; volleyball courts


- EAST DISTRICT

Trails; creek improvements; land acquisition; sports fields; playgrounds; basketball courts

- SOUTH DISTRICT

Trails; playgrounds; land acquisition; volleyball courts; picnic facilities; basketball courts; sprayground

# ACQUISITION OPPORTUNITIES



Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Drawn By: JLC  
 Checked By: JLC  
 Revisions: \_\_\_\_\_

**DFL Group, LLC**  
 10000 WESTSHORE BLVD., SUITE 1000, DALLAS, TEXAS 75243  
 (972) 412-1000

**CITY OF BEDFORD**  
 1000 WESTSHORE BLVD., SUITE 1000, DALLAS, TEXAS 75243  
 (972) 412-1000

**PARKS AND OPEN SPACE  
 MASTER PLAN  
 BEDFORD, TEXAS**

**B**

Sheet No. \_\_\_\_\_

**POSSIBLE ACQUISITIONS**

# ACQUISITION OPPORTUNITIES

- Expand Meadow Park to the west.
- Acquire available open space northwest of the SH 121 and Cheeksparger intersection.
- Designate The Old Bedford School site as park land


# ACQUISITION OPPORTUNITIES

- Designate selected open space north of L. Don Dodson, between Parkwood and E.M. Bigger as park land (Bedford Commons).
- Acquire open space east of Central, between Harwood and Meadow Park Circle.
- Designate City owned land on Bedford Road, west of Stonegate Elementary School as park land.

# ACQUISITION OPPORTUNITIES

- Expand Monterrey Park south to Pipeline.
- Acquire creek floodplain west of Shumac between Forest Ridge and Circle Lane.
- Acquire creek corridor south of Bedford Road between SH121 and Reliance Parkway.
- Expand Stormie Jones Park to the north.
- Include the Bedford Community Garden in Park Inventory.

# TRAIL LINKAGES



- LEGEND**
- Proposed Trail Linkage
  - Planned Trail, Neighboring City
  - Existing Trail

**TRAIL CONNECTIONS**



Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Drawn by: JAC  
 Checked by: JAC  
 Revisions: \_\_\_\_\_



THIS PLAN AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN ARE THE PROPERTY OF DFL GROUP, LLC. NO PART OF THIS PLAN OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF DFL GROUP, LLC. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS FOR GENERAL INFORMATION ONLY AND DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFER OF ANY FINANCIAL PRODUCT OR SERVICE. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITHOUT NOTICE. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN THAT FOR WHICH IT WAS PREPARED. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN THAT FOR WHICH IT WAS PREPARED.

**PARKS AND OPEN SPACE  
 MASTER PLAN  
 BEDFORD, TEXAS**



Sheet No. \_\_\_\_\_



# Council Agenda Background

<b><u>PRESENTER:</u></b> Eric Valdez, Community Services Manager Don Henderson, Parks Superintendent		<b><u>DATE:</u></b> 04/26/16
<b>Council Mission Area:</b> Be responsive to the needs of the community.		
<b><u>ITEM:</u></b>  Consider a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a lease agreement for one year with the DFW Tejanos Organization for the purpose of conducting practices and games at the Stormie Jones soccer fields.  <b>City Attorney Review:</b> Yes  <b>City Manager Review:</b> _____		
<b><u>DISCUSSION:</u></b>  In 2010, the DFW Tejanos Organization (Club), a select soccer team, requested the use of the game-only soccer fields for their practices and games. Typically, practice sessions are not allowed on game-only fields in order to maintain the condition of the fields. In order to facilitate this request, an agreement was developed between the Club and the City of Bedford which would make the Club responsible for performing the field maintenance in lieu of rental fees. The maintenance includes all of the mowing, chemical application, field marking, and pest control in return for the use of the fields for practices. The Club will also be responsible for the collection of litter after practices and games.  The agreement has worked extremely well over the past five years and staff, the Club and the Bedford Eules Soccer Association are pleased with the arrangement. Therefore, there are no proposed changes to the agreement.		
<b><u>RECOMMENDATION:</u></b>  Staff recommends the following motion:  Approval of a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a lease agreement for one year with the DFW Tejanos Organization for the purpose of conducting practices and games at the Stormie Jones soccer fields.		
<b><u>FISCAL IMPACT:</u></b>  N/A	<b><u>ATTACHMENTS:</u></b>  Resolution Agreement	

RESOLUTION NO. 16-

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT FOR ONE YEAR WITH THE DFW TEJANOS ORGANIZATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONDUCTING PRACTICES AND GAMES AT THE STORMIE JONES SOCCER FIELDS.**

**WHEREAS, the City Council of Bedford, Texas determines the necessity to provide the DFW Tejanos Organization the ability to hold soccer practices and games at the Stormie Jones soccer fields; and,**

**WHEREAS, the City of Bedford Recreation staff desires to provide supervision of the lease agreement with the DFW Tejanos Organization.**

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BEDFORD, TEXAS:**

**SECTION 1. That the findings above are found to be true and correct, and are incorporated herein.**

**SECTION 2. That the City Council does hereby authorize the City Manager to enter into a lease agreement for a term of one year with the DFW Tejanos Organization for the purposes of conducting practices and games at the Stormie Jones soccer fields.**

**SECTION 3. That this resolution shall take effect from and after April 26, 2016.**

**PRESENTED AND PASSED this 26th day of April 2016, by a vote of \_\_\_ ayes, \_\_\_ nays and \_\_\_ abstentions, at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Bedford, Texas.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Jim Griffin, Mayor**

**ATTEST:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Michael Wells, City Secretary**

**APPROVED AS TO FORM:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Stan Lowry, City Attorney**

## LEASE AGREEMENT

**THIS LEASE AGREEMENT**, made as of the 26th day of April, 2016, by and between the City of Bedford, Texas (herein called "Landlord"), and DFW Tejanos Organization, (herein called "Tenant").

In consideration of the covenants and agreements hereafter reserved and contained on the part of Tenant to be observed performed, the Landlord demises and leases to Tenant, and Tenant rents from Landlord, those certain Leased Premises described as follows:

Stormie Jones Soccer Fields  
2500 Block of Brasher  
Bedford, TX 76021

The subject property is herein called the "Leased Premises" or the "Leased Property". The Leased Premises includes the exclusive use of the soccer fields as further described below. Tenant shall use the Leased Premises for soccer practices. No other activities are allowed.

The following, hereto and incorporated herein by reference constitute the provisions of the Lease.

### 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- (a) Landlord's Address: 2000 Forest Ridge Drive  
Bedford, TX 76021-1895
- (b) Tenant's Address: PO Box 278  
Eules, TX 76039
- (c) The term of the Lease shall commence on the date of its execution and shall expire one (1) year from the date of the execution, (the "Expiration Date). Should Tenant desire to renew the Lease, it shall submit a written request to renew at least sixty (60) days prior to the Expiration Date. If the Tenant does not wish to renew the contract the Tenant shall not have access to the Leased Premises after the Expiration Date. The Landlord shall have sole discretion on whether to renew the Lease. Notwithstanding the foregoing, either the Landlord or Tenant may terminate this Lease, with or without cause, by giving the other party forty-five (45) days written notice.
- (d) During the Lease term, the Tenant shall have access to the Leased Premises Monday- Friday, 5:00pm -9:00pm. Tenant shall provide a schedule each season with times other than those listed that fields will be used. Tenant shall have no right or access to the Leased Premises at any other time unless the Landlord grants consent.

**2. TENANT'S PERSONAL PROPERTY**

Landlord agrees that storage for any equipment shall be allowed on premises on a limited basis in areas designated by Landlord.

**3. Alcohol**

No alcoholic beverages will be used, allowed or brought on to leased premises.

**4. AFFIRMATIVE COVENANTS OF TENANT**

Tenants covenant that they shall:

4.1 comply with the terms of any state or federal statute or local ordinance or regulation applicable to tenant or its use of the Leased Premises, and indemnify and hold Landlord harmless from penalties, fines, costs, expenses, or damages resulting from its failure to do so.

4.2 comply with the terms and conditions set herein relating to the use, operation and maintenance of Leased Premises.

4.3 give to Landlord prompt notice of any accident or damage occurring on Leased premises.

4.4 have no authority to sublease, or allow the use of, the Leased Premises to any one or any entity, without prior express written consent of the Landlord. To this ends, at the date of execution of this Lease Agreement, the Tenant hereby affirms that it has no sub-lease agreement with any persons or entities, any Sub-Lessee shall be liable for all terms and conditions of this Lease.

**5. DAMAGE TO LEASED PREMISES**

If the Leased Premises shall be damaged the Tenant shall be held responsible for repairs to Leased Premises. This includes damages to grass (outside of the normal wear and tear for normal use). The tenant agrees to pick up any trash from location at the conclusion of all utilization. The tenant agrees to pay the city for labor should additional cleanup be required.

**6. ALTERATIONS**

Tenant shall make no changes or structural alterations to Leased Premises without prior written consent from Landlord.

## 7. Maintenance

The tenant will provide all maintenance to fields during term of lease. This will include mowing, pest control, fertilizing, weed control, lining fields etc. The maintenance provisions are outlined in **Exhibit "A"**. It is the sole responsibility of the Tenant to ensure that the lights at the premises are turned off at the end of each practice session prior to departure.

## 8. INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE RIGHTS

A. Tenant shall indemnify Landlord and its agents, elected officials, officers, and employees and attorneys and save it harmless from and against any and all claims, actions, damages, liability, and expense, including reasonable attorney's fees, in connection with loss of life, personal injury, or damage to property occurring in or about, or arising out of, the Leased Premises, or use thereof, or occasioned wholly or in part by any act, occurrence or commission of Tenant, its agent, subtenants, licenses, contractors, customers or employees. All cost, expenses and reasonable attorney's fees that may be incurred or aid in enforcing the covenants and conditions of the Lease, whether incurred as a result of litigation or otherwise, shall be recovered by the prevailing party from the other party.

B. Tenant shall take out and keep in force during the term hereof, without expense to Landlord with an insurance company, qualified to do business in the State of Texas or other company acceptable to Landlord, comprehensive general Commercial Liability Insurance, in the name of the Tenant and name of Landlord as additional insured against any liability for injury to or death of persons resulting from any occurrence in or about the Lease Premises and for the damage to property in such amounts as may from time to time be customary with respect to similar properties in the same area, but in any event not less than,

General Aggregate	\$2,000,000
Each occurrence	\$1,000,000
Personal & Advertising Injury	\$1,000,000
Damage to premises	\$50,000
Sexual/Physical Abuse part of GL	\$50,000
Each Claim	\$25,000

True copies of said policies or certificates thereof showing the premium thereunto have been paid shall be delivered to Landlord upon execution of the Lease Agreement. If tenant fails to procure and keep in force such insurance, Tenant shall not be allowed to operate on Leased Premises.

## 9. LANDLORDS ACCESS TO LEASED PREMISES

At all times the Landlord shall have access to the Leased Premises for the purpose of site assessment, maintenance, repairs or any other reason deemed appropriate by Landlord. Landlord agrees to use all reasonable efforts to not interfere with Tenants use of the Leased Premises.

**10. ASSIGNMENT**

Neither party shall have the right to assign this agreement to any other party without the written consent of the other party, which shall not be unreasonably held.

**11. NOTICES**

All notices, requests, consents and other communications required or permitted under this lease shall be in writing (including telex, facsimile and telegraph communication) and shall be (as elected by the person giving such notice) hand delivered by messenger or overnight courier service, faxed or telecommunicated (with original to follow by overnight commercial courier for delivery on the next business day), or mailed by registered or certified mail (postage prepaid), return receipt requested, addressed to the parties as follows:

If to Landlord: City of Bedford, Texas  
Attention: Eric Valdez  
Community Services Manager  
2000 Forest Ridge Drive  
Bedford, Texas 76021-1895

If to Tenant: DFW Tejanos Organization  
PO Box 279  
Euless, TX 76039

**12. VENUE**

Landlord Tenant agrees to venue in Tarrant County, Texas

Executed the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2016

Landlord: City of Bedford

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Roger Gibson  
City of Bedford

Tenant: DFW Tejanos Organization

By: \_\_\_\_\_

## **Exhibit "A"**

### **Mowing**

The mowing of all fields shall include the mowing and trimming of all grass and vegetation within each area. The Tenant or the Contractor, prior to mowing, will remove all debris. The debris shredded by Tenant or the Contractor larger than one inch will be removed. Trimming around trees or fences will be performed by the Tenant or the Contractor using mechanical devices and/or hand labor. These areas may also be treated by chemical application but it shall not exceed 12" in width. Excess clippings shall be disposed of properly and not be blown into streets and roadways. The fields will be mowed a minimum of four (4) times a month or once a week and grass will be kept at a height of no more than two inches.

The Tenant shall conduct or supervise all mowing operations described in the Contract "Specifications" and the expense of all such operations shall belong to the Tenant.

The Tenant or the Contractor shall provide their own equipment, labor, fuel and other materials necessary to complete the required work. The Tenant or the Contractor shall be responsible for the maintenance and repair of their own equipment and the availability, presence and supervision of their employees.

The City of Bedford requires the Tenant and or the Contractor to supply a competent and experienced supervisor with each work group at all times. The supervisor may be a working supervisor operating a mower or other piece of equipment.

### **Other Maintenance**

The Tenant is responsible for all weed control, pest control, fertilization and field marking.

### **Keys**

Two (2) sets of keys will be issued seasonally only to the Tenant. At the conclusion of each season, the keys will be returned to the Bedford Parks and Recreation Department. A \$50.00 fee will be assessed for the replacement of lost or not returned keys. A new signature, by the Representative of the Tenant, will be required to check out keys for the new season.

### **Lights**

It is the responsibility of the Tenant to insure that lights are turned off at the end of each practice session prior to departure from the fields.

### **Trash**

All trash left on the ground by visitors or players shall be picked up and placed in the trash containers at the end of each session.

## **Restrooms**

The restrooms will be maintained by the City of Bedford. The City will address any issue as quickly as possible. If the issue is such as “there is no toilet tissue in one of the stalls” the problem may be resolved the following day. No water, backed up plumbing, and similar items will be handled immediately.

## **All Issues Contact**

Any questions regarding these specifications may be directed to Don Henderson, Parks Superintendent at (817) 952-2308 or (817) 713-0582.



# Council Agenda Background

**PRESENTER:**

Eric Valdez, Community Services Manager  
Don Henderson, Parks Superintendent

**DATE:** 04/26/16

**Council Mission Area:** Be responsive to the needs of the community.

**ITEM:**

Consider a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a lease agreement for one year with the Tri-Cities Baseball Association for the purposes of having league games and practice at Boys Ranch Park.

**City Attorney Review:** Yes

**City Manager Review:** \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCUSSION:**

Tri-Cities Baseball has utilized the baseball fields at Boys Ranch Park for many years. Tri-Cities Baseball provides a schedule before the beginning of each season. Each year, over 180 games are scheduled to be played on City of Bedford baseball fields. Per the contract, the City will prepare all fields, including the mowing, field lining, weed control, fertilization, and irrigation. The City will also maintain all backstops, fences, gates, scoreboards and lighting.

Tri-Cities will be responsible for the provision of bases and any related equipment necessary for play, as well as the collection of litter after the games around the bleachers, dugouts and concession stand. Per the lease agreement, each season Tri-Cities will pay the City \$7.50 per player that is a City of Bedford resident. Also included in the agreement are provisions for Tri-Cities to operate the concession stand and, in return, they agree to remit to the City 5% of their gross sales.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends the following motion:

Approval of a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a lease agreement for one year with the Tri-Cities Baseball Association for the purposes of having league games and practice at Boys Ranch Park.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

General Fund Revenue – To Be Determined

**ATTACHMENTS:**

Resolution Agreement

RESOLUTION NO. 16-

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT FOR ONE YEAR WITH THE TRI-CITIES BASEBALL ASSOCIATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF HAVING LEAGUE GAMES AND PRACTICE AT BOYS RANCH PARK.

WHEREAS, the City Council of Bedford, Texas determines the necessity to enter into a lease agreement for one year with Tri-Cities Baseball Association for the purpose of having league games and practice at Boys Ranch Park, and;

WHEREAS, the City Council of Bedford, Texas desires to provide supervision of the lease agreement with Tri-Cities Baseball so as to be responsive to the needs of the community.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BEDFORD, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the findings above are found to be true and correct, and are incorporated herein.

SECTION 2. That the City Council does hereby authorize the City Manager to enter into a lease agreement for one year with the Tri-Cities Baseball Association for the purpose of having league games and practice at Boys Ranch Park.

SECTION 3. That this resolution shall take effect from and after April 26, 2016.

PRESENTED AND PASSED this 26th day of April 2016, by a vote of \_\_\_ ayes, \_\_\_ nays and \_\_\_ abstentions, at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Bedford, Texas.

---

Jim Griffin, Mayor

ATTEST:

---

Michael Wells, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

---

Stan Lowry, City Attorney

## **LEASE AGREEMENT**

**THIS LEASE AGREEMENT**, made as of the 26th day of April 2016 by and between the City of Bedford, Texas (herein called "Landlord"), and Tri-Cities Baseball Association, (herein called "Tenant").

In consideration of the covenants and agreements hereafter reserved and contained on the part of Tenant to be observed performed, the Landlord demises and leases to Tenant, and Tenant rents from Landlord, those certain Leased Premises described as follows:

Boys Ranch Park Baseball Fields  
2801 Forest Ridge Dr.  
Bedford, TX 76021

The subject property is herein called the "Leased Premises" or the "Leased Property". The Leased Premises includes the use of the baseball fields as further described below. Tenant shall use the Leased Premises for games only. No other activities are allowed.

The following, hereto and incorporated herein by reference constitute the provisions of the Lease.

### **1. GENERAL PROVISIONS**

- (a) Landlord's Address: 2000 Forest Ridge Drive  
Bedford, TX 76021-1895
- (b) Tenant's Address: PO Box 274  
Hurst, TX 76053
- (c) The term of the Lease shall commence on the date of its execution and shall expire one (1) year from the date of the execution, (the "Expiration Date). Should Tenant desire to renew the Lease, it shall submit a written request to renew at least sixty (60) days prior to the Expiration Date. If the Tenant does not wish to renew the contract the Tenant shall not have access to the Leased Premises after the Expiration Date. The Landlord shall have sole discretion on whether to renew the Lease. Notwithstanding the foregoing, either the Landlord or Tenant may terminate this Lease, with or without cause, by giving the other party forty-five (45) days written notice.
- (d) During the Lease term, the Tenant shall have access to the Leased Premises for all games as outlined in the leagues season schedule. The league schedule will be provided to Landlord at least 14 days prior to the start of each season. Tenant shall have no right or access to the Leased Premises at any other time unless the Landlord grants written consent. All requests for use of the Leased Premises other than times outlined in lease must be made to Landlord in writing a minimum of two (2) weeks prior to the event date.

- (e) Tenant shall pay the Landlord \$7.50 per City of Bedford participant, per league, per season for use of the baseball fields. Rosters of league participants will be required to be remitted with the payment. The tenant shall also pay 5% of gross concession sales.
- (f) The Landlord requires that the Tenant will ensure all coaches be certified by the National Youth Sports Coaches Association training program.
- (g) The Landlord has sole authority on decisions regarding field playability; this includes calls regarding weather, maintenance issues or other unforeseen circumstances.

## **2. TENANT'S PERSONAL PROPERTY**

Landlord agrees that storage for any equipment be allowed on premises in designated areas.

## **3. AFFIRMATIVE COVENANTS OF TENANT**

Tenants covenant that they shall:

3.1 comply with the terms of any state or federal statute or local ordinance or regulation applicable to tenant or its use of the Leased Premises, and indemnify and hold Landlord harmless from penalties, fines, costs, expenses, or damages resulting from its failure to do so.

3.2 comply with the terms and conditions set herein relating to the use, operation and maintenance of Leased Premises.

3.3 give to Landlord prompt notice of any accident or damage occurring on Leased premises.

3.4 have no authority to sublease, or allow the use of, the Leased Premises to any one or any entity, without prior express written consent of the Landlord. To this end, at the date of execution of this Lease Agreement, the Tenant hereby affirms that it has no sub-lease agreement with any persons or entities, any Sub-Lessee shall be liable for all terms and conditions of this Lease.

## **4. DAMAGE TO LEASED PREMISES**

If the Leased Premises shall be damaged the Tenant shall be held responsible for repairs to Leased Premises. This includes damages to grass (outside of the normal wear and tear for normal use). The tenant agrees to pick up any trash from location at the conclusion of all utilization. The tenant agrees to pay the city for labor should additional cleanup be required.

## **5. ALTERATIONS**

Tenant shall make no changes or structural alterations to Leased Premises without prior written consent from Landlord.

## 6. Maintenance

6.1 the Tenant agrees to provide bases and related equipment necessary for play, provide officials, assume responsibility of all litter inclusive of the playing area, dugouts, fences, bleachers and concession area at the end of each game day.

6.2 the Landlord agrees to prepare all fields, including mowing of infield and outfield, lining fields, weed control, fertilizing and irrigation. The Landlord will also maintain all backstops, fences, gates, scoreboards and lighting.

## 7. ALCOHOL

No alcoholic beverages will be used, allowed or brought on to leased premises.

## 8. INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE RIGHTS

A. Tenant shall indemnify Landlord and its agents, elected officials, officers, and employees and attorneys and save it harmless from and against any and all claims, actions, damages, liability, and expense, including reasonable attorney's fees, in connection with loss of life, personal injury, or damage to property occurring in or about, or arising out of, the Leased Premises, or use thereof, or occasioned wholly or in part by any act, occurrence or commission of Tenant, its agent, subtenants, licenses, contractors, customers or employees. All cost, expenses and reasonable attorney's fees that may be incurred or aid in enforcing the covenants and conditions of the Lease, whether incurred as a result or litigation or otherwise, shall be recovered by the prevailing party from the other party.

B. Tenant shall take out and keep in force during the term hereof, without expense to Landlord with an insurance company, qualified to do business in the State of Texas or other company acceptable to Landlord, comprehensive general Commercial Liability Insurance, in the name of the Tenant and name of Landlord as additional insured against any liability for injury to or death or persons resulting from any occurrence in or about the Lease Premises and for the damage to property in such amounts as may from time to time be customary with respect to similar properties in the same area, but in any event not less than,

General Aggregate	\$2,000,000
Each occurrence	\$1,000,000
Personal \$ Advertising Injury	\$1,000,000
Damage to premises	\$50,000
Sexual/Physical Abuse part of GL	\$50,000
Each Claim	\$25,000

True copies of said policies or certificates thereof showing the premium thereunto have been paid shall be delivered to Landlord upon execution of the Lease Agreement. If tenant fails to procure and keep in force such insurance, Tenant shall not be allowed to operate on Leased Premises.

**9. LANDLORDS ACCESS TO LEASED PREMISES**

At all times the Landlord shall have access to the Leased Premises for the purpose of site assessment, maintenance, repairs or any other reason deemed appropriate by Landlord. Landlord agrees to use all reasonable efforts to not interfere with Tenants use of the Leased Premises.

**10. ASSIGNMENT**

Neither party shall have the right to assign this agreement to any other party without the written consent of the other party, which shall not be unreasonably held.

**11. NOTICES**

All notices, requests, consents and other communications required or permitted under this lease shall be in writing (including telex, facsimile and telegraph communication) and shall be (as elected by the person giving such notice) hand delivered by messenger or overnight courier service, faxed or telecommunicated (with original to follow by overnight commercial courier for delivery on the next business day), or mailed by registered or certified mail (postage prepaid), return receipt requested, addressed to the parties as follows:

If to Landlord: City of Bedford, Texas  
Attention: Eric Valdez,  
Community Services Manager  
2000 Forest Ridge Drive  
Bedford, Texas 76021-1895

If to Tenant: Tri-Cities Baseball Association  
PO Box 274  
Hurst, TX 76053

**12. VENUE**

Landlord Tenant agrees to venue in Tarrant County, Texas

Executed the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2016

Landlord: City of Bedford  
By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Roger Gibson  
City of Bedford

Tenant: Tri-Cities Baseball Organization  
By: \_\_\_\_\_



# Council Agenda Background

<b>PRESENTER:</b> Kenny Overstreet, Public Works Director Tim Wayne, Hurst Partnership Bob Dyer, Hurst Partnership Xiaohong Wang, P.E., Reed Engineering Group	<b>DATE:</b> 04/26/16
--	-----------------------

**Council Mission Area:** Protect the vitality of neighborhoods.

**ITEM:**

Consider a resolution in support of the application of Hurst Partners One, a Colorado General Partnership to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for a Municipal Setting Designation (MSD) for the property at 711 West Hurst Boulevard, Hurst, Texas.

**City Attorney Review:** Yes

**City Manager Review:** \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCUSSION:**

In 2003, the 78th Texas Legislature passed a Municipal Setting Designations (MSDs) law, effective September 1, 2003, that relates to the potability of groundwater and the requirements for removing contaminants from groundwater. The law authorized the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) to receive, process, and certify MSD applications for properties with contaminated groundwater that are located in cities or their extraterritorial jurisdiction. TCEQ can certify an application only if there is local city support.

Hurst Partners One, a Colorado General Partnership, is attempting to obtain a resolution in support of a MSD from the City of Bedford for a former aerospace components manufacturer site, located at 711 West Hurst Boulevard, Hurst, Texas. The City of Hurst has passed Resolution 1619, in favor of supporting the Applicants application for a MSD on this property.

Impacts at the designated property are from the historic onsite releases of chemicals used for making aluminum floor beams, wings, and other structural components for aircraft until 1998. The chemicals of concern in the shallow groundwater are tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene, cis-1.2-dichloroethene, vinyl chloride, and chromium.

The City of Bedford operates a groundwater well within five miles of the MSD property. The contamination is traveling southeast at a maximum of 30 feet deep. The City's well uses water from an aquifer that is more than 1,500 feet deep, and not in the current pathway of the contamination. The resolution states that this application is conditioned on there being no negative impact to the City of Bedford's continued operation and use of its current water well that is within the five miles of the MSD property.

The applicant also has continuing obligations to satisfy applicable statutory and regulatory provisions concerning shallow groundwater contamination investigation and response actions at the site. Both soil and groundwater were investigated at the property from 2001 to 2010. Also, four monitor wells were installed to the east of the property during subsurface investigations.

Public Works staff has reviewed the technical aspects and find the application acceptable for a municipal setting designation.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends the following motion:

Consider a resolution in support of the application of Hurst Partners One, a Colorado General Partnership to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for a Municipal Setting Designation (MSD) for the property at 711 West Hurst Boulevard, Hurst, Texas.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ATTACHMENTS:**

Resolution  
Monitor Well Location Map  
Public Water System Report City of Bedford

**RESOLUTION NO. 16-**

**A RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF THE APPLICATION OF HURST PARTNERS ONE, A COLORADO GENERAL PARTNERSHIP, TO THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (TCEQ) FOR A MUNICIPAL SETTING DESIGNATION (MSD) FOR THE PROPERTY AT 711 WEST HURST BOULEVARD, HURST, TEXAS.**

**WHEREAS, Hurst Partners One, a Colorado General Partnership (Applicant) is pursuing a municipal setting designation with the City of Hurst and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for the property at 711 West Hurst Boulevard, Hurst, Texas and map of same being attached hereto (Site Map); and,**

**WHEREAS, the City of Hurst has already passed Resolution 1619 in favor of supporting Applicant's application for municipal setting designation on this property in their city; and,**

**WHEREAS, Applicant intends to file an application with TCEQ for certification of municipal setting designation for the site pursuant to Texas Health and Safety Code, Chapter 361, Subchapter W and have notified the City of Bedford, Texas of the same; and,**

**WHEREAS, Texas Health and Safety Code, 361.8065 provides that an application to the TCEQ, for a municipal setting designation, will not be certified unless the application is supported by a resolution adopted by certain entities, including the city council of each municipality that owns or operates a groundwater supply well located not more than five miles from the property for which the designation is sought; and,**

**WHEREAS, the boundary of said site is within five miles of certain groundwater supply wells owned or operated by the City of Bedford; and,**

**WHEREAS, Applicant has continuing obligations to satisfy applicable statutory and regulatory provisions concerning shallow groundwater contamination investigation and response actions at the site; and,**

**WHEREAS, the certification of a municipal setting designation for the site is in the best interests of the community; and,**

**WHEREAS, the City of Bedford's support of this application is conditioned on there being no negative impact to the City of Bedford's continued operation and use of its current water well with State ID G2200003A.**

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BEDFORD, TEXAS:**

**SECTION 1. That the findings above are found to be true and correct, and are incorporated herein.**

**SECTION 2. That the City of Bedford supports Applicant's application to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality for a municipal setting designation of the Site.**

**PRESENTED AND PASSED this 26th day of April, 2016 by a vote of \_\_\_ ayes, \_\_\_ nays, and \_\_\_ abstentions, at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Bedford, Texas.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 16-**


\_\_\_\_\_  
**Jim Griffin, Mayor**

**ATTEST:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Michael Wells, City Secretary**

**APPROVED AS TO FORM:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Stan Lowry, City Attorney**




**LEGEND:**

- MW-1  ANADITE MONITORING WELL
- MW-6TG  TEXAS GALVANIZING WELL
- MW-17  DESTROYED OR DAMAGED WELL
- NS NOT SAMPLED
- (DUP) DUPLICATE
- 0.1--- 2010 CHROMIUM CONCENTRATION CONTOUR (mg/L)
- 0.07--- 2010 CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE CONCENTRATION CONTOUR (mg/L)
- 0.005--- 2010 TETRACHLOROETHENE CONCENTRATION CONTOUR (mg/L)
- 0.005--- 2010 TRICHLOROETHENE CONCENTRATION CONTOUR (mg/L)
- 0.002--- 2010 VINYL CHLORIDE CONCENTRATION CONTOUR (mg/L)
- PLUME MANAGEMENT ZONE (PMZ)
- WALKER BRANCH OF THE TRINITY RIVER
- WALKER BRANCH FLOW DIRECTION
- ⊕ PROPOSED ALTERNATE POINT OF EXPOSURE (APOE) WELL

NOTES:

1. CONCENTRATIONS IN mg/L.
2. 'J' INDICATES VALUES ESTIMATED BELOW REPORTING LIMIT (RL).
3. 'ND' INDICATES CONCENTRATIONS NOT DETECTED ABOVE MDL, SEE TABLE FOR ACTUAL MDLs.
4. PCL FOR CHROMIUM = 0.10 mg/L.





**FIGURE 5-16**


**PROPOSED PMZ ENCOMPASSING ALL COC PLUMES**

FORMER ANADITE, INC. FACILITY  
711 WEST HURST BLVD.  
HURST, TEXAS

DATE OCT 10	PROJECT NO. 12763.001.005.0003	SCALE AS SHOWN
----------------	-----------------------------------	-------------------



# Summary Map - 5 Mile Buffer



## A Tract of Property

- Well
- Well Cluster
- Target Property
- Search Buffer
- Texas Quad Index

1 : 95,000  
 1 inch = 1.499 miles  
 1 inch = 7917 feet  
 1 centimeter = 0.950 kilometers  
 1 centimeter = 950 meters



Lambert Conformal Conic Projection  
 1983 North American Datum  
 First Standard Parallel: 33° 00' North  
 Second Standard Parallel: 45° 00' North  
 Central Meridian: 96° 00' West  
 Latitude of Origin: 39° 00' North

# Topographic Overlay Map - 5 Mile Buffer



Copyright © 2013 National Geographic - All Rights Reserved

## A Tract of Property

- Well
- Well Cluster

- Target Property
- Search Buffer


Target Property Quad Name(s)  
Hurst (1982)

1 : 95,000  
1 inch = 1.499 miles  
1 inch = 7917 feet

Lambert Conformal Conic Projection  
1983 North American Datum  
First Standard Parallel: 33° 00' North  
Second Standard Parallel: 45° 00' North  
Central Meridian: 96° 00' West  
Latitude of Origin: 39° 00' North



# Current Imagery Overlay Map - 5 Mile Buffer



Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroX, Getmapping, Aerogrid, IGN, IGF, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

## A Tract of Property


- Well
- Well Cluster
- Target Property
- Search Buffer

1 : 95,000  
1 inch = 1.499 miles  
1 inch = 7917 feet  
1 centimeter = 0.950 kilometers  
1 centimeter = 950 meters



Lambert Conformal Conic Projection  
1983 North American Datum  
First Standard Parallel: 33° 00' North  
Second Standard Parallel: 45° 00' North  
Central Meridian: 96° 00' West  
Latitude of Origin: 39° 00' North

# CCN Service Boundaries - 5 Mile Buffer



Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Intermap, increment P Corp., NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

## A Tract of Property

### UTILITY

- Target Property
- Search Buffer
- CITY OF BEDFORD
- CITY OF HURST
- CITY OF EULESS
- CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS
- CITY OF FORT WORTH
- CITY OF RICHLAND HILLS
- CITY OF HALTOM CITY
- CITY OF WATAUGA

1:95,000

Lambert Conformal Conic Projection  
1983 North American Datum  
First Standard Parallel: 33° 0' 00" North  
Second Standard Parallel: 45° 0' 00" North  
Central Meridian: 96° 0' 00" West  
Latitude of Origin: 39° 0' 00"



# Water Well Details *A Tract of Property*



Map ID	State ID	CCN	PWS Name	System Status	Source Status	Depth	Longitude	Latitude
1	G2200054G	10104	CITY OF HURST	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	610	-97.17473605	32.81013014
1	G2200054H	10104	CITY OF HURST	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	667	-97.17501007	32.81179709
1	G2200054I	10104	CITY OF HURST	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	605	-97.17473605	32.81013014
2	G2200054E	10104	CITY OF HURST	ACTIVE	DEMAND	1413	-97.19752199	32.81701099
3	G2200054C	10104	CITY OF HURST	ACTIVE	EMERGENCY	1457	-97.16838299	32.82323799
3	G2200054J	10104	CITY OF HURST	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	625	-97.16862393	32.82318375
3	G2200054K	10104	CITY OF HURST	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	595	-97.16862393	32.82318375
4	G2200054L	10104	CITY OF HURST	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	696	-97.18779053	32.82734864
5	G2200054F	10104	CITY OF HURST	ACTIVE	DEMAND	1500	-97.17232699	32.82733599
6	G2200054B	10104	CITY OF HURST	ACTIVE	EMERGENCY	1536	-97.17712499	32.83262999
7	G2200022H	10068	CITY OF RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	OPERATIONAL	567	-97.21475199	32.80696099
8	G2200022E	10068	CITY OF RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	590	-97.21279224	32.81984987
9	G2200022I	10068	CITY OF RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	560	-97.21640126	32.80374033
10	G2200063A	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	EMERGENCY	665	-97.19603	32.84300999
10	G2200063B	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	EMERGENCY	713	-97.19855999	32.84302999
11	G2200022C	10068	CITY OF RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	OPERATIONAL	1277	-97.22665599	32.82130099
12	G2200003A	10075	CITY OF BEDFORD	ACTIVE	OPERATIONAL	1515	-97.15166999	32.83740999
13	G2200022D	10068	CITY OF RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	500	-97.23003599	32.80435799
13	G2200022B	10068	CITY OF RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	ABANDONED	506	-97.23005199	32.80444399
14	G2200031A	10071	CITY OF EULESS	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	1620	-97.13278787	32.82623864
14	G2200031B	10071	CITY OF EULESS	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	860	-97.13306288	32.82623864
14	G2200031C	10071	CITY OF EULESS	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	850	-97.13306288	32.82623864
15	G2200022A	10068	CITY OF RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	1235	-97.23805499	32.80750799
15	G2200022F	10068	CITY OF RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	OPERATIONAL	1335	-97.23817399	32.80747899
15	G2200022G	10068	CITY OF RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	OPERATIONAL	629	-97.23824099	32.80756299
16	G2200054D	10104	CITY OF HURST	ACTIVE	DEMAND	1432	-97.17417999	32.85956099
16	G2200054M	10104	CITY OF HURST	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	710	-97.17445329	32.85957068
17	G2200003C	10075	CITY OF BEDFORD	ACTIVE	ABANDONED	1536	-97.15965999	32.85661999
18	G2200003B	10075	CITY OF BEDFORD	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	1600	-97.13451999	32.84280999
19	G2200063C	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	EMERGENCY	721	-97.21336999	32.85756999
19	G2200063D	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	EMERGENCY	1433	-97.21296999	32.85774999
20	G2200063H	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	NON-DRINKING WATER	0	-97.25001745	32.83429548
20	G2200063I	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	NON-DRINKING WATER	0	-97.24862841	32.83568444
21	G2200063F	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	454	-97.25557156	32.82734866
21	G2200063G	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	496	-97.2533435	32.82734867
22	G2200063E	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	EMERGENCY	1485	-97.19608999	32.87307999
23	G2200063J	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	NON-DRINKING WATER	0	-97.25445759	32.83707239
23	G2200063K	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	NON-DRINKING WATER	0	-97.25306956	32.83901834
24	G2200031E	10071	CITY OF EULESS	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	849	-97.10639707	32.82540765
25	G2200063L	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	NON-DRINKING WATER	0	-97.25445761	32.84151627
25	G2200063M	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	NON-DRINKING WATER	0	-97.25279457	32.84235225
26	G2200063N	10103	CITY OF NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	ACTIVE	NON-DRINKING WATER	0	-97.25140556	32.84651714
27	G2200003D	10075	CITY OF BEDFORD	ACTIVE	PLUGGED	1697	-97.10556611	32.84040619



# Council Agenda Background

**PRESENTER:** Kenny Overstreet,  
Public Works Director

**DATE:** 04/26/16

**Council Mission Area:** Be responsive to the needs of the community.

**ITEM:**

Consider a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a professional services agreement with Contracting Services, Inc. in the amount of \$22,700 for the installation of two catch basins and piping at 1000 Simpson Terrace.

**City Attorney Review:** N/A

**City Manager Review:** \_\_\_\_\_

**DISCUSSION:**

In 2012, the City of Bedford began construction on a new well at the site of the Simpson Terrace elevated storage tank. The well was designed to pump water directly into the tank bowl, which required new piping to be installed in the elevated storage tank. In order to install the new pipe, the storage tank had to be drained of water. While draining the tank, it was discovered that the displaced water ran through the property of Bedford Heights Elementary School at approximately 800 gallons per minute. Not only did this cause erosion issues for the school district, but it also resulted in an illicit discharge by causing dirt and sediment to enter into the storm drain system. After the initial discovery, staff had a rental company install a temporary above ground pipe system for draining of the tank, when needed, during construction. The temporary piping discharged the water into an existing storm drain inlet box. The piping was also used when the well was completed, and allowed staff to keep the well operational until approval from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality was acquired to pump well water into the water distribution system.

Since rental piping is not a permanent solution, Public Works staff has identified the need to tie-in to an existing 10" drain line in an effort to eliminate erosion from Bedford Heights Elementary School and illicit discharge concerns from the Simpson Terrace elevated storage tank. Setting drain boxes and tying in to the existing 10" drain line will also assist in controlling the amount of dirt that accumulates in the roadway, alleviating concerns for motorists and residents.

City staff received two quotes and one no-bid from contractors. Inquiries were made to historically underutilized businesses, but no responses were received.

Staff recommends Contracting Services, Inc., to conduct the tie-in to the 10" existing drain line at 1000 Simpson Terrace in the amount of \$22,700. Funding will come from the 2011 Certificate of Obligation bond that was budgeted for construction of the Simpson Terrace well.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends the following motion:

Approval of a resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a professional services agreement with Contracting Services, Inc. in the amount of \$22,700 for the installation of two catch basins and piping at 1000 Simpson Terrace.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

2011 Certificates of Obligation: \$171,375.10  
Actual Amount: \$ 22,700.00  
Variance: \$148,675.10

**ATTACHMENTS:**

Resolution  
Bid Tab

RESOLUTION NO. 16-

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH CONTRACTING SERVICES, INC., IN THE AMOUNT OF \$22,700 FOR THE INSTALLATION OF TWO CATCH BASINS AND PIPING AT 1000 SIMPSON TERRACE.

WHEREAS, the City Council of Bedford, Texas recognizes that the installation of two catch basins and piping at 1000 Simpson Terrace is necessary to provide a safe and friendly community environment; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council of Bedford, Texas recognizes the importance of providing these improvements to be responsive to the needs of the community.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BEDFORD, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the findings above are found to be true and correct, and are incorporated herein.

SECTION 2. That the City Council does hereby authorize the City Manager to enter into an agreement with Contracting Services, Inc., in the amount of \$22,700 for the installation of two catch basins and piping at 1000 Simpson Terrace.

PRESENTED AND PASSED on this 26th day of April 2016, by a vote of \_\_\_ ayes, \_\_\_ nays, and \_\_\_ abstentions, at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Bedford, Texas.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jim Griffin, Mayor

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Wells, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stan Lowry, City Attorney

## Simpson Terrace Drain Line Improvements

<b>Company Name</b>	<b>Quote Amount</b>
Contracting Services, Inc.	\$ 22,700.00
Gra-Tex Utilities, inc.	\$ 24,220.00
Axis Construction, LP	No Bid